

may the Servery

May bellowing



ISAAC FOOT





## ARREST

OF

THE FIVE MEMBERS BY CHARLES THE FIRST.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

ID 2482452

## ARREST

OF

# CHARLES THE FIRST.

A CHAPTER OF ENGLISH HISTORY REWRITTEN.

BY JOHN FORSTER.

LONDON:

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.

1860.

[The right of Translation is reserved.]

DA397

LONDON:
BRADBURY AND EVANS, PRINTERS, WHITEFRIARS.

#### CONTENTS.

Text. An attempt fatal to its Author: Party mifrepresentations of it, 1. Not an isolated Act. Dramatic correctness of the Eikon Basilike. Authorities for this narrative, 2. MS. Illustrations. Admiral Pennington, 3. Pennington appointed to fucceed Lord Northumberland. Captain Slingsby, brother of Strafford's Secretary: relates the Parliamentary news, 25th Nov. (1641), 4. A night-long Debate. Sidney Bere, Under-Secretary of State: describes opposition to printing the Remonstrance, 5. Fears of the wife. Narrow majorities in House of Commons. Conflict continued, 6. First great Parliamentary divisions. Protesting with a difference, 7. Mr. Thomas Wiseman to Admiral Pennington, 2nd Dec. (1641), 7, 8. Palmer's protest and punishment. Absentees from the Houses, 8. The majority of eleven. Never more heat in Parliament than now, and Dec. 1641. Minority set up against Majority, 9. Close of the first struggle of Parliamentary party in England, 10.

Notes. Services to English History rendered by Sir John Romilly. Clarendon's character of Pennington, 3.

§ II. THE KING'S RETURN FROM SCOTLAND . . 10-20

Text. Affertions of Clarendon, 10. The two attempts of the 3rd and 4th January. New State Appointments advised by Lord Digby, 11. A question for enquiry. Suspicions against Falkland, Culpeper, and Hyde. Charges against Pym and Hampden. The King's way of dealing with opponents, 12. Crushing or conciliating, always too late, 13. Treasonable Correspondence of English members with Scotch Rebels. Clarendon's opinion of the five accused, 14. Kimbolton a Scotch Commissioner; narrowly watched by the Court. Lady Carlifle's intercourse with both parties, 15. A dangerous mediator. Doubtful Services. Meetings in Pym's Lodgings, Chelsea, 16. Libels on Hampden. Avowed Rebels pardoned. Suspected Rebels to be impeached, 17. The King's threats against the popular Leaders. Treasons committed in Parliament. Coercing a minority put forth as breach of privilege, 18. Signs of danger abroad. 30th Nov. 1641:

alleged conspiracy to get up charges of Treason, 19. Argument for giving weight to a minority. Alarms generally prevalent. Considence of the King, 20.

Notes. Lord Digby's friendships, 11. Stratagem of winning by places. Offers to Pym. Their non-acceptance regretted by Hyde, 13. Secret Consultations. Kimbolton's ill company, 15.

#### § III. FALSE RELIANCES

. 21-29

Text. The Royalist party in the City. Banquet at Guildhall, 21. King's reception thereat. Lord Mayor Gourney made a Baronet. Welcome news for the King, 22. Speaker Lenthal alarmed: wishes to be relieved from Speakership, and to become again the meanest Subject of his Sovereign, 23. Speaker Lenthal to Secretary Nicholas, 3rd Dec. 1641. Invokes the King's sacred mercy. Craves Mr. Secretary's help in lowest posture of obedience, 24. Expects ruin from continuing in the Chair of the House. A willing Dupe. Captain Slingsby to Admiral Pennington, 2nd Dec. (1641), 25. Factious Citizens. Fears and misgivings of the best informed, 26. Slingsby's Alarm. Wealthy and discontented Citizens: coming in their coaches, 27. Unpopular acts of the Lord Mayor. Second thoughts of Speaker Lenthal, 28. An Under-Secretary's Prayer, 29.

Notes. Ovatio Carolina, 22. Clarendon's opinion of Lenthal, 23. The King and the two Houses. Citizens and M.P.'s. Sir Edward Dering, 26. Character of Sir Ed. Nicholas, 27. Speaker Lenthal to Secretary Nicholas, 28.

#### & IV. FATAL MISTAKES

29-39

Text. Foolhardiness of the King. Removes the Guard from the Houses: Gives office to the Leaders of the Minority, 29. Assails Privilege, 30. Interferes with a Bill under discussion. Enforces Laws against Puritans. Remits Penalties against Roman Catholics. Partial execution of the Laws, 31. Resisted by the People, 32. A time for caution. Disastrous Resolve of the King. The Tower and its Governor, 33. Balfour removed. Lunssord appointed: his infamous character: his close friendship with Lord Digby, 34. Object in appointing him, 35. A man to execute anything: and keep the five members, once arrested, safe, 36. Evil fore-bodings of Sir Simonds D'Ewes. Address voted for Lunssord's Removal. Dismissal of Lord Newport. The Charge against him, 37. Proposal to seize hostages for the King's good Faith. The lie given to Lord Newport, 24th Dec. The lie retracted, 29th Dec. Warnings in the interval, 38. Sudden yielding of the King. Extraordinary determination taken, 39.

Notes. Wifeman to Pennington, 2nd Dec. (1641), 29.

Under-Secretary Bere to Pennington, 25th Nov. and 3th Dec. 1641. Court Changes. Same to fame, 23rd Dec., 30. Slingsby to Pennington, 16th Dec. 1641. Attack upon Newgate. Reprievals of Popish offenders, 32.

Windebank's Crime and Escape, 32, 33. Lunsford's Warrant, 34. Clarendon's account of the Appointment. Clouds of words. Digby the 'scapegoat, 35. Lords who sided with majority in Commons. Duke of Richmond's fally, 26th Jan. (1641-2), 36.

#### § V. PYM AND THE KING

39---60

Text. Popularity of the Leader of the Commons. Its causes, 39. Pym imprisoned for his opinions in 1614. A Member of the Parliament of 1620. One of James the First's "Twelve Kings," 40. Rifes to the place of Leader, April 1640. Qualities and fervices which endeared him to the People. Clarendon's Tribute to his popularity, 41. Former intercourse with the King. Negotiations again opened, 42. King Pym: fecret influence over King Charles, 44. Songs and Satires against the Parliament, 45. Pym's Constitutional opinions. Alternately held up for avoidance and for example. Characteristics of his Oratory, 46. Chancellorship of Exchequer again offered to him. Pym less extreme than Hampden. The offer made too late, 47. Pym silent as to the King's proposal: rejects it. Sir Edward Dering to Lady Dering, 13th Jan. 1641-2, describes Charles's overture to Pym, 48. Culpeper receives what Pym had declined, 1st Jan. (1641-2), 49. Old Vane finally difmiffed, 50. Revenge for Strafford. Young Vane also dismissed, 51. Captain Carterett. Young Vane fucceeded by a friend of Strafford. Captain Carterett to Pennington, 23rd Dec. 1641. Pym welcomes Old Vane into the popular Ranks, 52. Under-Secretary Bere to the Admiral, 23rd Dec. The Commons resent Young Vane's dismissal. Previous offer to Pym and his Friends, July (1641), 53. Former attempt to give office to Leaders of the Commons. Not a mere expedient for faving Strafford: renewed after Strafford's execution. Hollis or Hampden named for Secretary of State, 15th July (1641), 54. Negotiations with popular Leaders kept open. Distribution of offices settled, 29th July (1641), 55. Preparation for the new Ministry. Making provision for the worst, 56. A sequel almost too strange for belief. Present from the Admiral. Nicholas to Pennington, 29th July (1641), 57. Why Nicholas objects to Ecclesiastical Reform. King's proposed Journey to Scotland: objected to by the Commons. The new Ministry expected: Hampden, Pym, Hollis, and Lord Saye and Seale, 58. Nicholas about to retire: but does not retire. Why both attempts to conciliate popular Leaders failed. The rock

they split against, 59. A warning for Pym to act upon. The warning taken, 60.

Notes. Sir R. Cotton's fufferings at feizure of his Library. The 1620 Parliament, 40. Why King's efforts to conciliate failed, 42. Royalist libellers of Pym. Doings when Pym was King. A proposed enactment, 43. Pym chides members for late attendance. Is happiest in Storms, 44. Pym and the "King's Daughter." Pym's Picture. Must avoid Heaven for fear of Bishops, 45. Pym's last restingplace, 46. Pym not adverse to the Church, but to Arminian practices, 47. Camden Society Books. Windebank to his Son, 17th Dec. 1641. Secret understanding with the Queen, 49. Windebank's grief at losing place. Same to his Son, 24th Dec. A fellow feeling, 50. Admiral Pennington looking for Young Vane's office. Captain Dowse to Pennington, 30th Dec", 51. Why Carterett was named Vice-Admiral by the Parliament, 52. Secretary Nicholas to Pennington, 54, 55. Sidney Bere to Pennington, 30th July, 1641. Notice to quit Whitehall. Proposed Viceroy during the King's absence. Consolations of a retiring official, 56.

#### § VI. THE WESTMINSTER TUMULTS . . . 60-67

Text. Publication of the Grand Remonstrance. A Fast Day, 22nd Dec. (1641), 60. How the King celebrated it. Discontented Holiday Crowds, 61. Sea and Land Storms. A religious war talked of. Lunsford's appointment cancelled. Too late. Memorable epithets first invented, 62. First blood shed in the Civil War, 64. Cause of sudden Assemblages in Westminster Hall, 65. Party statements. Who were the first Aggressors, 66. True beginning of the Civil War: in the attempt to destroy the Parliamentary Leaders, 66, 67.

Notes. Cavalier: Origin and Meaning of the Word. The King complains of its use. Roundhead, 63. William Lilly's evidence. The King's secret revealed. A Belief or Superstition. Character of Puritans, 64. What Lilly observed of the Tumults. A Parliament the People's only hope. Secret Counsels, 65.

#### § VII. CITIZENS AND SOLDIERS IN THE HALL . . 67-81

Text. Monday 27th Dec. 1641. Severity of the Winter, 67. Tempest at Sea. Mr. Thomas Smith to Pennington, 30th Dec. At Whitehall Gate, 29th Dec., 68. Exasperation of the People. Jesuitical Faction strong in the House. The Under Secretary to the Admiral, 30th Dec. Consusion and fears, 69. Lunsford knighted and pensioned upon his removal. Blood shed 27th Dec. Courtiers ordered to be armed, 70. Share in the tumults taken by Citizens and Apprentices,

PAGE

70, 71. What Mr. Bramston saw 27th Dec. Provocation to the People, 71. Soldier affailants. Volunteer Guard to the King. Clarendon's opinion of them, 72. Component elements of the Guard. The King's unfeasonable acceptance of their Service. Citizens infulted and affailed by them, 73. Cuts and flashes drawing blood. Plain meanings to Clarendon's speech. Eager encouragement to attack on Citizens, 74. Abettors of the Outrage. Defign in encouraging the Whitehall Desperadoes: to draw together a standing Guard, Admissions by the King 9th March 1641-2. Witnesses above suspicion, 76. A mad Christmas. Excuses for the Whitehall Guard. Unpopularity of Sir John Biron. Citizens chased about the Hall by armed Soldiers, 77. Affray in the Abbey Dec. 28th. Unprovoked outrage by the Soldiers 29th Dec. Gentlemen armed crowding the Court: 500 volunteer Lawyers: 30th Dec. Charge against Lord Bristol, 78. No blood shed by the Citizens. A fighting Archbishop, 79. Incitements to violence. Shops closed, and all men arming. Dangerous Beliefs, 80. A terrible responsibility, 81.

Notes. Fierce Frost in Paris: Windebank to his Son, 67, 68.

The Pension and Knighthood to Lunsford, 70. Archbishop Williams, 71. Slingsby's Ship at Spithead. His brother's connection with Strafford, 76. His error relative to the Citizens, 77. Entry from D'Ewes's Journal, 79.

#### § VIII. WHAT WAS PASSING IN THE HOUSE . . 81-88

Text. First day of the tumults, 27th Dec<sup>r</sup>, 81. Second day of the tumults, 28th Dec. Lord Newport's dismissal debated. Oliver Cromwell speaking, 82. Denounces the Earl of Bristol. Denzil Hollis attacks Lord Digby. Lord Digby's complicity with attempts of 3rd & 4th Jan<sup>y</sup>, 83. No acquittal of Lord Digby intended. Resolution on his Impeachment. Long silences in the House. Tuesday 28th Dec<sup>r</sup>, 84. Wednesday 29th Dec. Cromwell as to officering of the Army. Threats of French Interference to put down English Liberties, 85. Insolence of a French Priest. Court Secrets known to the French. French Information, 86. Warning from a Prisoner in the Gate House, 87. Prison for Jesuits and Recusants. The danger known to Pym, 88.

Notes. State of D'Ewes's Journal in the Harleian MSS, 81.

The Spanish Match, 82. Irish military appointments, 85.

John Marston to Lord Kimbolton: Nature of his Communication, 87.

Attack on the Parliament expected, 88.

#### § IX. THE BISHOPS SENT TO THE TOWER . . 88-105

Text. Thursday 30th Deer, 83. Message from the Lords, Protestation of the Bishops, 89. They retire from the House: and protest against Proceedings in their absence, 90, 91.

Effect of Protest. An opportunity defired by the King. "Mobs" for two days only. Amount of provocation given, 92. What the Bishop of Norwich saw and heard. Fright given in the House itself. Some Lords advising, 93. Lord Hertford alarms the Bishops. Other Lords smiling. What passed at Williams's Lodgings. "Unfortunate" Accident, 94. Charles and his Lord Keeper at Whitehall. Accident or Defign? A furprise for the Bishops. What Cromwell thought of the Protestation, 95. The Bishops characterized by Cromwell. General feeling at the time, 96. Clarendon's opinion as to Impeachment. Contemporary Accounts. Slingsby to Pennington, 97. His opinion of the Protestation: even Bishops' friends averse to it. Bere to Pennington, 30th Dec. Committal of the Bishops, 98. "Our deplorable condition." Prays the great tempests have left the Admiral safe. Mr. Thomas Smith to Pennington, 30th Dec. Endeavour of Bishops to undo what Long Parliament had done, and compel a diffolution, 99. Williams compared to Achitophel. Complicity of Lords Briftol and Digby. Real drift of the Protest. Prompt action of the Lords, 100. A conference. 30th Dec. 8 o'clock p.m. ten Bishops sent to the Tower, 101. Laud and Williams within the same walls at last. Door shut on persecuted and persecutor. Caricature of Williams as a Decoy Duck, 102. A witty conceit: Laud's enjoyment thereof. Perhaps his last gleam of mirth, 103. D'Ewes sees the Bishops' Bench turned into lumber. Is glad they no longer call themselves "Lordships"; and would keep them where they are, 104. "Close air" at Charing Cross, 105.

Notes. What the mob did to Archbishop Williams. Evidence of Bramston, Hyde, and Hacket, 89. Hacket's Scrinia Reserata described. Useless Knowledge. Written during the Protectorate. Attack on Milton, 90. A schoolboy scribbler. Shakespeare not known. Praise of Jonson, Chaucer, and Spenser, 91. How the Protest was signed, 95. Case against the Bishops. Themselves to thank for their unpopularity. Their violence and passion 17th June 1641. A true prediction, 96. Great Storms raging on the coast, 99. Hacket's lament for the Bishops. No love of Bishops among the Lords, 101. Debate as to calling in Bishops of Lichsield and Durham, 102. The two Archbishops exchange Civilities in the Tower. Caricature of Williams as Church Militant, 103. Disadvantages of the Black Rod, 105.

§ X. SHADOWS OF THE COMING EVENT . . . 105-112

Text. House of Commons Dec. 30th (1641), 105. Members delighted by the folly of the Bishops, 105, 106. Members alarmed by a suggestion of Pym's. Objection made by D'Ewes, 106. A strange motion expected: which follows accordingly,

PAGE

106, 107. Pym's Speech. The remedy for danger. Necessity for an immediate Guard, 107. The whole truth not told. Report of Pym's Speech by D'Ewes. A design to be executed: Plot for destroying the House of Commons. Adjournment to Guildhall proposed, 108. D'Ewes opposes departure to City. "Let us not be taken together." The design near or distant? Friday 31st Dec. (1641), 109. Demand for Guard under Lord Essex, 109, 110. No reply. Halberts meanwhile provided. Committee to receive reply. Saturday 1st Jan. (1641-2), 110. A Council at Whitehall. Falkland and Culpeper swom into their offices, 111. Consequences and responsibilities incident to office at such a time, 111, 112.

Notes. Dates of New Appointments, 110, 111. Culpeper Chancellor of the Exchequer. Falkland Secretary of State, 111.

#### § XI. THE IMPEACHMENT BEFORE THE LORDS . . 112-118

Text. Monday 3rd Jan. 1641-2. King's message to Commons refusing Guard. Attorney-General delivers impeachment to the Lords. Introduced by Lord Keeper Littleton, 112. The Seven Articles of Treason. i. General Charge. ii. Authorship of Remonstrance. iii. Tampering with the Army. iv. Invitations to the Scotch. v. Punishment of protesting Minority. vi. Raising Tumults. vii. Levying War, 113, 114. Agitation among the Lords. Immediate action taken. King's demand refused. Agreement with Commons, 115. Lord Kimbolton repels the Charge. Lord Digby silent, 116. Failure in courage or good faith: Clarendon's charge against him, 117. Digby affects surprise: and suddenly quits the House, 117, 118.

Notes. MS. Articles of Treason in State Paper Office, 114, 115.

Date of transmission of Petition of both Houses for Guard, 115. Charles's answer thereto. Not Lord Essex, but Lord Lindsay: the most devoted of Royal Partizans, 116.

#### § XII. THE IMPEACHMENT BEFORE THE COMMONS . 118-126

Text. D'Ewes in the Lower House. Pym speaking to the King's refusal of a Guard. D'Ewes's hurried and unfinished Reports, 118. Suggestion for a City Guard. Fragments of Pym's Speech. Pym and Hollis informed of outrage at their homes, 119. Their and Hampden's Papers seized by King's Warrant: Declared a breach of Privilege. Resistance justified. Resolution against Seizure of Private Papers, 120. Violation of Law as well as Privilege. The new Ministers silent. Hyde absent. No opposition attempted. The King's Serjeant at the door of the House, 121. Enters, without his Mace. Demands the five Accused. No Debate. Composure of the House, 122. The Serjeant ordered to wait outside. Deputation to carry Message to the King: The accused will answer any legal Charge, 123. The Five Accused

PAGE

ordered to attend daily. Refolution for Military Guard out of the City. Venn and Pennington sent to the Lord Mayor. Day declining, 124. Seals affixed by King's Warrant to be broken. King's Agents who seized Papers to be imprisoned, 125. Last Act of the House on 3rd Jan's, 126.

Note. Sir Wm. Killigrew and the diamond hat-band and ring, 125.

#### § XIII. WHAT FOLLOWED THE IMPEACHMENT . 126-129

Text. Interview with the King. A Promise for next day. Authority for Scene to be described, 126. Admixture of true and salfe. View taken by Mr. Hallam: how far credible, 127. Did the King ast apart from all advice? Were Attorney and Keeper wholly ignorant? What Strode thought of their participation, 128. Proposed attempt of the 4th not secret to the last. Discussed the previous night, 129.

Notes. Ill advisers. Mr. Hallam's view not consonant with character of the King, 127. Mr. Attorney's Excuses to the House: disbelieved by Strode, 128. The Queen's Attorney put forward. "Shut the door," 129.

#### § XIV. Scene in the Queen's Apartments . . 129-139

Text. Ill Advisers, 129. Papists and women. Statement of Madame de Motteville, 130. Warning to the Accused from Effects of Queen's intermeddling. French Ambassador. Her designs suspected by the Commons, 131. Suspicions proved true. Clarendon explains her defire to have the Members impeached, 132. To fave herself from Impeachment. Lucy, Countess of Carlisle: her daily intercourse with Pym and Kimbolton after Strafford's death, 133. Retribution for betrayal of her friend: Betrays the Court to the Commons. Her conduct explained by her character, 134. Her brother Northumberland. Sir Philip Warwick's Scandal, 135. A fuggestion more probable. Doctor Bates. Privy Counsellors faid to have advised the King, 137. King and Queen on the night of the 3rd January: On the morning of the 4th Lady Carlisle closeted with the Queen, 138. The One hour. Queen betrays her Secret. Lady Carlifle betrays the Queen, 139.

Notes. Henrietta's conduct on the return from Scotland. May the Historian, 130. Charles misled, 131. Abstraction of the Crown Jewels, 132. A Courtier's view of the Impeachment and Arrest. Busy Stateswoman become She-Saint, 135. No ground for Warwick's Libel. Pym's unpuritanic manners. "Roundhead" explained by Baxter, 136. "That roundheaded Man," 137.

PAGE & XV. COUNCIL ON THE NIGHT OF THE 3RD OF JANU-ARY . . 139-154

Text. The night's debate: Who were present? 139. Testimony of Sir Arthur Haselrig. Gratitude to Lady Carlisse. Rage of the Queen. What Philosopher Hobbes says, 140. Direction in which to look for motives and objects of attempt of 4th January. Not so rash as supposed, 141. Position of the King after failure of attempt of the 3rd January. Challenge taken up by the Commons. Difficulty of retreat. Alleged Evidence to support the Charge, 142. False Step irretrievable within limits of Law. Nature of the act already com-One way to recover ground, 143. Renewal of attempt with means to enforce it. Foiled only by Lady Carlisse's warning. Idea of resistance inseparable from proposed attempt, 144. The King incapable of a wise fear. Issue raised, one of violence: reason why House withdrew its members, 145. Source of Queen's felf-reproach: not prevention of attempt, but interception of consequences. Previous preparations at Whitehall and in the City, 146. Evidence of Captain Langres. Affiftance fought from Inns of Court, 147. Killigrew fent round with copy of Impeachment, 148. What the new ministers thought of the guilt of the accused, 149. Objection to arrest only after its failure. Hyde employed to justify it. Misrepresentation of the case, 150. No privilege claimed against Treason. False Issue raised, 151. Indemnity from Treason never claimed. Method of proceeding only objected to. Culpeper's confidence to Dering. Charles's trust in his new Counsellors, 152. Imputation against Hyde and Believed to be "Contrivers" of the Arrest, his friends. 153. Their mode of objecting and denying: no evidence of "detestation" of the Deed, but rather proof of indirect participation, 153, 154. Stake played for and loft, 154.

Notes. "Littel Vil Murry," 139. May, and Hobbes, as to a demand for names of King's Advisers, 141. What Hyde thought of the Arrest: and what he would have done himself, 142, 143. Whitelock's view. Extent of danger prevented by Lady Carlifle, 145. Inns of Court Volunteer Guard. A troubled midsummer: 1628. The country on the eve of Resistance. Royal letter to Benchers of Gray's Inn, 147. Defire to have all citizens exercifed in Defect to be supplied, a want of discipline. Law Students not to neglect Studies, but to occupy leifure and vacations, 148. What Falkland, Culpeper and Hyde would have done with the Five Members: Seized them separately, and sent each to a different prison, 149. "Gentleness" of the King's attempt alleged by Clarendon. An act of favour, 150. Another sketch from same hand. The King's style of writing, 151.

§ XVI. MIDNIGHT VISIT TO THE CITY . . . 154-160

Provision against tumults next day, and against demand of Commons for Guard, 154, 155. Order of House for City Train Bands. Counter Warrant signed by the King, Grave Evidence against the Court, 155. Order to Train Bands to fire on the Citizens: Intercepted, and not published until now. Why not put in force. Reached the City too late, 156. Fortunate accident for the King. What might have been History. Copy of the Warrant. Reference to Five Members, 157. Train Bands called out for the King. All Gatherings of Citizens to disperse: On refusal, to be fired upon. Letter of Nicholas's Agent, 158. Whitehall clocks behind time. Anticipated by deputation from Commons? Past midnight at the Tower. Any further private Commands? 159. Inferences from Agent's Letter. Preparations for the morrow. Memorable day, 160.

Note. Interlineation by Secretary Nicholas, 158.

#### § XVII. Morning of the 4th of January . . 160-175

Text. House of Commons: Falkland reports King's Message, 160. Motion as to King's tampering with Inns of Court. Four Members fent to the Four Inns. Grand Committee, 161. Pym replies to Articles of Treason. Allusion to Strafford. Charge of bringing over the Army to Parliament: less treasonable than overawing Parliament by Army, 162. Comparisons invited. Avows publication of Remonstrance. Accepts the guilt and responsibility. As to charge of levying arms against King, 163. As to apprehending delinquents. Guilty of defending Christ's doctrine, and orthodox Church government. Judgment defired from the House. "Well moved." An ominous question, 164. Has not breach of privilege been committed? Hollis, Hafelrig, and Strode, defend themselves. Strode's speech. Haselrig's: his reference to Scottish Treason, 165. Hampden speaks. Justifies re-fistance. Defines ill and disloyal, good and loyal subjects. Unaccustomed emotion, 166. Where Hampden looked for true religion. In the two Testaments. The Protestant The Protestant Church true. Bible alone needful to Salvation. Traditions and superstitions devilish. The Romish Church false, 167. A Creed to live by and die for. Hampden's change of bearing. Secrets of his character revealed. Waiting his time. Charges by Hyde and D'Ewes, 168. "Serpentine fubtlety." Imperfect and prejudiced Judgments. What Hampden really was. Admissions of Clarendon, 169. Highest power of Statesmanship. A leader and governor of men, 170. Change in Pym as well as Hampden after accufation of Treason, 170, 171. All thoughts of moderation

PAGE gone. No compromise possible. A memorable friendship. Remark to Hyde. Advantage of knowing one's friends, 171. Conference with the Lords demanded. Impeachment denounced as a scandalous paper, 172. The Whitehall Guard an interruption to free debate. Composedness of the leaders of the Commons. Gatherings of armed Men near the House, 173. Pym moves a deputation to City. Deputation departs. No man to know its errand. Alarm still increasing. Adjournment for an hour, 174.

Notes. The table at Whitehall for gentlemen of Inns of Court. A violent young lawyer, 161. What made Hampden's hurt mortal, 168. Clarendon's character of Hampden: Equal to anything, 170. Pym greatest in the House, 171. Hampden and Pym as to "discretion" of Mr. Hyde. "Snappishness" of Mr. Hampden, 172. Bishops

in the Tower, 173, 174.

#### & XVIII. BETRAYAL OF THE SECRET 175-179

Text. A momentous interval. Lady Carlifle betrays all to Pym. Private Message from Lord Essex. House assembles: half-past one, 175. Report from Inns of Court. Lincoln's Inn. King's message to be in readiness this day: But as prompt in loyalty to Commons. Same from Gray's Inn, 176. From Inner Temple and from Middle Temple, 176, 177. House satisfied. Armed Crowds gathering nearer. entrance of the Five Members. The secret disclosed to the House, 177. Should the accused retire or remain? A new Actor on the Scene. Lenthal announces King's approach, 178. Leave to Five Members to absent themselves. Away to the City by Water. Strode resists, and is dragged out, 179.

Notes. Famous Entry in Commons' Journals, 177. Chronicler Heath, 178.

#### § XIX. THE KING'S APPROACH TO THE HOUSE

Text. The King's attendants, 179. As to their number and arms. Testimony of Sir Ralph Verney: of Rushworth: of Ludlow: of Thomas May, 180. Also of Mrs. Hutchinson, and D'Ewes. Clarendon contradicts all: Relating what was "visible to all," 181. Slingsby's account to Pennington, 6th Jany, 181, 182. Armed Guards at Whitehall. and trouble of the Citizens, 182. Slingsby one of the King's companions. How "innocently" armed. Dismay at their approach. Shops shut up. The King passes through Westminster Hall, 183. Lobby of House of Commons suddenly filled. Armed men still press from without. Charles enters the House, where never King was but once, 184.

Notes. Reformadoes, 180. Slingfly describes impeachment. Members fitting in House notwithstanding, 182.

PAGE

§ XX. THE HOUSE ENTERED BY THE KING . . 184-195

Text. Voice of Charles heard as he enters, 184. Armed followers visible outside. Door kept forcibly open. Captain Hide and Lord Roxborough, 185. Members rife and uncover. A crowd of bare faces. Charles turns to a well-known feat. Misses Mr. Pym. Passes up to Speaker's Chair, close by D'Ewes's feat. Stands on step of Lenthal's chair, 186. Looks long before he speaks. Break in narrative of D'Ewes. One unmoved Spectator of the Scene. Young Mr. Rushworth. His Report and Description sent for by the King. Important Corrections made therein, 187. Copy so corrected in State Paper Office: A help to more vivid reproduction of the Scene. The King's Speech to the House, 188. Expects Traitors to be delivered up to him, 189. Are the Five Members in the House? No reply. Nothing will be well, until Accused are surrendered. Must have them. Painful hesitation and effort. Addition by D'Ewes, 190. Confirmation of Rushworth. Enquiries for Pym and Hollis. Reply. Looking for them himself. Speaker Lenthal's Speech. No eyes or tongue but as the House's Servant, 191. Extraordinary Speech for an Ordinary man. Another greater but like example. "Dreadful" Silence. The King conscious of his failure, 192. His birds flown. Protests he never intended force. Means to maintain the concessions he has made. Expects the Five will be fent to him. Declares their Treason foul, 193. Leaves the House: in anger, but not amid silence, 193, 194, 195. "Privilege! Privilege!" shouted after him. Paffes out, through files of armed Adherents, 195.

Notes. Captain Hide: prominent in Westminster tumults: cashiered and re-appointed, 185. Rushworth's report of the Speech corrected by Charles, 188. Erasure by the King. Enquiry for Pym also erased by King, 189. Charles the First's Speech at his Trial, 192. Slingsby's narrative of outrage. Silence of House explained. Charles determined to have the Accused. House had sent to City for 4000 men. Shops all shut. Bere to Pennington, 6th Jan. (1641-2), 194. Uncertainty as to slight of Members, 195.

#### § XXI. IMPRESSION PRODUCED BY THE OUTRAGE . 195-204

Text. Proceedings in House after King's departure. Speech of Hotham, 195. Cries for adjournment. House rises at 3.30 p.m. D'Ewes describes the King's design: to have raised a conslict in the House. Details of the plot, 196. Armed desperadoes not to be restrained. The King's person in danger. Strange deliverance. King's approach told to Fiennes, 197. Withdrawal of the members. Opposition of Strode, 198, 199. Will seal his innocency with his blood. Sir Walter Earle pulls him out by the cloak. The

Accused warned at dinner hour by Essex, 200. Unimpassioned character of D'Ewes's testimony. His sense of danger marked by execution of his Will: and setting his house in order, 201. Isolation of D'Ewes from mere party. His precision and sobriety. Question of the King's condust. Could have had but one purpose, 202. Not the act but the failure unpardonable. Success narrowly missed. Undersecretary Bere's dread as to ultimate result. Change must be for the worse. Rumours as to whereabouts of accused, 203. Worse storms on land than at sea. Circumstances well-known to Under-Secretary. His fears and forebodings, 204.

Notes. Abrupt entry in Journals of 4th Jan. (1641-2), 196. Identity of Strode with the earlier Strode difputed. Reply to objections made. Original impression strengthened, not weakened. Ages of the principal men of the Commons. Mistakes of Thomas May, 198. Contempt of Royalists for Strode. Varieties of Royalist slander, 199. Question of accommodation with the King. Parliament men in peril, 201. An Invitation for Christmas de-

clined, 204.
§ XXII. LORD DIGBY AND Mr. HYDE

204-218

Text. Violent and reckless counsel. Carrying attempt to its issue. Digby's proposal : to seize the Five Members dead or alive, 205. Mischief let loose by King's act. Rumours against Bristol and Digby. Small comfort for the Admiral. Suffering on waters, fear on land, 206. Jacob and Esau. Two parties out of House: but the leaders honest, and only one party now in House. Sole Rebels in England, 207. Open and fecret enemies. Cause for this digression. Hyde the King's private adviser: supplies secret papers and information, 208. Playing double and false. Betrays the Commons to the King, 209. Complaint of the King against Pym. Pym's rejoinder. Messages sent before voted. House warned against treachery. Letter to Pym, 210. Able members informed against. King's preparations. Parliament in danger. Charge aimed at Hyde, 211. Self-defence against treachery. Hyde accused of advising Arrest: Suggestion of his friends not to defend it, 212. Alleged speech upon Impeachment: Gross misrepresentation therein, 212, 213. Pretended occasion for Speech. Argument of Speech: no privilege for felony or treason: undisputed by Pym and Hampden, 213. Imputation against Leaders of the Commons. No proof existing that the Speech was spoken, 214. Hyde not in the House, nor at Guildhall, or Grocers' Hall, 214, 215. No evidence that Hyde took part in debates on arrest, 215. Reasons for absenting himself. His help more useful elsewhere. Appeal to force, 216. Impression to be made on the people, 218.

Notes. Private meetings in Hyde's lodgings. Suspicions against him. Hyde shut up with Charles, 209. Inconsistency in Hyde's MS, 215. Hallam's view of Impeachment, 216. William Lilly as to arrest of Members. Cost the King his Crown. All considence at an end. A dinner party on day of Arrest. Belief as to outrage intended. King's obstinacy, 217.

#### § XXIII. SIR SIMONDS D'EWES AND SPEAKER LENTHAL 218-251

Text. Further pause in Narrative required. MS. Diary of D'Ewes, 218. Illustrations to be drawn from it. D'Ewes a reliable Witness. Not a thorough going party man, 219. Differences with Leaders. Epithets applied to popular Chiefs. Why more tolerant of Pym: Pym more tolerant of him, 220. Discussion upon Answers to a Message. Objection of Royalists: D'Ewes supports it. Is assailed by violent spirits, 221. Perfifts in spite of them. Receives encouragement. Pym's "discretion and modesty." Adopts the amendment, 222. Mr. Strode less civil: speaks thrice and gets laughed at, 222, 223. Good humour of the House. Moderation of D'Ewes, 223. Proposed censure of Sir Ralph Hopton. Pope foliciting help against English Parliament, 224. Hopton's offence: His expulsion moved, 225. D'Ewes's speech in mitigation. Interrupted by the hot spirits. Appeals to order. His suggestion adopted by House, 226. Makes similar objection to Hopton's: with better success. D'Ewes's love for moderate speech. Another Case for Censure, 227. Sir Edward Dering's published Speeches. D'Ewes's indignation thereat. Would have Dering expelled. Denounces his vainglorious Preface, 228. Dering's attack upon the House. Mr. O. C. libelled. Mr. Speaker compliments D'Ewes, 229. Objection to suppression of a Book: will raise its value from fourteen pence to fourteen shillings. Dering expelled, and his Book burnt, 229, 230. Suggestion from Mr. Oliver Cromwell: Will D'Ewes answer Dering? 230. D'Ewes declines: has better things to Might not Mr. Cromwell do it? Other proofs of D'Ewes's accuracy. Originality of his Journal, 231. Hollis would alter a message voted. Message already printed. Who copies nightly from Clerk's Journals? Falkland and two others, 232. But not D'Ewes: he reports "out of his head," never at second hand, 232, 233. Clerk Elfyng's Apologies. A delicate matter discussed. Note-taking inseparable from Speech-making. Relations of D'Ewes to Lenthal, 233. His authority in precedents: Critic and Patron of Mr. Speaker. Weaknesses of Lenthal. Self-surrender of his only claim to respect. A Witness against Scot the Regicide, 234. A Time-server always. Traits and incidents from D'Ewes's diary. Question of Privilege, 235. Haselrig and Lenthal.

Attack on Mr. Speaker. D'Ewes rebukes Haselrig. Lenthal out of order, 236. Sugar duties' debate. Members entering just before Question put. Not to withdraw. Extraordinary proceeding of Mr. Speaker. Lenthal again at fault, 237. An Honourable Member interrupted. Honourable Member retorts. Mr. Speaker succumbs. D'Ewes's indignation. Lenthal's deficiencies as Speaker, 238. A Letter from the King. D'Ewes the great authority as to Order: Composer of discords in debate. Heat of ancient Burgess for Coventry, 239. Fierce and unparliamentary looks. D'Ewes's opinion thereon. Ancient member again. Vote for allegiance to Parliamentary General: disliked by D'Ewes, 240. Burgess for Coventry required to fay Aye: fays No. Assailed by Mr. Speaker. Wishes to say Aye: but not permitted. Other members frightened, 241. Sir Peter Wentworth cannot truft the King. Chancellor of Exchequer's horror. House overlooks this "folly." Old Sir Harry Vane. Startling Speeches. Sir John Northcote's avowal, 242. "Make the Prince our King." Old Vane declares for Milita and "new foundation." ' 243. Harry Killegrew's Speech. Novel Political Doctrine. House laughs. Young Vane very serious. Killegrew's apology. Pym resists his expulsion, 244. An indifcreet friend. D'Ewes goes in fearch of Records. Exposes Cornish ignorance. Is merciful in triumph, 245. Attempts to force early attendance. Alarming time when first found necessary. Tragi-comedy of the World, 246. House in sadness: Suddenly moved to laughter. The Shilling Fine. A failure. Shilling Fine again proposed. D'Ewes opposed to it, 247. Mr. Speaker late: rebuked: throws his shilling on table: will not take it up again, 248. Ill refults of the Fine. Refusals to pay. Jack Hotham ordered to pay. Flings his shilling on ground, 249. Beginning of the End. Call of House attempted. Not forty members present, 250. A Stranger in the House. How dealt with. Resumption of Narrative. Why interrupted, 251.

Notes. D'Ewes's detection of forged fignatures to a Royalist Petition, 219. Withdrawing for supper, 223. King accused of Popish designs. Too many grounds for such imputation. English Politics at Rome. Letter to Hyde from brother-in-law, 224. The Pope's Nephew: Says he has not somented English troubles. His "interest." in Pym and Hampden, 225. Remarkable entry in Journal. Generosity of House to Strafford's son, 227. Contrast to Lenthal, 234. Northumberland true to old friends. An example profitable to Kings, 235. D'Ewes avoids Chair of Committee, 239. Missortune to Royal Standard, 240. Occasion of Northcote's Speech. Anecdote of Killegrew. Will "find" a good cause, 243. A reprimand, 245.

Text. Mr. Rushworth sent for by the King, 251. Report of his Majesty's Speech demanded. Mr. Rushworth's humble excuses. King's sharp rejoinder. Speech transcribed from Notes, in King's presence. Sent to press, 252. Proclamation against Five Members. Ports closed against their escape. Their place of refuge. City of London. Merchants' home as well as place of business, 253. Its palaces and privileges. Sources of its power, 254. Its complete and organized democracy. Its incredible enrichment by trade. Clarendon's lament, 255. City disaffected to Court. Well affected to Commons. Services in the War. Excitement on night of the Arrest, 256. "Cavaliers coming." Apprehended seizure of arms, 257. King's Message to the Lord Mayor. Warrants against accused, 258.

Notes. Lord Mayor's letter to Aldermen. Military organization of City. Inftructions for Watch and Ward. Personal service required from Aldermen, 254. Fortifications of the City Walls, 255. Attacks on City in Royalist Satires, 256. City shops all shut. Rough draft of Royal Warrant. Ordnance safely disposed. Houses to be searched for muskets. Possessor fire-arms to be examined, 257.

#### § XXV. THE KING'S RECEPTION IN GUILDHALL . 258-263

Text. An important day for Charles I., 258. His last stake for good will of City. His confidence still unabated. Grounds for such false reliance. Present supporters and old traditions, 259. Reception on his way. Caution to be wary of Speech. Forced mildness. Captain Slingsby an eye and ear witness. "Privilege! Privilege!" "To your tents, O Israel!" 260. Arrival at Guildhall. King's Speech. Resolved to have the Five Members. Reliance on the City's good will. Will redress grievances and respect privileges: but must question Traitors, 261. Justifies Whitehall Guard. Offers to dine with liberal Sheriss. "Privileges of Parliament," and "God bless the King." Has any one anything to say? Yes: we vote you hear your Parliament, 262. No: that is not our vote. A bold fellow on a form. Rejoinder for him. "Trial—trial!" King dines with Sheriss. "Trial—trial!" 263.

Notes. King's Speech at Guildhall, 258. Affurances as to religion. Dinner at Sheriff's, 259.

#### § XXVI, HUMILIATION AND REVENGE . . . 264-271

Text. Incidents of the return to Whitehall. Wiseman to Pennington, 6th Jan. News of the Week, 264. Fears of Insurrection. Accused keeping out of way. Efforts to conciliate.

PAGE Gentleness of King's voice. Firmness of his purpose. Must bring Traitors to Trial, 265. Dinner at Sheriff Garrett's. Shouts against the King. Glad to get home. Why Commons left Westminster. Expectation of Bloodshed. Doubts which party strongest, 266. Retrospect. More P.C.s made. God preserve His Majesty! Message from Mrs. Wiseman. A worse trial for Charles. Visit from Common Council, 267. Their advice: Consult with your Parliament: Leave Tower alone: Disperse Whitehall Guard: Abandon Impeachment, 268. King's first act on return from City. New Proclamation against the Members! Rough Draft in King's hand. Kimbolton omitted, Instructions to Secretary Nicholas, 269. The guilty have escaped. Injunction to seize them. Warning against harbouring them. The City threatened. Solely the King's act, 270. Hopeless and reckless persistence. Repentance of Nicholas. Charles directs even Printing of Proclamation, 271.

Notes. Bere to Pennington: 6th Jan. Cries in City, 264.

Anecdote told by Slingsby, 268. King's instructions to Printer, 271.

#### § XXVII. REASSEMBLING OF THE COMMONS . . 271-28

Text. Wednesday 5th Jan. 1641-2. Yesterday's agitation not subsided, 271. Watches sent out: 260 Members present: 90 of the King's party. Member for Colchester leads Debate. Grimston's Speech. Its scope and value, 272. Exposition of the Power of Parliament. Why so awfully predominant? Because it punishes evil doers: comforts the oppressed: and strips the wicked of place, 273. Late outrage due to evil counsellors. Offences charged. Conduct in Parliament. Right to speak freely. Title not to have votes questioned: whether on Bills of Attainder or others; or in drawing up Remonstrances, 274. Conclusion. Members accused for conduct in House: Lodgings entered and papers seized: a breach of privilege. Motion upon Grimston's Speech. Opposed by Hopton. Excuses for the King. Committee to prepare Resolution, 275. They retire: nothing to be done till their return. They return in a quarter of an hour: with a Resolution written before we met, 276. D'Ewes not in confidence of Leaders; but his account trustworthy, 276, 277. Glyn's declaratory Refolution. Proposed Adjournment: Grand Committee to fit in the City. Warm Debate thereon. Sir Ralph Hopton, 277. Did not we give first provocation? And how gracious the King's Speech! Opposes Committee and Adjournment. "Grand" Committee altered to "Select." Adjourn till tomorrow at 9 o'clock, 278. Division upon going into City, 170 against 86. Selection of the Committee. All who come to have voices, 279. Its duties. Comprises several Royalists. Names on Committee. Hyde, St. John, and Cromwell absent

from it, 280. Motion by Lord Lifle. Irish Affairs. Sharp Debate led by Fiennes. Message to Lords. Abrupt rising of House, 281.

Notes. Division as to Duke of Richmond. One of D'Ewes's "young" men, 279.

#### § XXVIII. A SUDDEN PANIC . . . . . 281-289

Text. Armed men marching upon us. Sir John Clotworthy perfifts with Refolutions. Voted without being read. Diforderly Adjournment. Reasons for the fright, 282. Other Members to be accused and feized. City only had prevented it. Alarm of the King. Change of purpose. Refults of 4th Jan. Darkest Rumours thought true, 283. Scottish "incident:" 284. Irish rebellion: and army plot: King's share therein, 285, 286. Consequences of outrage worse than itself. Belief obtained for grossest Charges. Captain Carterett's fears. Mr. Wiseman's. Obedience posioned, 287. Powers of the State in conflict. Specific causes of Alarm. Digby's plan for securing Members. King withholds Consent. Clarendon's own plan: To seize and throw them into separate Prisons, 288.

Notes. Offer of Montrose to kill Argyle and Hamilton. Mr. Napier's disproof quite untenable. Text of Clarendon. Edition of 1826. Disclosed Author's plan. History composed of two MSS. Secretary's transcript. Altered and corrupted by Author's Sons, 284. Restorations. Scassfoldings of a book. Later and earlier Versions of same events. The Montrose charge, the later Version. Intended so to stand. Impossible not to print it: Reluctance of first Editors, 285. Additions in 1826 not to be consused with Restorations, 285, 286. Two kinds: weight respectively due to each. Montrose charge intended. The King its authority. Why first Version of it changed, 286.

#### § XXIX. How History may be written . . 289-294

Text. Faithless of Clarendon. Unsafe guide. Comparison with D'Ewes, Verney, and Rushworth, 289. Statement by Clarendon. Alleged tone of Members' Friends, 290. Affected fears and griefs. Proposal to adjourn Parliament. King's wish to get Parliament away from London. Appointment of Committee. Royalists silent, 291. Three King's Advisers: too dejected to speak. Clarendon's Account summed up. Five specific Statements, all untrue. Confronted with D'Ewes, Verney, and Rushworth, 292. Never proposed to adjourn Parliament. Limit of stay in City specified. Merchant Tailors' Hall not named. Royalists not filent. Culpeper and Falkland on Committee, 293.

Notes. Verney's account of Sitting of 5th. Rushworth's Account. Adjournment to City, 290.

§ XXX. ADJOURNMENT AND SUSPENSE . . . 294-300

Text. Master-stroke of meeting in the City. Necessity of suspending Westminster Sittings. Policy of appealing to Citizens. Alleged absence of danger, 294. Fears pretended to get help from "darling" City. But what say private letters in State Paper Office? Serious alarm at Impeachment. Fate of Members in balance. Wiseman's view, 295. The Under Secretary's. Captain Carterett's, 7th Jan. Gives no opinion, but states the fact. Vote of House for the Accused. Serjeant Dandie gone to seize them, 296. Attacked by the People. Obstinate resolve of the King. Thomas Smith to Pennington, 7th Jan. Protection of Accused against King, 297. King will use force. City resolved to resist. "God help us!" Slingsby to Pennington, 6th Jan. M.P.s discoursing of adjournment to City. Many refuse to go, 298. Fear to be thought "Accessories." Threats if Accused not given up. Royalists begin to favour Irish. Pym's heaviest charge proved true, 299. Sympathy with Irish Rebellion, 300.

Notes. Holborne's Argument, 299.

#### § XXXI. COMMONS' COMMITTEE AT GUILDHALL . 300-316

Text. Thursday morning, 6th Jan. No existing report of proceedings. Slight notices in Rushworth and Verney, 300. Confusions of Clarendon. A regular record by D'Ewes. Where the Committee sat. Welcome of the Citizens. Military Guard in attendance, 301. City Hospitalities. "Great cheer." First matter debated. Searching Lodgings and fealing up Papers. Issuing illegal warrants, 302. Attorney-General's Proceedings first questioned. Motion to fend for Warrants. Refisted by D'Ewes. Speech by him, 303. Explains privi-leges against arrest. Final, and temporary. Why such distinction. When the House to judge as to fact and penalty, 304. When as to fact only. Otherwise House might be thinned at pleasure. Yet Members guilty to be surrendered. Examples given. "Well moved," 305. Fair and just temper of Committee. No desire to be irresponsible, 306. D'Ewes refumes. As to cases where Lords join. Privileges claimed by both Houses. Impeachment by Lower House: compels furrender of the person. Malice not presumable, 307. Conclusion by D'Ewes. Loud acclamation. Glyn's Speech: aimed at such counsels as Hyde's. Private Informers of the King, 308. Spies in the House. Manifest breach of privilege. Glyn has taken leadership. Chiefs under him, 309. D'Ewes's Argument on Privilege. A firm position. More than one question at issue. Clarendon's evasion, 310. Not one, but many breaches of law. King powerless to arrest, 311. Each step an outrage. Subject may do what King cannot.

Shame of Attorney-General. Makes apology through a Friend, 312. Apology not believed. Mr. Strode's remark thereon. Debate as to warrants continued. Sound principles flated. No difference of opinion, 313. Difpute of D'Ewes with Wilde. Wrong issue suggested. Corrected by D'Ewes. Lords to issue Warrants. How to make a right thing wrong, 314. D'Ewes's victory over Wilde. Good sense of Committee. Resolutions voted. Against Warrants: against persons arresting under them. Young Vane rises, 315. Offers wise suggestion. Guard against claiming privilege for Crime. Subcommittee to draw proviso. Vane's clause voted and printed. Adjourn to Grocers' Hall, 316.

Notes. Why applaud D'Ewes and object to Hyde? 305, 306. Answer suggested. Doggrel "Five Members' March," 306. Just opinions as to Arrest. Smith to Pennington, 5th Jan. King not to accuse Subjects, 311. Discontent with the King, 312.

#### § XXXII. FACTS AND FICTIONS

316-320

Text. Clarendon Fictions. Alleged reftriction of Votes. Concurrent fittings of House. Hyde's afferted speech. Pretended references to House itself, 317. House confirming votes of Committee. All done during Five Members' Absence. Reply. Votes not so restricted. House itself not sitting. Hyde not Speaking, 318. No Short Sittings. Journals support D'Ewes. Evidence of published Declaration. As to Warrants: King powerless to issue them, 319. As to Arrest: King disabled from effecting it, 319, 320. As to claim of privilege: Not desired to bar a just charge. Readiness to bring guilty to Trial.

#### § XXXIII. AGITATION IN THE CITY

320-326

Text. Thursday night, 6th Jan, 320. Change in the People. Disposed to any undertaking, 321. Sudden alarm at Ludgate. Threatened attack on Coleman Street. The Digby Plot. Lunssord in it, 322. City in Arms. 140,000 men with weapons. Panic continues. Women in terror. Exertions of Lord Mayor, 323. Streets cleared. City again quiet. Thanks of Council to Lord Mayor, 324. Ill-timed defiance. Troop raised by Royalist Squire, 325. Tendency to undue sears, 326.

Notes. Evidence of Clarendon. Tribunes exalted. Court reduced. All flanders believed, 321. Speech of Stapleton. Lunfford's bragging, 322. Order from Council, Saturday 8th Jan. Members for City odious to Court. Swearing in of Falkland. Tumult of Thursday noticed. Its authors must be punished, 324. Certain persons (M.P.s) over earnest. Find out authors of Alarm. Give up their Names, 325.

§ XXXIV. First Sitting at Grocers' Hall . 326-333

Text. Friday 7th Jan. Witnesses as to Outrage of 4th. Abstract of their Evidence, 326. Concerted plan. Signal to be given. Disappointment. Necessity of forcing Commons to obey King. Signal only wanted. Forcibly keeping open door of House, 327. Counting numbers. Ingenuous Confession. An important Witness: At Whitehall the previous Friday. What Lieut. Jenkin said. Again at Whitehall on the 4th. Previous intelligence of King's defign, 328. Paffes over roof to escape Crowds. Knew of coming trouble three weeks ago. Impression made on D'Ewes. Satisfied as to purpose aimed at: to find excuse for armed conflict with House. Moves and carries vote to that effect, 329. Sheriffs of London in attendance. Asked as to Warrants. One replies, the other refuses. Difference between Wilde and Don't shout "Aye" or "No," but reslect and D'Ewes. consider, 330. Against calling in Warrants. Discreet tone as to the King. Respect still due. Touch of humour. An ill choice, 33r. Call in Sheriffs and dismiss them. Suggestion adopted. Motion that Five Members attend Committee: difliked by D'Ewes: carried. King meets the challenge, 332. Fresh Proclamation against accused. Unwife course, 333.

#### § XXXV. SECOND SITTING AT GROCERS' HALL. . 333-338

Text. Saturday 8th Jan. Reply of House to King's Proclamation, 333. Open defiance of the Sovereign. Alarming News. Step taken thereon. Guard ordered for the Tower, 334. Selection of Commanding Officer: Major-General Skippon: character and services, 335. Named chief of City Militia. How Authority comes into being: attends upon Necessity. Order for possecontatus. No such Guard needed, 336. Committee ignorant of their power. Triumph preparing. Members to be borne back by the People. Proposal of King to attend Committee. Its reception, 337. Due respect to be paid. Way to be made for King and Nobles, 338.

Notes. Importance of the Tower. Security to Merchants. Pym's Great Speech to the Lords, 334. Effect of political troubles on trade. Defence of the Commons, 335. Skippon and his Soldiers. Liking for Short Speeches, 336.

#### § XXXVI. SUNDAY THE NINTH OF JANUARY . . 338-339

Text. Visitors in City Streets and Chapels. Strangers meeting as Friends. Petitioners for Pym, 338. Petitioners for Hampden. Savoury Discourses. 122nd Psalm. Text preached from, 339.

#### § XXXVII. PREPARATIONS FOR THE TRIUMPH .

Text. Monday 10th Jan. Last Sitting in Grocers' Hall. Crowds affembled. Speeches of Glyn and Alderman Pennington. Suspected tamperings at the Tower, 340. Evidence of danger. "Cavaliers." Sub-committee appointed, and Byron fummoned. Motion against Killegrew and Fleming, 341. Moderation of Committee. Violent Language disliked. Resolutions modified and passed: Against agents on 3rd and 4th, 342. Against evil Counsellors: against Proclamations iffued; against warrants under King's hand, 343. Speech by Maynard: his fellowship with Glyn. Remembered at the Restoration, 344. His present view of Parliaments: their privileges: the attempted arrest: and the unlawful seizures, 345. All public business in peril. "Well Moved." Lords and Bishops uncontrolled. Men of Spirit disabled, 346. Agitation outfide. Petition of Sailors. Services of Mariners accepted. To meet at 3 next morning: at the Hermitage, 347. The "Water rats." The Five Membersapproach. Enter and take feats. Greeting. Offers from the Common People, 348. Thanked by Committee. Offers from Southwark Trained Bands. Accepted and told to be in Arms, 349. Protection of Sub-Committee. Arrangements for Tuesday's Guard. Irrevocable Step. Raising troops without Commission, 350. Refolutions voted. 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th, 351. 6th to 12th, 352. Hampden Speaks. Will you receive my Conflituents? 4000 from Bucks. Better go back? 353. No,

355. 3 p.m. 10th Jan. Committee closed, 356.

Notes. Verney's Notes, 343. Mr. Pepys's Political Rogues.
Popular View of them, 344. D'Ewes more correct than
Rushworth, 347. Harleian MSS, 349. Verney's Mistakes.
The Protestation, 351. What number from Bucks:
Hyde, Dering, Rushworth, and D'Ewes, 353. Whitelock
on same subject, 354. Hampden's share in Bucks Petition.
False Charge. Captain Hide. New Lieutenant of the
Tower, 355. Confessed usurpations. Why necessary, 356.

we will hear them. War beginning. Hampden's attitude and bearing. Last acts of Committee, 354. Captain Hide disabled. Refusal to receive Sir John Byron's Messenger,

#### § XXXVIII. FLIGHT OF THE KING . . . 356-369

Text. 3 p.m. 10th Jan\*, proposed Flight of King. Acts of Committee told to Charles, 356. His trouble and dismay. Takes studen resolve. Crowds for Hampden. For Pym, 357. Alarming defections, 358. "Water rats." Trained Bands. Triumph for "Traitors." Sudden sense of Danger. Sir Edward Dering to his Wife. Commons going high. King's "terror." Pity for the King, 359. Noted vices less dangerous than secret. Reason for quitting London. Hope of

PAGE

fupport elsewhere. Project of the Queen. Vigilance of Commons, 360. Secret Service of Pennington. Conveys Queen to Holland. Under-Secretary Bere to the Admiral, 13th Jan. Reports King's flight. Essex and Holland, 361. Secretary Nicholas, 362. Small Work left for Under-Secretary. Grief of a Secretary of State's Wife. Lord Keeper offers to resign, 363. Royal Reverses, 364. Gloomy picture, 365. Slingsby to Pennington. Unexpected change of position. Officers following the King. Lunsford at Kingston, 366. "Drunken flourish." Suspicious Associations. Digby and Lunsford, 367. Rejected Plan against Five Members. Queen's reproach to King for its rejection. Charles I. quits London: never to return as King, 368. The Five placed on their "thrones," 369.

Notes. Popular Petition. Pym's support of Law. Author of the Long Parliament, 357. Attacks on Pym. "Not a Gentleman or Scholar." "Rogue and Rascal." "Penitent Traitor," 358. Refusals to accompany the King. Waiting on Committee. Final Desertions. Libel on Essex, Holland, Warwick, and Pym, 362. D'Ewes and Lord Holland. King's slight not temporary. Union in Houses, 363. Literary Entertainment. Letters not safe. Desolate Court at Windsor. Endymion Porter to his Wife: 14th Jan. Very old story, 364. Troubles of a Courtier. Fear of "Rabble." King and Queen lying with their Children. Desperate times. King's poverty. Slingsby and Pepys, 365. Captain Carterett, 366. Agreement in Houses. One exception. Faction substitution, 367. Guizot's Révolution d'Angleterre, and English Translation of same, 368, 369.

#### § XXXIX. RETURN OF THE FIVE MEMBERS . . 369-376

Text. Tuesday 11th Jan. March of City by Land. Guard by Water. Great Festival. No mere Holiday, 369. Soldiers' pikes and muskets: carrying printed Votes of Houses. Embarkation at "Three Cranes." Under-Secretary's Account, 370. Welcome at Westminster. Entrance into House. Pym thanks the City. Striking expressions used, 371. Impression made on Royalist Member. Would you be King Charles or King Pym? Letter of Sir Edward Dering. Guard against no Enemy. Members thought still in danger, 372. Why Bucks Men came. Thanks by Mr. Speaker. Speech by Goodwin, 373. Bucks Petition brought in. Its Guard of 6000. Crowd and pressure in Lobby. D'Ewes in Westminster Hall. "Little square banners," 374. Departure of King noted. Question by Culpeper. Question by Sir Henry Chomley. Answered by Denzil Hollis. Close of Narrative, 375. Questions not settled in one Generation. Struggle of Commons against Crown: why successive struggle of Commons against Crown: who successive struggle of Commons against Crown: who successive struggle of Commons against Crown: whe successive struggle of Commons against Crown:

PAGE

Notes. What Clarendon faw, 370. Bere to Pennington, 13th Jan", 371. Bucks Petition to the House. Views held by Hampden. Petition to King, 373. Other Counties petition the King, 374.

#### 

Text. Arrest of Members a deliberate Act. How baffled. Only to be met one way, 376. The Civil War begun by it. Its connection with Remonstrance. Design of Remonstrance. Object of Arrest: to make the Minority masters of the House. Improbable case, 377. Peculiar Opinions of King. Nullity of Statutes in bar of Prerogative. All recent Acts in peril.

Affent under compulsion void. Dangerous Logic, 378.

Position of Accuser to Accused. Refusal to prosecute or withdraw charge. "Vindication" of Pym. Why he changed his conduct after Arrest, 379. Parliament his only Refuge. Traitor or Minister? King will do anything but withdraw charge. Will waive Impeachment: hopes Mr. Hampden is innocent: Will indict at Common Law, 380. Will abandon all proceedings: will give general Pardon: But nothing else. Attorney-General impeached and punished. King still immoveable. One of the Oxford propositions, 381. The Earl and the King. Strong ground for discontent: stated by Whitelock, 382. Clarendon's defence of Charles. The truth mifstated: as a ground for assailing Commons. Doubtful affertion of Whitelock, 383. Probable effect of withdrawing charge. Effect of King's obstinate refusal. Persistence in the outrage. Interval for good Advice. Good Advisers provided, 384. Refult upon the King. Events between 4th and 9th Jan. 4th p.m. Proclamation against Members. 5th a.m. King's Warrants and Visits to Guildhall. 5th p.m. Second Proclamation, 385. 6th a.m. Serjeant fent to arrest. 7th a.m. Common Council Petition. 8th a.m. New Ministers at Council Board. Same day: Third Proclamation against Members; and private order from Council Board, 386. No middle course possible. Acceptance of issue raised. Civil War, 387.

Notes. Paper War. Blunt better than keen nib. Burleigh and Cecil. Too clever Clerk of Council, 382.

### ARREST OF

# THE FIVE MEMBERS BY CHARLES THE FIRST.

A CHAPTER OF ENGLISH HISTORY REWRITTEN.

### § I. Introductory.

ONE of the most fatal days in the life of An at-Charles the First is generally, and justly, to its accounted to have been that wherein he made author: the attempt to feize with his own hand upon five members of the House of Commons sitting in their places in Parliament, against whom, on the day preceding, he had exhibited in the Upper House, through his Attorney-General, articles of impeachment for high treason. This incident, however, with its attendant circumstances, having become, in common with the events immediately preceding it, the subject of Lord Clarendon's most elaborate, ingenious, and studied misrepresentation, the true history Party misof it remains to be elicited from trustworthy, represenand as yet unpublished, contemporary records. it:

Not an ifolated act.

It was certainly not the isolated act of rash imprudence and felf-willed indifcretion which the champion of the party whom its failure most damaged very naturally defired that it should afterwards be considered. It was attended by too many incidents bespeaking a deliberate and fettled purpose, and came in the fequence of events with which it too exactly corresponded, to permit us fairly so to consider it. The author of it, confistently enough, always himself resented that imputation; and it is with a strict dramatic propriety he is made, by the writer of the Eikon Basilike, to ascribe the act not to passion but to reason, to claim for it just motives and pregnant grounds, and to rescue it from the reproach of being wanting in the discreetness that the touchiness of the times required. It was most assuredly in only too perfect agreement with all that the King and the King's friends had been attempting fince the day of Strafford's execution. The earlier period, with its close successfion of agitating conflicts, has been retraced in an Essay describing the Debates on the Grand Remonstrance; \* but some few gleanings in the field remain yet to be gathered, and will find here their proper place.

Dramatic correctness of the Eikon Basilike.

Authorities to be employed in the preties for this Narrative, fent narrative, all of them existing still in

<sup>\*</sup> Forster's Historical and Biographical Essays, i. 1-175.

manuscript, have not before been used in any of the histories; and it may be premised, as to MS. Illusfeveral important illustrations of the time and trations. many new facts of much weight, derived from contemporary correspondence in the State Paper Office,\* that among the letters to be earliest quoted are several addressed to Admiral Sir John Pennington, then commanding the fleet in the Downs, by correspondents evidently able and generally trustworthy, notwithstanding strong Royalist leanings. Pennington + was a Admiral favorite of the King's, and within a very few Pennington. weeks was to do him two memorable pieces of fervice, by carrying across channel out of the reach of Parliament not only Lord Digby, but the Queen and the English crown jewels,

\* Let me take the opportunity of faying, upon the thref- Services to hold of this work, that it could not have been written with- English out the facilities of access to the State Paper Office afforded History by the kindness of Sir John Romilly, to whom I offer my rendered warmest acknowledgments. Of the larger debt which all by Sir students of our history owe to the present Master of the Rolls, John it would hardly be becoming to speak in this place; but it is Romilly. due entirely to him that the noble stores of our State collections are now becoming accessible to all readers, and that in the double series of "Calendars," and of "Chronicles and Memorials," published by the Messrs. Longman under his direction, we have the promise of an ultimate contribution to our National History which Englishmen will be able to refer to with just pride, as unsurpassed for its variety and richness of materiel, and for the thoughtful consideration which, by the moderate price the volumes are issued at, has placed them within general reach.

+ Clarendon's Hist. ii. 277, 334-6, and iii. 98, 107. historian says of Pennington that he was a very honest gentleman, and of unshaken truthfulness and integrity to the King; adding that he had a greater interest in the common seamen than any other person, having commanded them so many

to be employed abroad in raifing materiel and

Pennington appointed to fucceed Lord Northumberland.

means for the waging of civil war at home. A few months later, upon dismissal of Lord Northumberland, the King had secretly made Pennington Lord Admiral, but the appointment was superseded by Parliament. present position in command of the home fleet rendered it extremely effential that he should be kept well-informed of events; and one of his captains, Robert Slingsby, brother of Strafford's friend and fecretary, feems to have Strafford's come to London mainly with this defign.

> Writing on the day of his own and of the King's arrival there (the 25th of November),

brother of fecretary:

Captain Slingsby,

> "from my lodging at a barber's house over "against the Rose Tavern, in Russell Street "in Covent Garden," Slingsby thus tells the Admiral the great parliamentary news:\* "The business now in agitation is a Remon-"france to be published, wherein the state " of this kingdom, before the Parliament, is

the Parliamentary news, 25th Nov. 1641.

relates

" all matters of state and government, fince "the King's coming to the crowne, being "ript up: as some say, very much reflecting

"fett down, and the Reformations fince:

" upon the King. On Monday last it was very "hottly debated (in) the House, with greate

" opposition: some making protestations against

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office. Slingsby to Pennington, 25th Nov. 1641. I follow the ordinary mode of spelling the name, though the writer always subscribes himself "Slyngsbie."

"it: it held almost all the night. At last being A nightvoted, it was carried for the Remonstrance, debate.

"by eleven voices: yett they have fince fallen upon itt againe, and have mittigated some

"thinges which occasioned greatest opposition

"to it; yett doth it not passe freely them

"who befor oppugned it."

It was hardly furprifing that it should not, confidering how much was at stake. Every inch of ground was contested. Also writing on the same 25th of November, Mr. Sidney Sidney Bere, who (having charge of the foreign dif- Bere, Under patches) had been in attendance on the King Secretary in Scotland, and who obtained employment as of State: Under Secretary upon the appointment of Nicholas (on Monday the 29th November) as principal Secretary of State, makes fimilar allusion to the grand intelligence of the day, and in a tone which shows his nearer acquaintance not alone with public affairs, but with those to whom their guidance was entrusted: "For "the business of the Houses of Parlt. they " have been in greate debates about a Remon-" strance, wch the House of Commons framed, " showing the grievances and abuses of many " yeares past: the contestation now is how to describes

"yeares palt: the contestation now is how to describes bullish it, whether in print to the publick opposition to print-

"view, or by petition to his Majesty. It was ing the Remonfoe equally carried in a division of opinions, strance.

"that there were but 11 voices different:

"this day is a great day about it, but what ye

Fears of the wife. " event will be I shall not be able to write you " by this ordinary. It feems there are great "divisions betweene the two Houses, and even "in the Commons House, weh if not suddenly " reconciled may cause very great distractions "amongst us. It's the fear of many wise and "well-wishing men, who apprehend great "distempers, weh I pray God to divert."\*

Narrow majorities in House of Commons.

So desperate was the struggle between forces not fo unequally matched as historians have supposed; and the result thus far was, that the party which attempted a reaction in favor of the King had been defeated by this narrow majority. But other confiderations still hung in the balance. It remained to be feen, on the one hand to what uses the victory would be turned, on the other what yet might be done to mitigate the confequences of defeat. While the struggle was at its height, Charles was on his way back from Scotland; having fent before him the most urgent injunctions that until his arrival at least the conflict was to be prolonged. Three days before he appeared at Whitehall the Remonstrance had been voted by its majority of eleven. Still there were questions to be raised in connection with it, and still, as we have feen, the contest was concontinued tinued. Charles was hardly lefs eager that the terrible record of his past misgovernment

Conflict

\* MS. State Paper Office. Sidney Bere to Admiral Pennington, 25th Nov. 1641.

should not be presented to him, than he had been that it should not be passed; and, after it was presented, it became the great object of himself and his friends to obstruct its publication.

On the 16th of December, Captain Slingsby First great writes to Admiral Pennington: "Yesterday mentary "the House of Commons fell upon the Remon-divisions. "ftrance weh they had formerly presented to "the King with a petition; but had received "no answer. It was hottly debated, whether "it should be printed or nott: it helde them " very late in the nighte: at last being voted, "it was carried by many voices to be printed: "yett fo as those were about a hundred weh did " protest against it, wth a caution if it were Protesting "not contrary to the orders of the House, with a difference. " and defired their names might be printed wth "the Remonstrance: that caution was to "avoid the penaltie of Mr. Palmer, who was "before comitted for protesting against it.

" protesters will be questioned for it."\*

A fortnight before this date, another friend, Mr. ThoMr. Thomas Wiseman, a man of considerable mas Wiseman to
wealth and influence, had written in similar Admiral

"It was after debated, whether to protest against anything that is voted in the House, be not contrary to the orders of the House: and it is thought by some that some of the

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office. Slingsby to Pennington, 16th Dec. 1641.

ton, 2nd

Penning- strain to the Admiral of Palmer's imprison-Dec. 1641, ment. He described, in a few lines which express exactly the nature and weight of the offence Palmer had given, and which Clarendon has laboured fo ingeniously to conceal, the

Palmer's protest and punishment.

act that brought with it the "penalty" referred to by Slingsby.\* "Mr. Palmer, the lawyer, " was fent three days agoe to the Tower, because "hee was the first man that defired to have " his Protestation entered Against the Remon-" ftrance in the name of All The Reft." In the fame letter Mr. Wiseman, adverting to matters connected with the Remonstrance and making a curious mistake as to the day of the great debate (which was Monday the 22nd, not Thursday the 18th of November), gives us a glimpse of the temperate hopes too sanguinely expressed by the Admiral himself: "This "Parliament, as you observe, I hope may " prove more temperate; if foe bee all the "membrs of the Houses were fure mett

Absentees from the Houses.

"together: but I prefume they have already "don their worst; the Remonstrance being "finished uppon Friday was sennight, when "the House of Comons did sit debating of "the matt" therein contayned from three of "the clock in the afternoone on Thursday till

"Friday morning at three of the clock; and " beeing putt to the questione whether the

"Remonstrance should procede or not, there

\* See Hist. and Biog. Esfays, i. 117-132.

" was 159 persons for itt and 148 against it. The ma-"And this very day it brought the King to jority of eleven. towne, it being presented unto him wth a

" petition thereunto annexed yeasterday at

" Hampton Courte: what the sequel will bee

" of it, a little tyme and patiense will inform

" us. But there was never more heate in both Never

"the Houses then att present: God send them more heat in Parlia-" better at unitie whereby we may enjoy fairer ment than

"hopes of peace and tranquillitie, and the Dec. 1641.
"King to shyne out wth as much brightness

" and splendor as heretofore he hath done." \*

A hope, alas, with small chance of realization after the vote of the 15th of December by which the Remonstrance was placed in the hands of the people. But, discomfitted in this direction also, a final stand was nevertheless to be made, and a final defeat to be encountered, upon the monstrous assumption of a right in the Minority to enter formal Minority protest against the series of votes it had itself against been successively out-voted in resisting. That Majority. was on the 20th December: and within a fortnight after its date, as the fuccessful leaders fat in their places in the House (the interval having witnessed a despairing effort, hitherto unknown and unsuspected, to win over Pym to the Court by a large and lucrative employment), the attempt was made to feize them.

<sup>\*</sup> MS State Paper Office. Wiseman to Pennington, 2nd Dec. 1641.

Close of the first Parliamentary Party in England.

Such were the stages of a conflict, throughstruggle of out very steadily maintained, of which the object on one fide was to uphold, and on the other to overthrow, the legitimate action of the House of Commons. Was it possible that the long and hard fought battle should have had a more confistent close? It began in a fecret project to overawe the Majority by bringing up the army to Westminster. It was continued through a fuccession of organized efforts to defraud the Majority of its lawful powers by the pretence of unlawful constraints. And it was to be ended, surely with no inappropriateness, after a secret and successless effort to bribe with place the most distinguished of the leaders of the Majority, by an attempt openly to strike them down. To what extent in this the King acted alone, or with the advice and countenance by which he had profited in every other stage of the struggle, it will be one of the objects of this Essay to endeavour to develope.

## § II. THE KING'S RETURN FROM SCOTLAND.

A ffertions don.

It is repeatedly afferted by Lord Clarendon of Claren- that Lord Digby was Charles the First's only adviser in his resolve himself to effect the arrest of the five members; but in implying that the rash act had the disapproval of the more legitimate advisers of the Sovereign, he nowhere afferts that the articles of im-

peachment, of which it was but the too hasty and violent affertion, were in their opinion unjust. It would be hazardous to affirm of The two the King's attempt of the 4th of January, of the 3rd that it was a more flagrant violation of law and 4th and privilege than his attempt by means of January. his Attorney-General on the previous day; yet, remembering that Falkland became a Privy Councillor only two days before, and five days New State later received the seals of a Secretary of State, ments: that Culpeper fat as Chancellor of the Exchequer on the day Falkland was sworn of the Privy Council, and that Hyde had been offered concurrently the office of Solicitor General,-keeping in mind, moreover, that the person chiefly instrumental in bringing about all these promotions is admitted by advised Clarendon to have been Lord Digby himself,\* Digby. -it would be still more difficult to believe that the act of the Attorney-General, and the pro-

<sup>\*</sup> Clarendon expressly informs us (Hist. ii. 99, 100),
"The Loid Digby was much trusted by the King, and he
"was of great familiarity and friendship with the other three,
"(Hyde, Culpeper, and Falkland), at least with two of them:
"for he was not a man of that exactness as to be in the
"entire considence of the Lord Falkland, who looked upon
"his infirmities with more severity than the other two did
"... He was equal to a very good part in the greatest
"affair, but the unsittest man alive to conduct it, having an Lord Dig"ambition and vanity superior to all his other parts, and a by's
"considence in himself, which sometimes intoxicated, and friend"transported, and exposed him ... He had been instruships.
"mental in promoting the three persons above mentioned to
"the King's savour; and had himself, in truth, so great an
"esteem of them, that he did very frequently, upon con"ference together, depart from his own inclinations and
"opinions, and concurred in theirs."

A question ceeding with which the King followed it up, for enwith whatever feelings regarded after the event quiry. by these men, could have been taken in the first instance absolutely without their knowledge, or even their suspicion. There is ground for believing otherwise; and even if nothing more than a case of strong presumption be proved, it ought in the particular circumstances to tell

against Falkland, Culpeper and Hyde.

Suspicions heavily against them. That they were more than fuspected at the time, Clarendon admits; and he adds that though fuch men as Hampden and Pym had a better opinion of his discretion than to believe he had himself any share in the advice of those proceedings, yet they were very willing that others should believe it.\* Perhaps the real difficulty was, as the facts may tend to show, not to believe it.

Charges against Pym and

The King's way of dealing with opponents.

The King had returned from Scotland, there cannot be a question, bent upon charging Pym Hampden, and Hampden with treasonable correspondence during the Scotch Rebellion. Unfortunately for Charles the First, it was almost always matter of doubt with him whether he should crush or cajole an antagonist; and such was his vice of temperament that whichever resolve he might finally take, was sure to be taken too late. He tried the one too late to destroy the league for the Covenant in Scotland, he tried the other too late to fave the life of Strafford in England.\* And now, Crushing even while bent upon fastening a charge of or concilitreason against the popular leaders, based upon always too the fame transactions as those which suggested late. a fimilar charge at the eve of the Long Parliament, I shall be able to show that even now there again occurred to him, and again too late, that it might be possible to win by stratagem + what he could not but secretly distrust his power to win by force. Of course with the usual result. When a weak irresolution

\* Hear what is faid by Clarendon: "If that stratagem Stratagem" (though none of the best) of winning men by places had of winning "been practifed as foon as the resolution was taken at York men by "to call a parliament (in which, it was apparent, dangerous places."

"attempts would be made, and that the court could not be be able to relift those attempts), and if Mr. Pym, Mr. " Hampden, and Mr. Hollis, had been then preferred with "Mr. Saint-John, before they were desperately embarked in their desperate designs, and had innocence enough about "them to trust the King, and be trusted by him, having yet contracted no personal animosities against him; it is very " possible that they might either have been made instruments "to have done good service, or at least been restrained from " endeavouring to subvert the royal building, for supporting "whereof they were placed as principal pillars." Hift. ii. 60. In another passage of his history (iv. 438-9), he tells us: "The King at one time intended to make Mr. Pym Offers to "Chancellor of the Exchequer, for which he received his Pym. "Majesty's promise, and made a return of a suitable profession " of his fervice and devotion: and thereupon, the other "being no fecret, somewhat declined from that sharpness in "being no fecret, somewhat declined from that marphets in
"the House which was more popular than any man's."

But again elsewhere he admits, still speaking of the proposal to give office to Pym and Hampden: "It is great
non"pity that it was not fully executed, that the King might acceptance
"have had some able men to have advised or affished him."
regretted

+ That, as has just been seen, is Clarendon's expression applied to the King's mode of procedure (ii. 60)—"the "tratagem of winning men by places." He had himself fufficient experience of it.

by Hyde.

prevents a man from doing at the right time what is right, obstinacy (which is but another form of the same weakness and equally inaccessible to reason) will always confirm and make him obdurate in whatever he may have ultimately done wrong.

Treasonable correfpondence. members with Scotch rebels.

Ominous threatenings of that purpose of the King to revive the charge of treasonable corof English respondence with the Scotch against Hampden and Pym, had preceded his return from Scotland; and that it was known to those admitted to his confidence, no well-informed student of this period of history will be disposed to doubt. When Clarendon, therefore, speaking for himfelf and his friends as having with the greatest courage and alacrity opposed what he terms, "all the feditious practices" of the leaders of the Commons, proceeds to admit that they were far from thinking that the five members were much wronged\* by the accufation of treason; nay, that so visible in the of the five House had been their extreme dishonest arts, † that nothing could have been laid to their charge incredible, only they thought it an unseasonable time to call them to account for it; and that, in regard to the choice of persons. it was indifcreet to have included Lord Kimbolton with the members of the Lower House,

Clarendon's opinion accused.

<sup>\*</sup> Hist. ii. 160.

<sup>+</sup> This word is incorrectly printed "acts" by Clarendon's editors.

—it would feem tolerably certain that he carries Kimbolhis affectation of ignorance fomewhat too far.\* ton a Scotch Kimbolton was included notoriously because Comof his conduct in the previous year as one of the Commissioners "to arrange all causes of dispute with Scotland," and because of the impossibility of stating the alleged case against Hampden or Pym without involving Kimbolton also.

There are several passages in Charles's secret narrowly correspondence with Secretary Nicholas, during watched by the his absence in Scotland, which show with what Court. eager curiosity the doings of Kimbolton were watched at the time. Lady Carlisle, who, Lady though still continuing her intercourse with interthe Court, appears undoubtedly after Strafford's course with both death, for reasons hereafter to be noticed, to parties. have given what help she could to the popular

\* "The purpose," says Clarendon (Hist. ii. 128, 129), "of "accusing the members was only consulted between the Secret "King and Lord Digby; yet it was generally believed that consultathe the King's purpose of going to the House was communitions. "cated with William Murray of the Bedchamber, with "whom the Lord Digby had great friendship; and that it "was betrayed by him . . . . He [Lord Digby] was the "only person who gave the counsel, named the persons, and "particularly named the Lord Mandeville, against whom less could be said than against many others, and who was "more generally beloved," &c. &c. And again he says, (pp. 160, 161), when remarking that a fitter choice should have been made of the persons for arrest—"There being Kimmany of the House of more mischievous inclinations, and bolton's ill designs against the King's person and the government, and company. "more exposed to the public prejudice, than the Lord "Mandeville Kimbolton was: who was a civil and well-

"natured man, and had rather kept ill company than drank
deep of that infection and poison that had wrought upon

" many others."

ous mediator.

leaders, is reprefented in one of Nicholas's letters A danger- (27 September, 1641), as having taken to the Queen a paper which it was much to the King's fervice to make public, and which she had obtained from Lord Mandeville.\* (Lord Mandeville, or Kimbolton, I need hardly acquaint the reader, was the eldest fon of the Earl of Manchester, and had been called to the Upper House in his father's barony of Montagu of Kimbolton.) The contents of that paper were fuch, however, that it became matter of doubt whether that which had appeared upon the furface of it fo defirable to be known in the King's interest, was not in reality a matter much more effential to be known in the interest of the King's opponents; and the conduct of Lady Carlifle foon confirmed the latter supposition. Nicholas himfelf makes no concealment of his doubts of Kimbolton. He is careful to tell the King, "I hear there are divers meetings at Chelsea, "at the Lord Mandeville's house, and else-"where" (Pym also had lodgings in Chelfea

Meetings in Pym's lodgings at Chelsea.

Doubtful fervices.

> at this time) "by Pym and others, to confult "what is best to be done at their next meeting "in Parliament." † Nor perhaps is it necesfary to add that the alleged notorious complicity of Hampden with the fo-called Scottish treason was the subject of countless contem-

<sup>\*</sup> Evelyn Correspondence, iv. 75, ed. 1854. + Evelyn Cor. iv. 76.

porary fongs and libels, which, contemptible Libels on and little credible as they generally are, will Hampden. yet be found to reflect, in some shape or other, the party beliefs and hatreds of the day.

Did I for this bring in the Scot
(For 'tis no fecret now—the Plot
Was Say's and mine together):
Did I for this return again,
And spend a winter there in vain,
Again to invite them hither!

It was hardly attempted to be concealed, in Avowed short, from any of the King's friends, that his rebels pardoned. Majesty had taken advantage of his present visit to Scotland to satisfy himself of the fecret understanding that had formerly existed between the leaders of the army of the Covenant and the leaders of the English House of Commons; and though even Royalists might reasonably doubt whether such a charge could be made the basis of impeachment against sus- Suspected pected rebels in England, after a grant to the rebels to be imavowed rebels in Scotland of an act of oblivion peached. fo complete, that by the Crown's grace and favor Montrose was now a Marquis, Argyle Scottish Chancellor, and the little crooked Field-Marshal of Balgony an English Earl, yet the fact of fuch evidence existing against the English members was freely spoken of, and was the subject of covert allusion in the correspondence of Nicholas and the King.

"Some day they may repent their severity.
"... I believe, before all be done, that they will

The King's threats popular leaders.

" not have such great cause of joy." \* " may fee by this that all their defigns hit not; against the "and, I hope, before all be done that they " shall miss of more." + "Though I cannot "return fo foon as I could wish, yet I am " confident that you will find there was " necessity for it, and I hope that many will " miss of their ends." These, and other similar expressions, show how strongly the conviction had taken possession of the King's mind, that he was bringing back with him to London the means of ridding himself effectually of the members of the House of Commons who were most obnoxious to him.

Treasons committed in Parliament.

Coercing a minority put forth as breach of privilege.

On his return, indeed, he enlarged the fcope of the accusation, so as to take in their conduct in parliament. To this the tone adopted by Hyde, Palmer, Culpeper, Falkland and their followers, in the Remonstrance debates, may be faid to have urgently invited him; and he affected to believe, with them, that the minority had been so coerced in those momentous discussions as to have endangered the continued existence of parliamentary rights. But, irrespective of all this, the resolution to try an impeachment feems clearly to have been taken while he was yet in Edinburgh; and it was but the after suggestion of mingled

<sup>\*</sup> The King to Nicholas, 5th Oct. 1641. Evelyn Cor. iv. 78, 79.

<sup>+</sup> Same to same, 9th Oct. 1641. Evelyn Cor. iv. 80. I Same to same, 12th Nov. 1641. Evelyn Cor. iv. 81.

fear, irrefolution, and obstinacy, which induced him on the very eve of its trial, to attempt (as it will be shown shortly that he did attempt) to bribe over to his fervice the principal "traitor."

Nor have fuch indications been wanting, as signs of the many curious details produced from the danger abroad. MS. Journal of D'Ewes during the progress of the Debates on the Remonstrance will have supplied, of a kind of consciousness on the part even of the members chiefly in danger, that fome blow to be struck in secret might be preparing against them. We may there observe with what eager and prompt decision, when Mr. Waller threw out his ingenious parallel between Pym and Strafford, Pym met the challenge of his loyalty, and forced the House to a specific declaration upon it. The King had not been five days in London, after his arrival from Scotland, when the same leader of the Opposition had occasion to ask from his place, whether it did not become the representatives 30th Nov. of the people to take ferious note of the many Alleged figns around them of a conspiracy by some conspiracy members of the Commons House to accuse charges of other members of the same of treason? And treason. when, on the 20th December, the question was independently discussed which had caused fuch agitation in the Debates of the Remonstrance, whether a minority in the Commons might not have the fame liberty as in the

Argument Lords of protesting against the decisions of for giving weight to the majority, Mr. Holborne employed the a minority. fignificant argument that the absence of such

a right, in the event of the majority having passed any measure carrying with it grave confequences, would involve as deeply in those confequences the refisting members of the minority, who might "lofe their heads in the " crowd when there was nothing to show who "was innocent." A vague feeling of individual infecurity, a shadowy sense of some possible impending danger, was now certainly prevalent among members of the Houses in a manner not before known; and at the very hour when that remark was made by Holborne, D'Ewes, who had left to attend the King at Whitehall with an address, was with some alarm making a note for his Journal of the " confident and fevere look " with which

Alarms generally prevalent.

Confidence of the King.

> \* See Sir Ralph Verney's Notes of the Proceedings of the Long Parliament, 135, 136; and the admirable note thereon of the editor, Mr. Bruce.

> Charles, not deigning to receive the obeifances of honorable members, passed out through the midst of them. † It is a pity that confidence and feverity should have been

> most the characteristics of this prince, at the

very times when it most behoved him to

diffrust himself and conciliate others.

+ Harleian MSS. 162 f, 265 a. See also my Hist. & Biog.

Esfays, i. 165.

## § III. FALSE RELIANCES.

THE end to which matters were hastening The had now become manifest enough. Confi-Royalist party in dent in his own secret persuasion that the the City. means of vengeance were in his hand, and missed by the accident of a Royalist Lord Mayor into believing also, in the teeth of every other indication to the contrary, that a strong Royalist party existed in the City, the King's public conduct fince his return, under the further exasperation of the passing, prefenting, and printing of the Remonstrance, and of the tone adopted by its authors in debate, had been a feries of acts that could have but one iffue. 'Before retracing them, let me show on what precarious foundations had been built the tone of confidence and defiance fo fuddenly and unadvifedly affumed.

The City entertainment provided by the en-Banquet thusiastic First Magistrate had been arranged at Guildhall: to take place on the day of Charles's arrival in his capital, and for the moment it fairly turned the heads of the King's friends as well as his own. Captain Slingsby informs his admiral that it was a magnificent reception, and that since his coming to town he had been greatly pleased to observe a very great alteration of the affections of the City to what they had

ception thereat:

King's re- been when he went away.\* Mr. Sidney Bere writes more cautiously, but remarks that all looked very "ftately and well." † Mr. Thomas Wiseman protests that it was a reception and glorification of fo much worth, as to be far beyond the precedent of any made to former Kings that history makes mention of; and that it had well fuited with the goodness, fweetness, and meritorious virtue of so gracious a king as theirs was; adding, that his Majesty had "knighted in the field" the Lord Mayor and Recorder, and, to add more grace to fo loyal a Chief Magistrate, had been pleased, the day after the banquet, to make him a Baronet. ±

Lord Mayor Gourney made a Baronet.

But perhaps the most striking indication of all that now tended for the time completely to deceive and mislead the credulous King, was a letter dated the day after Mr. Wiseman's admiring effusion, which the new Secretary Welcome of State, to whom it was addressed, must with fome exultation have submitted to his master. It was from Lenthal, the Speaker of the House of Commons. This weak and commonplace man, fo foon to be for ever affociated

news for the King.

> \* MS. State Paper Office. Capt. R. Slingsby to Admiral Sir John Pennington, 25 Nov. 1641.

+ MS. State Paper Office. Sidney Bere to Admiral

Pennington, 25 Nov. 1641.

<sup>#</sup> MS. State Paper Office. Wiseman to Pennington, 2d Dec. 1641. Court scribes made the most of it of course; and under the title of Ovatio Carolina, in Somers's Tracts, iv. 137, will be found a ludicroufly pompous account of the affair.

in history with an apparently high-spirited Speaker Lenthal affertion, in his own person, of the privilege alarmed: and independence of the House of Commons, was now only eager to be quit of his em-Wishes to ployment, and proffer fervile fuit to the King. berelieved from the Clarendon truly characterifes him as a man of Speakera very narrow, timorous nature, and it feems probable that the fierce debates on the Remonstrance had thoroughly alarmed him.\* With his opportunities of observation, he could hardly fail to have satisfied himself that a conflict of a yet more ferious kind now impended between the King and the House, and this letter is decisive of his belief that the victory would be to the King. Nor was it possible that Charles himfelf should have drawn any other construction from it. In continuing to remain where he is, in the chair of the House of Commons, Lenthal fees only utter failure to his life, the ruin of his estate, and poverty for his children. and to be-He prays to be relieved from his too onerous again the dignity, and to become once more the meanest meanest subject of subject of a sovereign whom he professes to his soveregard with abject veneration.

<sup>\*</sup> For illustrations of his character, and his sufferings at the hands of honorable and not respectful members, see my Hist. & Biog. Estays, i. 82-84. Another opportunity of adverting to the subject will occur in this narrative, but meanwhile I may add what is said, correctly enough, by Clarendon (Hist. i. 297). "In a word he was in all respects very un-Clarendon "equal to the work: and not knowing how to preserve his as to "own dignity, or to restrain the license and exorbitance of Lenthal.

<sup>&</sup>quot;others, his weakness contributed as much to the growing "mischiefs as the malice of the principal contrivers."

Speaker Lenthal to Secretary Nicholas. 3rd Dec. 1641.

"Right Honorable and Most Noble Sr," runs this remarkable letter, written on the fourth day after the appointment of Nicholas as Secretary of State,\* "The affurance of " your noble favours imboldnes me to commit " to your care the greatest concernment yt ever "it befell me, the defyer beinge enforced by "an unavoidable necessity. I have now in

"this imployment spent almost 14 months,

Invokes the King's facred mercy.

" weh hath foe exhausted the labor of 25 yeares, "that I am inforced to flye to ye sanctuary of "his facred mercy. Could I suppose that my "humble fute (grounded on ye full expression " of duty and obedience) should have other "interpretation, or feeme unfitt in the deepe "judgmt of his Sacred Matye, I should then " defyer my thoughtes may perish in their first " conception, foe willinge am I to offer myselfe " and fortune a facrifice for his Royall Service:

Craves tary's help in lowest posture of obedience.

Mr. Secre- "defyer your honor on my behalfe (in ye " lowest posture of obedience), to crave of his "Sacred Matye his Royall Leave that I may use " my best endeavour to the House of Comons " to be quitt of this imployment and to retyer " backe to my former privat Life, that whilft I

" but in that I hope it cannot, I most humbly

" have fomme ability of body left, I may en-

"deayour that wthout weh I cannot but expect

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office. It is dated 3 December, 1641; and is addressed, "The Rt. Hon. Sir Edward Nicholas, Knt., "one of his Matyon Secretarys of State, Humbly present " thes,"

"a ruine, and put a badge of extreame poverty Expects
"uppon my children. The apprhension of ruin from continuing
"my speedy ensuing misery, hath begot this in the Chair of
"most humble regret, but still with that dew the House.
"regard of my obediene and duty that noe
"earthly consideration shall ever increase the
"leaste of thoughts that may tend to the re"tardment of his Royall Commands. St, this
being prsented to your honourble care, assures
"me of such a successful way as shal be"comme the duty of me his meanest subject
in all humilitie to beseech. Thus am I imboldened humbly to declare the relation and
desyers of your Honor's most obedient ser"vant, Wm. Lenthal."

To the King, so willing to be duped, and A willing exulting still in the belief that he had at last dupe. won friends in the City all powerful, here might be ground hardly less for belief that in the House of Commons his enemies were falling asunder. Charles clutched at it, and desperately held to it, with the impulsive weakness of his nature. But never was such a belief raised on such baseless foundations.

Already, the very day before Lenthal's letter was written, a fuspicion that they were false reliances had occurred even to Captain Slingsby. "Since the King's coming," he writes, Slingsby all thinges have not happned so much to his to Admiral Pen"contentment as by his magnificent intertaine-nington, and Dec.
"ment att his entrance was expected. . . . 1641.

Factious Citizens.

" The factious Citizens begin to come again to "the houses with their swordes by their sides, "hundreds in companies; their pretences only

"against Episcopacie," After a few days Sidney Bere, reflecting doubtless the temperate misgivings of his master the Secretary, writes

Fears and of the fears and distractions increasing daily in givings of London, and that fuch truly were not without cause, for that the existing contention in the the best informed. House, and on points of so high nature, could not bring about less than confusion and combustion in the end, if God did not prevent

\* MS. State Paper Office. Slingsby proceeds to say of The King

the King: "The next day after his coming he was expected " at the Parliament, but he went away to Hampton Court; he " came again on Monday last and was expected on Tuesday "at the House, but he went back the same night he came. "Since that, a Petition hath been fent to him concerning the

it.† Nor from this date had a week passed

"Remonstrance weh had formerly bren so much debate: and "to defire the nomination of the greate officers as he had "graunted to the Parliament in Scotland. This day the King came to London againe: at noone it was questioned whether "he would go to the House or no, but I heare since he is

"gone." Of the factious Citizens he also further remarks in this letter: "One of the House was strictly examined by

"them of weh fide he was, in fuch a manner that with goode "wordes he was gladd to slippe from them; after he was " gone some of them were heard to name him-saying it was "fuch a one-the greatest enemye we have. He made com-" plaints of it to the House. Yesterday a conference between

"the two Houses wherein this matter was mentd and a "declaration agreed to be fett out to prohibitt the like "affemblys hereafter . . . This day the House are upon

"Sir Edward Dering who it is thought will be called to the "barre for fomething he hath spoke in the House."

† MS. State Paper Office. Sidney Bere to Admiral Pennington, 9th Dec. 1641. There is so pleasant a testimony in this letter to the character of Nicholas, not merely to his activity and industry, but to that sweetness of disposition and . moderation of temper which is borne out by all that is

and the two Houses.

Citizens and M.P.'s.

Sir Edward Dering.

before Captain Slingsby wrote with an alarm Slingsby's which he hardly attempts to conceal, of the alarm. display of manifestations of feeling from the City, of a far more decifive and ferious kind than those which so lately had startled him. Whereas it had been alleged that last week's Wealthy "sollicitation of the Parliament" had pro-and difceeded only from the ruder fort of people, citizens: now it was certain that "fome of the " better fort of the same faction came in good "numbers to the House, accoutred in the best "manner they could, and in coaches, to pre-Come in " vent the aspersion that was layed upon them their coaches to "that they were of the baser fort of people the House. "only which were that way affected." They had come, moreover, not merely to petition for the removal out of the Upper House of the popish Lords and Bishops to whom exclusively

publicly known of him, that the passage is worth subjoining.
"By Mr. Valentine," he writes, "I acquainted you wth the remove of Sir Hen. Vane, and that I had made my way unto this Matie by the Murrayes, wth hath taken soe good effect that now I am with the Secretary Nicholas (the King having recommended me particularly); and he appearing Character of Sir Ed. he bears unto you the affection you have always pleased to his disposition is soe sweete that he is not capable of other. By this recommendation from his Maty I guesse we shall not suddenlie have a second Secretary, since all the formaine dispatches as well as Ireland are delivered into Mr. Secr't Nicholas, who noe doubt will acquit himselfe well, being a man also very laborious and active, and in great fav with both their Maties." Nevertheless Mr. Bere was wrong in his expectation: a second Secretary, to replace Vane, having already been selected in the person of Lord Falkland.

they imputed the stoppage of those Acts which

Unpopular acts of the Lord Mayor.

Second

had passed the Lower for the settling of religion, but also to complain "of some ill-affected " persons in the Cittie that endeavoured to "hinder their petition, wherein my Lord "Mayor was comprehended, who the day " before had given order to all the constables " to raise their severall watches and be readie "in armes, which has been very ill refented "by the House." So soon was the frail reed on which the King mainly relied, bending powerless under him. Poor Lenthal himself feems to have had a fafer fecond thought, and thoughts of Speaker had hastened to crave from Mr. Secretary Lenthal. Nicholas, "if the other way did not take," no longer the royal influence to relieve him of Mr. Speaker's post, but the royal message customary in those times before Mr. Speaker's claim for a vote of money could be taken into confideration.† Shall we wonder that the Under Secre-

Speaker Lenthal to Secretary Nicholas. \* MS. State Paper Office. Slingsby to Pennington, "aboard the Lyon in the Downes." The letter is dated by Slingsby himself "16 January, 1641," but this is a manifest error for the "16th December, 1641."

† MS. State Paper Office. This second letter is well worth

fubjoining textually. "Right Honourable, May it please "your Honor," it runs, "If that other way doe not take, if " you may finde oportunity (without prejudice to your selfe) "let me entreat you to incline his Ma' to recomend me to ye consideration of the House, by which meanes I may hope of some satisfaction: but this is totally left to your "honor's confideratin as oportunity offers, & yr honor

<sup>&</sup>quot;thincke fitt in your owne judgment. Thus humbly cravinge 
pon for this great prumption I can safely say noe man 
lives that is more

<sup>&</sup>quot;Your honor's most humble servant, WM. LENTHAL."

tary, not many days later, is found writing to An Unhis friend the Admiral commanding in the der Secretary's Downs, "I pray God we find not that we prayer. "have flattered ourselves with an imaginary "frength and partie in the citty and else-"where which will fall away if need should be." \*

## § IV. FATAL MISTAKES.

CHARLES nevertheless continued to act as if Foolthat imaginary strength were solid and eternal. hardiness of the On any other assumption we should have to King. characterize as those of a madman the series of his acts from the opening of December to Christmas Eve. He had removed the train-Removes bands on guard at the two Houses, and had substituted companies officered by himself. He Houses: had put forth a most offensive order on the subject of religious worship. He had Gives recast the offices at Court, notoriously that office to leaders he might invite into his councils the leading of the opponents of the Great Remonstrance; † or

\* MS. State Paper Office. Sidney Bere to Sir John Pennington.

<sup>†</sup> On the 2nd of December Mr. Thos, Wiseman thus writes Wiseman (MS. State Paper Office), as his "affured and affectionate to Pen-"friend to command," to Admiral Sir John Pennington: nington, "My Lord of Holland, they say, hath lost himself both 2nd Dec. "with the King and Queen; and for my part I believe it; 1641. "because hee hath been observed to hold councills and "consultations with the Lords in the absence of the King that have been against Episcopacie and the Booke of Common "Prayer: Weh his Matie since his cominge home hath declaratively resolved to uphold, and with his lyse to mayntayne. It is noysed there will bee suddenly a greate

it might be with other hopes in that direction, fecret as yet, or known to Pym alone. He had affailed the privileges of the Commons

Affails privilege:

Under Secretary Bere to Pennington, 25th Nov. 1641.

Same to fame, oth Dec.

Court changes.

Same to fame,

" remove at Court of cheiff officrs, and that Sir John Banks "fhall be Lord Treas". Mr. Nicleys [Nicholas] was on "Monday last sworne Secretary of State and knighted; and "my Lord Savill had the staff given him at Yorke of being "Treas' of the King's Household in Mr. Secrety Ffane's "place, who it is thought will not bee Secrety long. He "hath very ill lucke, to bee neither loved nor pittied of any "man." Some few days before, Sidney Bere had written (MS. 25th Nov.): "At Newcastle I understand Mr. Secretary "Vane was commanded to deliver up his staffe of Treasur"; "when was confered att Yorke upon my Lord Savile: it is "what was long spoken of & expected by him, and soe it "will be noe greate newes to you. The place of Secretary he "fill keepes: weh if he continue, as I see no great appear-" ance to the contrary, he will not much reflecte on the loffe " of the other." Seven days later, the Under Secretary wrote again (MS. 9th Dec. 1641) to the Admiral: "The report goes strong with us that many great removes more shallbe, "out of hand; what ground there is for it, I cannot tell, but "thus the speech goes: Sir John Bankes to be Lo. Treast, "Chamberlaine made Admirall, and Bristow Chamberlaine; "Holland, Newport, and fome fay Hamilton, also to be "displaced. In the mean time we have a Lo.-Steward weh is "Duke of Richmond. And thus we have and shall have "many changes and removes in Court. Sr Henry Vane the "Yonger, its generally faid, and believed, will loofe his place. "I writt you of it by my last; and mythinkes, if you have "a thought that way, a timely office done by Mr. Secretary, "who is foe much your friend, might be of good use." Welcome to the Admiral, however, as the place of Treasurer of the Navy would have been in quieter times, the troubled reports of his correspondents appear to have decided him not to apply for it. On the 23d Dec. the Under Secretary writes (MS. State Paper Office), after mentioning the diffatif-23rd Dec. faction of the Commons at the removal of Young Vane: "Yet still, Sr Wm. Penningman [Pennyman] stands the man " defigned for it, though as yett nothing (to my best know-"ledge) hath past to that purpose. But I easily assent to

"yor opinion that in such distempered tymes as these are, "you have little desire to muster up friends for any employmet "of that nature, howfoever it were to be wished a place of "that trust had a man of yor experience and worth-but I " ftirre noe further in it, fince its not yor pleafure."

in a vital point, by an intemperate message of Interferes disapproval during their discussion of a bill for with a bill raising soldiers by impressment. He had rashly discussion: issued, on the very day after the citizens prefented their petition against the Bishops, a pro-Enforces clamation commanding the severe execution of against the statutes against all who should bring in ques- Puritans: tion or impugn the book of Common Prayer. And while thus harsh in pressing, on the one hand, the law against Puritan opponents of the Church, he had the inconceivable folly to respite its operation, on the other, in favour of certain Roman Catholic priests who had in-Remits curred the wrath of the Commons and fallen penalties against under sentence of the courts, and whose lives Roman lay justly forfeit.

What occurred thereupon would have daunted a sovereign of the Tudor line, but Charles the First had as little of the bold resolution as of the considerate fear which alone is truly valiant. At the same sessions when Partial these priests were condemned to die, there had execution of the also been condemned to death several men for laws. common offences. It was not supposed posfible, after a reprieve had been sent to the Jesuit offenders, that their fellow-prisoners, condemned for offences held then to be comparatively venial, would be executed. An order for the execution was nevertheless received, and the agitation throughout the City was extreme. Monday the 13th December was

Catholics.

Refisted by the people.

appointed for the execution; but on the previous Sunday evening arms had been fecretly conveyed into Newgate, and open refistance was made next day to the attempt to carry out the warrant. The refistance was overmastered that night, the wealthier citzens, however indignant at the King's interference, not choosing themfelves to interfere against the law; and on the Tuesday the men were hanged.\* The incident

\* I discover these curious facts in a letter which Captain

Slingsbyto Pennington, 16th Dec. 1641.

Slingsby writes (MS. State Paper Office) to Pennington on the 16th of Dec. (the letter is dated by mistake the 16th Jan.). He mentions the City petition against the Bishops and their continued attempts to enforce the Liturgy, and proceeds: "The next day after the delivery of the petition the King " fett out a proclamation comaunding the severe execution of "the lawes against the contemners and oppugners of the " Comon Prayer Booke; and an other comaunding all men "whatsoever that had right to sitt in Parliament to repaire "thither by the twelfth of Janu. These gave great distast to "that faction of the Cittie that were the petitioners. There "was a very greate Seffions the last weeke, where there were feven priests condemned but reprieved by the Kinge: "many for other crimes: Munday last being appointed for their execution. Some body had conveighed fome armes "into Newgate to them the night before: fo y' they ceazed "upon the prison, and stood upon ther defense most part of that day: but at night were overmastered and the next "day hanged . . . . the House is much distracted at the re-"prieve of the Priests, and att the forraigne Ambassadors for " medling in itt, especially at the Frenche, who did lay downe " fome reasons weh did aggravate ther distast." Clarendon has not noticed this remarkable incident, nor is it mentioned in any of the histories, but in adverting to Secretary Windebank's flight he leaves us no room to doubt the view he was himself disposed to take of such a "suspending power" as Charles was practically exerting in these reprievals of popish Reprievals offenders. "I could never yet learn," he fays, speaking of

"why they suffered Secretary Windebank to escape their

" justice, against whom they had more pregnant testimony of "offences within the verge of the law than against any " person they have accused since this parliament, and of some

Attack upon Newgate.

of Popish the conduct of the leaders of the House, "the true reason offenders.

left fuch a fense rankling in the breasts of all A time for classes of citizens, as the wisdom of the most caution. powerful of princes might have feared; but Charles the First only the more bethought him how better to restrain and curb these factious and rebellious citizens. And as, for other Disastrous reasons, his mind had been brooding over a resolve of the King. measure on which he had lately resolved, to obtain more complete command of the Tower, he selected this precise time to give effect to an intention which was to carry with it the most disastrous consequences.

The Tower commanded the City. It was The the "Bridle" to the too restless citizens, as the courtiers commonly called it; \* and it was effential not more to the fafety of those well affected to the House of Commons than to the fecurity of the House of Commons itself, that its Governor should be a man in and its whose good faith they had confidence. Sir Governor.

<sup>&</sup>quot;that, it may be, might have proved capital, and fo their "appetite of blood might have been satisfied; for, besides "his frequent letters of intercession in his own name, and Winde-"fignification of his Majesty's pleasure, on the behalf of bank's 
papists and priests, to the judges, and to other ministers of crime and 
justice, and protections granted by himself to priests that escape. " nobody should molest them, he harboured some priests in "his own house, knowing them to be such, which, by the fatutes made in the 29th year of Queen Elizabeth, is made " felony; and there were fome warrants under his own hand

<sup>&</sup>quot;for the release of priests out of Newgate who were actually attainted of treason, and condemned to be hanged, drawn, "and quartered: which, by the strict letter of the statute, the

<sup>&</sup>quot; lawyers faid, would have been very penal to him."-Hift. i.

<sup>311-312.</sup> \* Clarendon, Hist. ii. 81.

William Balfour was fuch a man, as he had

Balfour removed.

Lunfford

shown by his resolute refusal of enormous proffered bribes to connive at the escape of Strafford. But Balfour, the tried friend of the Parliament, was now fuddenly removed from this all-important command, and it became known, on Christmas eve, that in his place there had been appointed a foldier of evil appointed: character and infamous name, whose only conceivable qualification could have been, that of presenting himself to the Court as a mere desperate tool for any kind of reckless service.\* He was a man, fays Sir Simonds D'Ewes,

His infamous character. given to drinking, swearing, quarrelling, and other vices; much in debt, and very desperate. + More than ten years before the present date Lord Dorset had characterised him as a young outlaw who feared neither God nor man, and who took a glory to be esteemed rather a swaggering ruffian than the issue of an ancient and honest family. He belonged to the army of the North, and had been deeply involved in the plots for bringing it up to overawe the Parliament.

His close friendship with Lord Digby.

Clarendon cannot but admit that fuch was the confessed and notorious repute of Lunsford, who was nevertheless companion and friend to

Lunfford's warrant.

\* The warrant of the appointment of "our trufty and "well-beloved fervant Col. Thomas Lunfford," is in the State Paper Office. It is given "under our fignet at our "Court at Whitehall the 22d Day of December 1641," and is addressed to Lords Manchester, Dorset, Dunimore and Newburgh. † Harl. MSS. 162, f. 272 b.

his excellent friend Lord Digby; and he explains with sufficient frankness, though after his usual fashion, the object of the King and Lord Object in Digby in appointing him.\* It was, that, ing him: having now fome fecret reason (which, he interposes but his editors omitted, "was not a "good one") to fill that place in the instant with a man who might be trusted, this man

\* His account of Lunfford's appointment is indeed in Clarenevery way highly characteristic. Sir William Balfour having, don's he fays, had from the beginning of this parliament, " accord- account "ing to the natural cultom of his country" (Balfour was of the a Scotchman, and by the prudence of Hyde's first editors appointthese words are erased from all the ordinary editions), "forgot ment. "all his obligations to the King . . . there had been a "long resolution to remove him from that charge . . . yet "there was neither notice or fuspicion of it, till it was heard, "that Sir Thomas Lunfford was sworn Lieutenant of the "Tower; a man who, though of an ancient family in "Suffex, was of a very small and decayed fortune, and of no "good education; having been few years before compelled to fly the kingdom, to avoid the hand of justice for some " riotous misdemeanour . . . he was so little known, except "upon the disadvantage of an ill character, that, in the most "dutiful time, the promotion would have appeared very "ungrateful." And then follows one of those sentences of Clouds of endless involution, and confusion of all relatives and ante-words. cedents, from which it is extremely difficult to elicit the precise meaning. He afferts that Lunsford's appointment was fecretly the work of Lord Digby, who had meant to give it to his brother, "but he (the brother) being not at that time in town, "and the other" (firstly this ought to mean the king, but Lord Digby feems really meant) "having some secret Digby the "reason (which was not a good one)" the latter words also 'scapegoat, are erased from the ordinary editions—"to fill that place in "the instant with a man who might be trusted; he suddenly " resolved upon this gentleman, as one who would be faithful " to him for the obligation, and execute anything he should "desire or direct,"-hold fast the five members, for example, if he could once get them shut up in the Tower? But how monstrous the attempt of Clarendon to put up Digby in such a purpose as the 'scapegoat for the King—if (which perhaps is doubtful) the last quoted "he" must be taken to stand for Digby and not for the King himself. D 2

be faithful for this obligation, and execute any-

A man to was fuddenly refolved upon as one who would execute anything:

thing that should be defired or directed. laboured periphrasis, which Bishop Warburton puts into plain speech when he writes upon the margin of the page containing it, that the object was "to keep the five members fafe "whom it was determined to arrest." "as now," writes D'Ewes, in that entry of his Journal of the 24th of December which reports the discussion upon Lunsford's character, preserves the angry speeches respecting him of the members for York, Middlesex, and Effex (Sir William Alison, Sir Gilbert Gerrard, and Sir William Masham), sets down the King's proclamation confirming the appoint-

ment, and laments over the vote of the Lords declining to join the Commons in prayers that it should be cancelled,\* "So as now all things

the five members, once arrested. fafe.

and keep

Lords who fided with

\* The minority of twenty-two peers who protested against this too scrupulous objection to interfere with the King's majority in prerogative of placing or displacing his officers, gives us the Commons. names of the leading members of the popular party in the Upper House. They were the Earls of Northumberland, Essex, Pembroke, Bedford, Warwick, Bolingbroke, Newport, Suffolk, Carlifle, Holland, Clare, and Stamford, and the Lords Say and Seale (old Subtlety as he was called), Wharton, St. John, Spencer, North, Kimbolton, Brooke, Grey de Werk, Robartes, and Howard de Escricke. It may be worth adding that, a very few weeks later, upon the incident of the 26th Jan. 1641-2, when the Duke of Richmond perpetrated his famous fally of proposing to evade the Militia bill, sent mond's up from the Commons, by adjourning for fix months, sally: 26th twenty-four Peers entered a protest against the vote requiring Jan.

the Duke to make submission and ask pardon, as "not a "fufficient punishment for words of that daingerous consequence." On this occasion seventeen of the foregoing

Duke of 164.1-2.

"hasten apace to confusion and calamity; Evil fore-bodings of from which I scarce see any possibility in Sir Simon human reason for this poor Church and D'Ewes. "Kingdom to be delivered. My hope only is in the goodness of that God who hath seems during this parliament already been seen in the Mount, and delivered us beyond the expectations of ourselves and of our enemies, from the jaws of destruction."\*

An address for Lunsford's removal was that Address day voted in the Lower House without a voted for Lunsford's diffentient voice; and the Constable of the removal. Tower, the Earl of Newport, was requested for the present to take command of the place and to lodge therein.

The defire of the House was conveyed to Lord Newport by Sir Thomas Barrington and Mr. Henry Marten, who were informed thereupon that hewas no longer Constable. The King Dismissal had suddenly dismissed him for an alleged dispose of Lord Newport. loyal speech during the royal absence in Scotland. The incident further shows in what direction all was now rapidly tending. The charge that against Lord Newport was that on the occaagainst fion of a meeting held at Kensington, at which him: Pym and Lord Kimbolton were present, as well

names reappeared, with omission of those of Lords Newport, Carlisle, Clare, Say and Seale, and North, but with addition of those of the Earls of Lincoln and Leicester, of Viscount Conway, and of Lords Chandois, Hundsdon, Paget, and Willoughby de Parham. See Sir Ralph Verney's Notes, p. 149.

\* Harl. MSS. 162, f. 278 b.

A proposal as Nathaniel Fiennes, his father Lord Say polal to feize hosta and Seale (old Subtlety), Lord Wharton, ges for the Lord Dungarvon, and Sir John Clotworthy, King's good faith, upon fome discourse of an apprehended

defign to overawe the Parliament by means of the army of the North, the Earl had remarked, "If there be fuch a plot, yet "here are his wife and children," \* meaning that these might be seized as hostages. Taxed with the words by the King himself, Lord Newport indignantly denied them: upon which, with infulting addition, the question was repeated: "You can tell me nothing more than "I know already; therefore confider well "what you answer." Lord Newport answered with vehement repetition of his denial; and the King, contemptuously professing forrow for his Lordship's memory, intimated that he was no longer Constable of the Tower, and turned upon his heel. That was on the afternoon of Friday the 24th December. On Wednesday the 29th the King informed the House of Lords that he had never believed the charge

The lie retracted, Dec. 29th.

drawn.

The lie given to

Newport, 24th Dec.

Lord

Such was the wonderful, the almost incredible levity of Charles the First, in matters of Warnings accusation the most grave. Between that in the interval. 24th and 29th of December the aspect of

against the Earl, and desired it to be with-

<sup>\*</sup> See Commons Journals (Tuesday 28th December), ii. 359.

affairs had grown more ferious, frequent Sudden gatherings together of large numbers of the yielding of the King. people had increased, discontent took a threatening aspect, and on the eve of the most desperate resolution of his life, his wavering irresolute temper seemed to have yielded suddenly. The withdrawal of the charge against Lord Newport was one indication; but another, much more Extraorremarkable, and hitherto unsuspected by any determination taken.

## § V. PYM AND THE KING.

Beyond all question the most popular man Popularity The of the leader in England at this time was Pym. attempts made upon his life during the debates of the on the Remonstrance, and above all the vic-Commons. tory obtained in that struggle, had raised him even higher than during the memorable conflict with Strafford. It was not fimply that ne was the foremost man in the Parliament by which so much had been achieved for the people, or that its very existence was in fome measure due to him, but also that he Its causes. alone represented in his person the parliaments of former years, and those usages and precedents, become fince the very bulwarks of freedom, which had only then been won by the hard and desperate endurance, the long imprisonments, not feldom the deaths, of the great men of the past. In him the people still faw the Cokes, the Eliots, the Sir

Pym imprisoned for his opinions in 1614.

Robert Cottons,\* remembered and honored as the earliest martyrs of the Stuart Kings. He had himself been the inmate of a state prison, as the reward for his conduct as a reprefentative of the people, now nearly eight-andtwenty years ago. He had been a leading

member in that wife and noble affembly A member which met in 1620, and abolished the inof the Par-liament of famous monopolies at that time eating out the heart of the kingdom. † He was one of the twelve who carried their famous declaration to King James at Newmarket, when the quickwitted shrewd old monarch called out, "Chairs! " chairs! here be twal kynges comin!" In all the subsequent parliaments of that and the fucceeding reign he had played a distinguished part; and when, after intermission of those conventions for twelve years, they met once more in April 1640, and men gazed upon each other looking who should begin, much

One of James the First's "twelve kings:"

Antiquary Cotton's **fufferings** at feizure of his library.

\* On pretence of a charge that he had furnished precedents to Selden and Eliot, Sir Robert Cotton's noble library was feized and held by the King, and unable to survive its loss the great scholar died. "When," says D'Ewes, "I went "feveral times to visit and comfort him in the year 1630, he "would tell me they had broken his heart that had locked up "his library from him . . . He was so outworn within a "few months, with anguish and grief, as his face, which had " formerly been ruddy and well colored, was wholly changed " into a grim and blackish paleness, near to the resemblance "and hue of a dead vifage." A few months afterward he was dead.

† "A parliament" it is well said by the leading liberal statesman of our time, "to which every Englishman ought "to look back with reverence." Lord John Russell's Essay on the History of the English Government and Constitution, p. 50.

the greater part, as Clarendon fays, having Rifes to never before fat in Parliament, there quietly the place of Leader: arose to his place at their head the man above April, all others qualified by experience, by eloquence, 1640. and by courage to lead the English people. It was then that Pym's extreme influence struck root, and his name became a word familiar over England. This was he who, in that brief Parliament fo fatally dissolved, had told the wonderful story of their wrongs, which was all it bequeathed to the fuffering millions. This was he who chiefly had wrested from the Court its affent to the greater and stronger Parliament, from which at last redress was come. This was he who, on the issue of the writs for that memo- Qualities rable affembly, had with Hampden ridden vices England through, to urge upon all its inha-which erbitants their duties and their right, to choose to the honestly and petition freely. This finally was people. he who fince had broken down for ever the tyranny of Strafford and of Laud, and who now had published to the world the Great Remonstrance. Shall we wonder if every nook and corner of the kingdom were pervaded with his influence and renown, and that, so identified with the past, on him it might almost seem exclusively to rest what the future was to bring. "I think Mr. Pym was at this time," Clarenfays Clarendon, "the most popular man, and don's tribute to "the most able to do hurt, that hath lived in Pym's " any time."

popularity.

Former intercourse with the King.

Already once the King had turned to him in a terrible extremity. When the scheme was on foot to fave the life of Strafford he had offered Pym the Chancellorship of the Exchequer. Clarendon, who states the matter not unfairly, fays the offer came too late, for that Pym and his friends could not then permit the Earl to live; and he regrets its failure on the ground that it would have given the King fome able men to advise and affist him.\* Strange and startling as it feems, amid the events I am here describing, the King appears to have now again, even with what he afterwards alleged to be the proof of treason in his hand, opened a negotiation with the parliamentary leader for acceptance of the same office. The details I have not been able to ascertain,

Negotiationsagain opened.

Why the King's efforts to conciliate failed.

\* There is much befide faid by Clarendon on this head, which, though coloured of course by his peculiar manner and tone, throws light upon the real causes of the failure of every effort at accomoda ion: "But the rule the King gave " himself (very reasonable at another time) that they should "first do service and compass this or that thing for him, " before they should receive favour, was then very unseason-" able; fince, besides that they could not in truth do him that " fervice without the qualification, it could not be expected "they would defert that fide, by the power of which they were fure to make themselves considerable, without an unquestionable mark of interest in the other, by which they "were to keep up their power and reputation. And fo, "whilst the King expected they should manifest their inclina-"tions to his service by their temper and moderation in those " proceedings that most offended him, and they endeavoured, " by doing all the hurt they could, to make evident the power "they had to do him good, he grew fo far disobliged and provoked that he could not in honour gratify them, and "they so obnoxious and guilty that they could not think themselves secure in his favour." Hist. ii. 61.

beyond the fact that the offer was made to Pym alone. King Pym\* the people

\* The reader may perhaps be amused by one or two Royalist examples of the use the Royalist libellers made of this libellers epithet. As thus:

Your serious subtilty is grown so grave, We dare not tell you how much power you have. At least you dare not hear us. How you frown If we but say, King Pym wears Charles's crown!

Well, we vow
Not to act anything you disallow:
We will not dare at your strange votes to jeer
Nor personate King Pym with his state-stear!
The Players' Petition.

Or again: from Pym's Anarchy:

Ask me no more why Strafford's dead, And why we aimed so at his head? Faith, all the answer I can give, 'Tis thought he was too wise to live!

Alk me no more why in this age I fing so sharp without a cage . . . . This answer I in brief do sing; All things were thus when Pym was King.

Or, from the New Diurnall:

And yet their Rebellion fo neatly they trim They fight for the King, but they mean for King Pym.

Or, from that Epigram upon The Parliament's Beliefs which shows how far such libellers could go:

Is there no God? let's put it to a vote.
Is there no Church? fome fools fay so by rote.
Is there no King, but Pym, for to affent
What shall be done by Act of Parliament?
No God, no Church, no King—then all were well
If they could but enact there were no Hell.

A proposed enactment.

Things

done when

Pym was

King.

Or, from the Cavalier's Prayer:

Lawn fleeves and furplices must go down,
For why, King Pym doth sway the crown—
But all are Bishops that wear a Black Gown,
Which nobody can deny.

Or, finally (for fuch illustrations might be indefinitely prolonged), from the libel of which the opening lines also

King Pym:

called him; and the incident, one of the last before the country separated into two hostile camps, and hardly credible if fimply related as from King to subject, might indeed rather feem to express the relation of sovereign to fovereign. But Charles had always, as will fufficiently be feen throughout this narrative, a feeling towards the great leader of the opposition against him, which appeared strangely to fluctuate between defire and dread. In the correspondence between himself and his Queen, Pym's name is that which most Secret in-frequently occurs, whether the defign be to inveigle and fnare, or more openly to denounce, the most powerful of the parliamentary leaders;\* and even in the Royalist fongs against the popular tribune there is that which expresses, though very often in most extrava-

fluence over King Charles.

> curiously reflect Pym's continuous and zealous efforts to enforce that early and full attendance at the House in which so many members of even the popular party were so frequently remits:

Chides the members for late attendance.

Truth! I could chide you Friends! why how fo late? My watch speaks eight and not one pin o th' state This day undone! Can fuch remisnesse fit Your active spirits, or my more Hellish wit? The fun each step he mounts to Heaven's crown, Whilst Pym commands, should see a kingdome down.

Thus whilom feated was Great James's Heir Just as you see me now, i' th' Kingdom's Chair.

Happiest in storms. Calmes proper are for guiltlesse sons of Peace, Our vessels bear out beit in stormy seas. Charles must not reign secure whilst reigns a Pym: The fun, if it rife with us, must set with him. Pym's Juncto, 1640.

<sup>\*</sup> See my Hist. & Biog. Esfays, i. 19.

gant forms, a fomething that yet involves him Songs and more closely with the King than is attempted against the against any other of the zealous and active men Parliaupon whom those reckless libellers emptied most eagerly their ribaldry and scorn.\*

\* For one instance take the following: selected from many of a similar character:

(The Humble Petition of the House of Commons).

Next, for the State, we think it fit That Mr. Pym should govern it, He's very poor: The money that's for Ireland writ, Faith, let them have the Devil a bit, We'll ask no more.

(The King's Answer to the Humble Petition).

When you no more shall dare hereafter A needlesse thing which gains much laughter, Granted hefore; When Pym is sent Ireland to slaughter And ne'er more hopes to marry my daughter, You'll ask no more.

Pym and the "King's daughter."

To this I may add some lines UPON MR. PYM'S PIC-TURE, which through all their violent abuse yet express a kind of awe and terror at the man's predominance and power.

Reader, behold the counterfeit of him Who now controuls the Land—Almighty Pym! A man whom even the Devil to fear begins, And dares not trust him with successels sins. A man who now is wading through the Flood Of reverend Laud's and noble Strafford's blood,. To strike so high as to put Bishops down And in the Mitre to controul the Crown.

Pym's picture.

The wretch hath mighty thoughts, and entertains Some glorious mischief in his active brains, Where now he's plotting to make England fuch As may outvie the villany of the Dutch: He dares not go to Heaven, 'cause he doth feare To meet (and not pull down) the Bishops there!

Muft avoid Heaven for fear of Bishops.

Is it not strange that in that shuttle head Three kingdomes' ruines should be buried? Pym's constitutional opinions.

Remarkable in every respect indeed was the mingled influence exerted by this famous member of the Commons over the Sovereign whose destiny he so largely controlled, and who never feems to have raifed against him the hand to strike but with a misgiving that paralysed its aim, and foon or late brought himself into the fuppliant posture to which he would have reduced his adversary. Still Pym is ever the person fingled out for notice by Charles, and still the evil and the good alternate. Again and again, during the paper war which attended the events I am relating, and ushered in the more terrible war, Charles is found recurring to his speeches

Alternately held up for avoidance and for example.

occupied by sterner and less scrupulous men, and when the poor King is fain to ranfack the Character- very speeches in which once he found nothing but rebellion, for maxims of constitutional lore, for just expositions of the monarchy, for counfels to respect the law. These, the most

for causes of indignant protest, of exposulation, of reproach; but the day as furely comes later in the struggle, when Pym is lying in his grave in Westminster Abbey,\* when his place is

iftics of his oratory.

> Is it not strange there should be hatch'd a Plot Which should outdoe the Treason of the Scot, And even the malice of a Puritan?

Reader behold, and hate the poylonous man! The Picture's like him : yet 'tis very fit He adde one likeness more—that's—Hang, like it!

Pym's last restingplace.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Mr. Pym was buried with wonderful pomp and mag-" nificence in the place where the bones of our English kings "and princes are committed to their rest."-Clarendon, Hist. iv. 441.

striking qualities of the orator, and from which Chanceleven Charles could not turn away altogether lorship of Exchequer unheeding, may indeed have had some influence again thus early in bringing about a renewal of the to Pym. offer of the Chancellorship of the Exchequer. Clarendon evidently thought fo. He does not refer to it in express terms; but he helps materially to explain it when he intimates that even Hampden's accession, after his return from Scotland, to what was called the root and branch party in the State, had not entirely carried Pym Pym less along with it;\* that the member for Tavif-extreme tock had no insuperable dislike to the constitu- Hampden. tion of the English Church, apart from Laud's gross and cruel administration of it; and that in confenting to let Pym fave the Monarchy, Episcopacy also might be faved. Be this as it may, the offer came too late. In the authority The offer from which my information is derived, there hade too late. is nothing to explain the circumstances of it, and I cannot discover that Pym himself made

\* "Mr. Pym was not of those furious resolutions against Pym not the Church as the other leading men were, and wholly adverse to devoted to the Earl of Bedford, who had nothing of that the spirit."—Hist. i. 323. "In the House of Commons, though Church: of the chief leaders Nathaniel Fiennes and young Sir Harry Vane, and shortly after Mr. Hampden (who had not before owned it), were believed to be for root and branch; which grew shortly after a common expression, and discovery of the several tempers; yet Mr. Pym was not of that mind, nor Mr. Hollis." Ib. i. 410. "Mr. Pym was concerned and passionate in the jealousies of religion, and much troubled with the countenance which had been given But to to those opinions that had been imputed to Arminius. . . Arminian yet himself professed to be very entire to the doctrine and practices. discipline of the Church of England."—Ib. iv. 437.

Pym filent afterwards the remotest allusion to it. It is as to the King's hardly likely indeed that any such reference from him would have been compatible with the terms on which it was submitted, with the respect still necessarily paid to Charles, or with the safety of his own position among the extreme members of the Commons. But Pym must well have known his danger in declining the offer, and that it thickened the royal snares which already were spread around him.

Rejects it. The fact is at any rate indisputable, that such an offer was specifically made and rejected. It

rests on the authority of the member for Kent, Sir Edward Dering, whose services to the Court in the debates on the Grand Remonstrance had won him recent and grateful acceptance there; and whose colleague in the representation of the county, Sir John Culpeper, received the office on Pym declining it. In a private letter to Lady Dering, written early in January, containing other evidence of his savor at Court and with the Queen, he tells her: "The King is too slexible and too good-" natured; for within two howers, and a "greate deale lesse, before he made Culpeper

"Chancellor of the Exchequer, he had fente a

"messenger to bring Pym unto him, and

of the Camden Society by the Rev. Lambert Larking, and

Describes Charles's overture to

Sir Edward

Dering

to Lady Dering,

13th Jan. 1641-2:

Pym. "wold have given him that place."\* Cul
\* Since this letter was obligingly communicated to me, it has been, with many other very interesting papers from the Surrenden manuscripts, placed for publication in the hands

peper's patent is not dated until the 7th of Culpeper January, but the office had been given to receives what Pym him feveral days before, and he had taken his had declined, 1st January, The exact period of the offer to Pym can only 1641-2. now be guessed at, but we may narrow it within the limits of the last half of December.

Those days had seen several changes. The feals, which Windebank had voided by his ignominious flight, were given to Nicholas.\*

quoting, a letter written by Windebank from Paris (whither

the volume, already announced for publication under Mr. Camden Larking's editorship, will rank appropriately with the many Society other rare and important illustrations of this great period of books. our history in which the Camden Collection of books is pecu-

liarly rich.

\* I have found in the State Paper Office, and cannot refift

he had succeeded in making good his flight), upon hearing that Nicholas had been appointed Secretary in his place. It exhibits the meanness of the man's nature; but more than this, it shows in my judgment plainly enough, that parliament was thoroughly justified in having charged the Ex-Secretary as accomplice with the Queen in private and illegal practices to favour the Roman Catholic religion. The letter is addressed to his son and dated the 27th (or in the English style the 17th Dec.), 1641. "Tom," it begins, "your letters.... Windewere very wellcum both for the greate honor they brought bank to me from the Queene's Ma: & the good news of your health his son, and of the rest of myne in those partes. I do forbear to 17th Dec. present my most humble thankes myselfe to Her M: for 1641. "the same reason that She in Her wisdom did not think fitt to venter a lett to me: Yet you must not fail to passe that "office in all humility for me, acquainting Her M: withall Secret untitled that I never was in a condition that more required her derstand-

"comfort and gracious affiltance than now that I finde, by ing with 
"the disposing of the place I had the Honor to holde neere the Queen.
"His M:, no hope left to serve my Royall Master againe,
"we'n really is the greatest corosive to my harte that can be.
"I do acknowledge it is no more than I had reason to 
"expect, & I thank God I have had time to be prepared for

"it. Neverthelesse now it is come I cannot be so stupid as not to be sensible of that web ruines me and my posterity,

Old Vane The Court exodus of Old Vane, whose staff of finally difthe Treasurer of the Household had been taken missed. from him at Newcastle to be at York bestowed on Lord Savile, was now completed by the demand that he should deliver up the seals of Secretary, defigned for Falkland.\* The old

> " nor so iniurious to myne owne harte to think that after so "many years painfull & faithfull fervices to both their MM: I have deserved it. My hope is that His M. hath "done it to preserve me from a greater blow (though truly " for my own particular & fetting aside the interests of you " & the rest of my poor children a greater cold not falle upon "me) & that knowing my entire affections to his person & " fervice most farr from the least guilte of any intention to " offend, will in His Princely Goodnesse & His owne best "tyme vouchsafe me & myne reliefe. In the meantime I "fhall esteem this & (if occasion serve) my deerest harte " bloud a bleffed facrifice, if they may contribut any thing to "the redresse of His M: affaires, hoping that this shall serve "for fatilfaction & expiation (even in the opinion of the most " fevere) for any offence taken against me; and so the "displeasure of the time relente and go no farther, but "that I may be permitted to retourne to myne own poor "nest in the Country to end my dayes there in peace." Equally characteristic is the conclusion. The Queen in her fecret communication had asked Windebank to attend the French court for her, and to this he pleads unfitness, by reason of the state of his mind, adding: "Besides I acknowledge I " am not yet in case to appear inpublique, nor can for the prest "wynne so much upon my self to looke upon a foraine Prince "win any contentment, being deprived of the bleffed & gracious aspect of my Matter." \* Poor Windebank upon this writes to Son Tom from

Windebank to his fon, 24th Dec.

Grief at

losing

place.

Paris 24th Dec 1641-2, taking the strictly economical view of Vane's difmiffal, "The newes of the removall of Sir Henry "Vane from the place of Secretary is very strange heere, and "truly my owne condition makes me fensible of his, weh " considering his great burden of children is very comiserable. A fellow- "considering his great burden of children is very considerable, feeling. "But wthall I am infinitly comforted wth that of the D. of

"Richmond weh is one of the noblest things the K: hath "don of many yeares & of fingular confequence to his "fervice. If I durft, I would with you to congratulat with "His Gr: in all humbleness from me." It is quite in character that Windebank should consider the appointment

man's difgrace was but part of the punishment Revenge for Strafford's trial, which but for his weakness and isolation he would then have inflicted, and which now he thought himself strong enough to inflict, not simply on Vane himself but on his son. Young Vane, who held the office of joint Treasurer of the Navy with Sir William Young Russell, was ordered suddenly to send in his dismissed accounts preparatory to the issue of a new patent without his name.\* We learn this from the letter of another correspondent of Pennington's, Captain Carterett, a man of

of an amiable young Duke to an office in the Household as the noblest and wifest act of his glorious master.

\* Admiral Pennington's defire (already adverted to) to Admiral have had this office for himself, seems to have been generally Penningunderstood by his friends; and upon the fact of Young Vane's ton look. difmissal being first known, Capt. Dowse, ignorant of the ing for Admiral's intimation to the Under Secretary that he did not Young wish the matter pressed for the present, went and asked the Vane's office from the Lord Admiral, the Earl of Northumberland. Office. His note (in the State Paper Office) proves that the gift of the office to Strafford's friend Pennyman was the King's personal act. "Noble Sir," he writes from York House on Captain Dec. the 30th, "Upon the first notice of Sir Henry Vane his Dowse to "being discharged of the Treasurer's place of the Navy I Penning-"did (as I have written to you before) repaire to my Lord to ton, 30th "desire his Lop to remember your name to the King, if his Dec. " Maty did put by Sir Henry Vane. My Lord told me then "that Sr Henry Vane was not absolutely dismissed until his "accounts were perfected for the whole yeare." A fecond time he waited on the Earl; but "My Lord told me then "that the King had bestowed the place upon Sir William "Pennyman, but if he could doe you any service in it, he "would doe it. Soe wishing you a Merry Christmas I rest "&c." So long previously as the 16th December Capt. Slingsby had written decisively to the Admiral "Sir Henry "Vane the Younger is dismist of his Treasurershippe of the " Navy, and Sir William Pennyman in his place."

Captain Carterett.

Young Vane fuc-

ceeded by

Strafford.

great worth and distinction, who held the office of Comptroller of the Navy, and was, fays Clarendon, of great eminency and reputation in naval command.\* Charles had also further refolved, to express more plainly the illadvised challenge he was thus flinging down to the House of Commons, to bestow the office on Strafford's agent and follower, Sir William Pennyman. "This much I a friend of "knowe," writes Captain Carterett on the 23rd December, to the Admiral of the fleet in the Downs,† "that the attorney hath a "warrant for to prepaire a bill for the drawinge " a patente for Sr William Russell alone, his "joyned patente with Sr Hen. Vane being " recalled in; weh the Parliament doth take "fomething ill. For it seemes that ST Heny "Vane the Younger is much esteemed in the

Captain Carterett to Pennington, 23rd Dec. 1641.

disfavor would Pym have it thought so by the Court. He welcomed into the popular Pym welcomes Old ranks the old fervant of the King by adding Vane into his name to the felect committee for Irish the popular ranks.

" House of Commons: but I doe not heare "the licke of his father, but rather that hee "hath loft the good oppinion of both fides." It might be so, but not in that hour of Court

+ MS. State Paper Office. Carterett to Pennington, 23rd

Dec. 1641.

<sup>\*</sup> See Hist. iii. 115. Carterett's interest and reputation in the navy, according to the historian, was so great, and his diligence and dexterity in command so eminent, that the Parliament, in a crifis of much difficulty, notwithstanding his Royalist opinions, named him for their Vice-Admiral.

affairs; and on the same 23rd of December, The Underwhen Carterett fo wrote to his Admiral, Under Secretary Secretary Sidney Bere, employed with Nicholas to the Admiral, at Whitehall, was writing thus to the same 23rd Dec. correspondent: \* " I can now give you this " certainty, that a warrant hath passed for the " outing young Sr Hen. Vane, and on the con-" trary an order is made in the Lower House The Com-"for to consider of some meanes and wayes mons re-"whereby to preserve him in; so that it is Young " likely there will bee greate debate and con- Vane's dif-" testation about this businesse." It became. in fact, a new cause of quarrel between the Commons and the King, and the conduct of Pym in regard to it feems to show that the startling overture fo fuddenly made to himself must already have been made and rejected.

Upon the probable motives, as well for that overture itself as for its rejection, though it has been feen that nothing can with certainty be stated, it will yet be not inappropriate to add fuch fuggestion here towards an explanation of both, as will fairly arise out of a careful confideration of circumstances attending not only the attempt involved in the present instance, Previous but the fimilar attempt which preceded it, to offer to Pym and obtain for the King the service of some of the his friends: chiefs who led the opposition against him. But 1641. for this it will be necessary to go back to a period

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office. Sidney Bere to Pennington, 23rd Dec. 1641.

of nearly four months before the opening of my narrative.

Former attempt to give office to leaders of the

Clarendon leaves it to be inferred that the negotiation by which office was placed at the disposal of the Parliamentary leaders during Commons: the proceedings against Strafford, had for its fole object the hope of faving by fuch means the life of that great minister; and that when

Not a mere expedient

this failed, and Strafford's head had fallen, no for faving attempt was made to renew the propofal. Strafford: This however is not the fact. Within two months of the execution, Secretary Nicholas, in the same letter in which he communicates to Admiral Pennington the vote by which the Commons had fentenced Lord Digby's published speech on Strafford's attainder to be burnt, and had declared Lord Digby himself to Strafford's be for the future unfit to hold place or receive

Renewed after execution.

employment under the King, adds this remarkable postscript: "The Lord Digby was by "his Matie defigned to have gonne Lord " Ambassador into Fraunce as soone as the " Earl of Lecester should returne thence, but

Hollis or named for Secretary of State, 15 July, 1641.

" (it is thought) the Parliament will disable Hampden "him for any fuch imployment. The speech " is that Mr. Hollis or Mr. John Hampden

" shalbe Secretary of State, but the Lord

" Mandeville doth now againe put hard for " that place."\*

Secretary Nicholas

<sup>\*</sup> State Paper Office. The letter is addreffed "To my much efteemed friend Sir John Pennington, Knight, Ad-

From this it is clear (for no one had fuch Negotiafources of information as Nicholas) that, not-tions with popular withstanding the execution of Strafford and leaders Digby's disqualification for office, the King kept open. had still a purpose of his own in keeping open the negotiation for receiving into his counsels the men who had ftruck so heavily against his dead minister and his living friend. The letter of Nicholas is dated on the 15th of July, and until the close of that month, indeed as long as the King remained in London, the best informed of Charles's own officers of state continued to expect the change. In less than a fortnight Nicholas wrote again as if all doubts and disputes as to the particular distribution of offices had been fettled. Lord Mandeville and Distribu-Hampden had in the interval withdrawn their ces fettled, claims to the principal Secretaryship of State 29th July, in favour of Denzil Hollis, while Hampden was to take the Chancellorship of the Duchy, Lord Saye and Seale to be Lord Treasurer, and the Chancellorship of the Exchequer to be, as in all the previous proposed arrangements, committed to Pym. Nor is it Nicholas alone who thus, up to the 29th July, believes that

<sup>&</sup>quot; miral of His Matles Fleete imployed for garde of the Narrow to Pen-"Seas, aborde His Maties ship the St. André, nowe riding in nington.

<sup>&</sup>quot;the Downes or thereaboutes. Leave this with the Polt of Sandwich to be conveyed." The existence of this letter was known to Lady Therefa Lewis. See her very interesting book in illustration of the portraits in the Clarendon Gallery, Lives of the Friends and Contemporaries of Lord Chancellor Clarendon, ii. 442.

Preparation for the new ministry.

these men are about to assume the great offices too for state. Even the smaller clerks and secretaries ferving under him are making preparations against the expected loss of their employments; and Mr. Sidney Bere writes to tell Making Admiral Pennington, on the very eve of the

Making provision for the worst.

King's departure to Scotland, that he hopes he has made provision against the worst.\*

Sidney
Bere to
Admiral
Pennington, 30th
July, 1641.

\* I subjoin some curious passages from this letter, which is also in the State Paper Office (MS. Sidney Bere to Admiral Pennington, 30th July, 1641, Whitehall). Bere's employment at this earlier time was in connection with the Foreign Office, to which he had been recommended by a previous engagement as Secretary with Sir Balthazar Gerbier. "I must needs," he writes to the Admiral, "take ye occasion of this enclosed "wch was left att my chamber, to tell you, that the noise of remove of officers increases still, and some thinke wee shall not "escape wth less than the losse of Secretarys, weh I begin to "feare much by many signes. One, & truly a noble one, is this, "that Mr. Trea asked me this day how farre my graunt was

Notice to quit ' Whitehall. "advanced, I told him ready for the Kinge's hand tomorrow; he bid me to haften it all I could, for a reason he knew, we's you may easily guesse carryes noe good interpretation. I may glad Mr. Murray is ingaged, who, should any such thinge happen soe suddenly, will whout doubt make good what he hath undertaken, & I am consident both he and Mr. Trear will recommend me to ye successor. But for all these doubts and surmises we prepare still for ye Scotch journey, knoses goe before on Monday. Wee follow on Friday mexte, and ye King on ye 9th which is Munday. The Pariliam' its said will move for a longer stay, but the King is resolved. A whisper goes the Houses will stand for a Lo.

Proposed Viceroy during the King's absence.

"Lieut, in his absence wh power to passe bills: what that "proposition will produce in his resolution to graunt or deny, "goe or stay, we shall shortly see: but every one is full of expectations what every grand councill should produce in the change of officers. Come the worst, if this graunt passes, I have something to trust to agt I am old, and till then, I hope wh God's blessing, the countenance of my friends, we my own industry, to passe well enogh. I have not soe ill spent this time, but I have pursed up for a yeare's sub-

Confolations of a retiring official.

"fiftence and more, in w<sup>ch</sup> time many changes will happen.
"Thus I take all att the worst on the first alarum, but I hope

Yet fo strange does it seem that purposes A sequel involving a complete change in the greatest almost too strange for employments of the State should have been belief. entertained up to the very eve of the King's departure for Scotland, that they then should fuddenly and filently have been dropped, and that the King's letters to Nicholas from Edinburgh should as suddenly be filled with covert threats against the men chosen so recently for the highest dignities he had it in his power to bestow, that credit may hardly be claimed for fuch a statement without production of the actual evidence. The second letter of Nicholas, Present also in the State Paper Office, begins with from the Admiral. acknowledgment of a welcome present of four Guinea-birds, which the Admiral had fent for Mrs. Nicholas, "whereby you have made "her a proude woman, and she defires me to " present to you her affectionate thankes for "that great raritie." He then describes the Nicholas appointment of Lord Essex to be General of to Pennington, the Forces on this fide Trent; speaks of 29 July, Lord Pembroke as bearing the loss of his 1641. employment with much patience and discretion; and makes frank allusion to the eccle-

"there is noe cause, but that we shall rubb out yett this Sum"mer at the least." It is very remarkable to observe from this letter that at no time do the popular leaders, even when their immediate induction into the great offices was looked upon as certain, appear to have taken the pressure of Parliament from off the King. The proposal of a Viceroy or Regent was singularly distasteful to him, and the dispute as to the proper time of his quitting London was vehemently maintained even to within a few hours of his departure. See my Effays, i. 13.

Why Nicholas objects to Ecclesiastical Reform.

King's proposed

journey

to Scotland:

fiaftical reforms in progress, and the abuses they are levelled at. "The acte against Bishpps,

- " Deanes, & Chappters, is not as yett past the "Comons House of Pt, and I hope never will:
- " for iff it shall, my father and myselfe shall
- " by the change of our Landlordes lose 15001.
- "in the value of our estates. But I hope the
- "Parlt will not holde it wife to punishe the
- "Tenants for ye Landlord's faulttes. The
- "Comons are much troubled that the Kinge " will goe on Monday come fennight (as hee
- "has declared openlie) towards Scotland.

Objected to by the Commons.

- "They have had a conference with ye Lords " abt presenting to his Majty some reasons agt
- "his Majty's goinge untill the armie be dif-
- "banded, wch, if there were money readie,
- " woulde not bee this fortnight. It is heere
- " faid that wee shall shortly before the Kinge's
- "departure have a greate change & addition
- " of officers abt Cote, as that the Ld Saye
- " shall be made Ld Treas", the Ld Newburg
- " Master of the Wardes, Mr. Jo. Hampden
- Hampden, "Chr of ye Dutchy, Mr. Pym Char of the
  - " Excheq", Mr. Denzill Hollis Principall Secry
  - " of State; and that ye Earl of Bath and Ld
  - "Brooke shall be sworne of his Masty most
  - "honble Privy Counfell."\* He adds fome

ministry expected: Pym, Hollis, and Lord Saye and Seale.

The new

\* This letter (also in the State Paper Office, and dated 29th July, 1641) is addressed like the former, with this addition: "Leave this with the foote post of Sandweh in "Philpot Lane att ye signe of ye Sandweh Armes to be " conveyed."

particulars as to the army plot, the examinations as to which were then in process of being taken; and he closes by faying that he proposes himself, God willing, to retire on the next Nicholas following Saturday to his house in the country, retire: to live quietly there if he can; and that howfoever the world goes, the Admiral shall be fure always to find that he is still constantly and firmly his faithful and affectionate friend.

But of course Nicholas did not retire into But the country, nor did the parliamentary leaders retire. make their entrance into Whitehall. Not less mysterious in its origin and fate than the later attempt to obtain Pym's folitary fervice, it feems impossible to review the circumstances Whyboth attending this earlier effort to place both attempts to concihim and his friends in power, without liate popular larleaders arriving at the only folution which either failed. feems capable of receiving. Neither, it must have been suspected or discovered, was really or fincerely intended by the person who alone could give effect to it. Both were wrecked by the utter distrust and disbelief which the King The rock in all his dealings had inspired. In making they split against. again the overture fingly to Pym, there can be little question that Charles had the idea in his mind, as already hinted, that by some artifice or trick, fome juggling and playing with the cards, Episcopacy, even in its last extremity of danger, was to be rescued still by bringing over the only popular leader not committed to

A warning for Pym to act upon: root and branch. But the fate of the earlier negotiation, which I have thus been able to retrace, opened also, as the later had been, at the very moment when Lord Digby had

ing taken.

been fingled out for royal favour, was doubt-The warn- less the sufficient warning on which Pym wisely acted. We need not look for his motives further a-field. The calm refusal with which the proffered place was put aside, and the dignified filence preferved in relation to it, may thus alike receive their fatiffactory folution.

## § VI. THE WESTMINSTER TUMULTS.

Publication of the Grand Remonstrance.

On the third day after the Grand Remonstrance, printed by order of the House, had begun to circulate among the people, the obfervance of a day of Fast and Humiliation had been appointed. The circumstance is referred to by the Under-Secretary, with whose letter, already quoted in the preceding fection, as with a fimilar communication from Captain Carterett, there also went to the Admiral a copy of the published Remonstrance. "The Remonstrance is "likewise come out," he writes, "which I now " fend herewith, and leave unto your readinge to "judge of it. This is all I can fay more for

A Fast

"observed through London and the Court, Day, 22nd or and is to-day in Westminster. Indeed, there

"the present save that yesterday the fast was

" needs some extraordinary devotion to divert

"the many troubles and distractions this State

" is threatened withal, wen if God doe not of " his mercy turne awaye, it's much to be feared "will very shortly fall upon us: Soe that I "cannot wonder to reade yor compassionate " fense thereof, but doe joyne wth you that it's "a time wherein he that hath leaste to doe " may thinke himself the happiest."\* The King, as we have feen, had celebrated the How the fast at Court by figning on that day, the King celebrated it. 22nd December, the warrant for appointment of the dissolute Lunsford to one of the places of greatest trust in his dominions. We have feen also the tumult it provoked in the House of Commons, and this had now reacted on the people out of doors. It was the time of Christmas holidays, when unusual numbers were in London, daily thronging the streets; and fuch and fo alarming were the manifesta-Discontions of popular discontent, that within three tented holiday days after the letters just quoted we find crowds. another of Pennington's correspondents, and a high civil functionary, writing to him in a strain that might well shake the nerves of the gallant seaman far more than those terrible gales then sweeping the coast during which his ships had well-nigh foundered in the Downs. "But though," writes Mr. Thomas Smith, a man highly esteemed and holding important office in the Admiralty, to his loving

\* MS. State Paper Office. Sidney Bere to Pennington, 23rd Dec.

Sea and land storms.

and much honored friend, "the stormes are " escaped at sea, they are not so on shoare.

" For here we have fuch jealousies, and dif-" contents are dayly rayled by the malignant

" party between the King and his people, that

"there talks now of nothing but drawing of

" fwords and a war between the Protestants A religious

" and Papists. Wch God forbid! for though "we may know the beginning, noe man can

"the end and confequences of an intestine

" warre." \*

On the evening of the day when that letter

Lunfford's appointment cancelled.

Too late.

war talked of.

> was written, the King found it absolutely necesfary (upon a representation personally made to him the previous night at Whitehall by the Lord Mayor, a member of his own party) to cancel Lunfford's appointment; but swiftly as the ill-advised act was so recalled, it was yet recalled too late. It was too late to prevent the tumults and disturbances of that and the following day. In those tumults, duly recorded, but not fairly or justly discriminated, in the histories, were first heard the memorable epithets of Roundhead and Cavalier: two words

Memorable epithets first invented.

> \* MS. State Paper Office. Thomas Smith to Pennington, 23rd Dec.

> destined to become as famous as those other

two of Whig and Tory, which, invented feven-and-thirty years later, used also as terms of reproach, † and bandied about from fide to

† That the word Cavalier, not necessarily a term of re-

## fide, like these, amid tumultuous affemblages of English citizens,\* became in like manner

proach (Shakespeare certainly does not so employ it when he Cavalier: speaks of the gay and gallant English eager for French in- origin and vafion-

meaning of the word:

For who is he . . . that will not follow These cull'd and choice-drawn Cavaliers to France?)

was unquestionably used in that sense on the occasion of these tumults (probably to connect its French origin with the un-English character of the defenders of the Queen and her French papift adherents to whom it was chiefly applied), appears from the fact that it is bandied about in declarations alternately issued on the eve of the war by the Parliament and the King, the latter speaking of it more than once as a word much in disfavour. And, after the standard on either side was unfurled, nay, when the battle of Edgehill had been fought, Charles elaborately accuses his antagonists, "pretenders to peace The King " and charity " he calls them, of a hateful attempt " to render complains "all persons of honour, courage, and reputation, odious to of its use. "the common people under the style of Cavaliers, infomuch "as the highways and villages have not been safe for gentle-men to pass through without violence or affront." Even in the very earliest popular songs on the King's side the word has not the place it afterwards affumed, and one meets with Royalist poets of a comparatively fober vein

"Who neither love for fashion nor for fear, As far from Roundhead as from Cavalier."

D'Ewes's earliest uses of the word in his MS. Journal I find under dates of Monday 10th January and Friday March 4th, 1641-2, and Friday 3rd June 1642. In the first he is speaking of parties who had been seen suspiciously entering the Tower; in the second, of the Cavaliers at Whitehall who wounded the Citizens; and in the last, of the King's party in Yorkshire. Of the word Roundhead, on the other hand, Roundand the mixed fear and hatred it represented and pro-head. voked, decidedly the most characteristic example is furnished by the ever quaint and entertaining Bishop Hacket, who (Scrinia Reservata, ii. 207) tells a story of a certain worthy and honest Vicar of Hampshire who always (in such manner as to evade the notice of one section of his hearers while he fecretly pleased the other) changed one word in the last verse of the Te Deum-O Lord in thee have I trusted, let me never be a Round-head!

\* See my Hift. & Biog. Essays ii. 6 (under Essay on

De Foe).

the indelible diffinction of the two great parties

First blood in English history.\* The first blood shed in

shed in the
Civil War.

of December, several citizens having been

wounded and Sir Richard Wiseman slain.

William Lilly's evidence. \* There is a curious and characteristic passage by William Lilly (Monarchy or no Monarchy in England, part ii. ed. 1651), referring to these tumults, of which he was himself an eyewitness, and deserving more attention than it has received. He is speaking of the King: "Fearing the worst, as himself "pretended (from the tumultuous assemblages of Citizens), he had a Court of Guard, before Whitehall, of the Train Bands; he had also many dissolute gentlemen, and some very civil, that kept within Whitehall with their swords by their sides, to be ready upon any sudden occasion. Verily men's fears now began to be great; and it was by many preceived, that the King began to swell with anger against

The King's fecret revealed.

"perceived, that the King began to swell with anger against the proceedings of Parliament, and to intend a war against them: some speeches dropt from him to that purpose. It happened one day, as some of the ruder fort of Citizens came by Whitehall, one busy Citizen must needs cry 'No Bishops.' Some of the gentlemen issued out of Whitehall, either to correct the sauciness of the fool in words, if they would serve; else, it seems, with blows. What passed on either fide in words, none but themselves knew. The Citizen, being more tongue than soldier, was wounded, and I have heard, died of his wounds received at that time. It hath been affirmed by very many, that in, or hearunto, that

A belief or fuperstition. "hath been affirmed by very many, that in, or hearunto, that place where this fellow was hurt and wounded, the late King's head was cut-off, the Scaffold standing just over that place. These people, or Citizens, who used thus to slock unto Westminster, were, most of them, men of mean, or a middle quality . . . and yet most of them were either such as had public spirits, or lived a more religious life than the vulgar, and were usually called Puritans, and had suffered under the tyranny of the Bishops. In the general they were very honest men and well meaning: some particular fools, or others, perhaps, now and then, got in amongst them, greatly to the disadvantage of the more sober. They were modest

Character of Puritans.

"in their apparel, but not in their language; they had the hair of their heads very few of them longer than their ears; "whereupon it came to pass that those who usually with their cries attended at Westminster, were by a nick name called Round-heads. The Courtiers again, having long hair and locks, and always swordes, at last were called by these men

The Lords had at first declined to join the Commons in petitioning for Lunfford's Cause of removal, and it was the excitement consequent affemupon this refusal, first known by the published blages in Westminprotest of twenty-two peers headed by names ster Hall. in fuch popular esteem as those of Bedford, Northumberland, Pembroke, and Effex, which led to the affemblages that met fuddenly together, in large numbers certainly but unprovided with arms, in Westminster Hall and outside the door of the House of Lords.\* It has been, notwithstanding an admission to the contrary

"Cavaliers; and so &c. &c. sew of the vulgar knowing the What fense of the word Cavalier. To speak freely and ingenuously, Lilly "what I then observed of the City Tumults was this: First, observed "the fufferings of the Citizens who were anything well of the "devoted, had, during all this King's reign, been such and so tumults. "great (being harrowed or abused, continually, either by the High Commission Court or the Star Chamber), that, as men " in whose breasts the spirit of Liberty had some place, they "were even glad to vent out their fighs and sufferings in this " rather tumultuous than civil manner: being affured that if " ever this parliament had been dissolved, they must have been " racked, whipt, and stript by the ... Clergy, and other extrava-"gant courses: and for any amendment which they might "expect from the King, they too well knew his temper; that A Parlia-"though in a time of parliament he often promifed to ment the redress any grievances, yet the best friend he hath cannot People's "produce any one act of good for his fubjects done by him only hope.
"in the vacancy of a parliament. The lofers usually have
leave to speak, and so had the Citizens. All this Xmas
"1641, there was nothing but private whisperings in Court, Secret
and secret counsels held by the Queen and her party, with counsels. "whom the King fate in council very late many nights. "What was the particular refult of these clandestine consultations, it will presently appear." In these last few words he

\* "The tumults," fays Nalson, the most unscrupulous of Royalist partizans, "began upon this little clash of the two "Houses, the Lords refusing to join with the Commons to petition out Lunsford,"—Collections, ii. 781.

alludes of course to the impending attempt to arrest the

members.

Party statements.

to be quoted shortly even from Clarendon himself,\* uniformly afferted by Royalist writers fince, and with fuch confident pertinacity that less partial writers have been overborne by it, that these gatherings of the people were accompanied by violence, that the Citizens were the aggressors, and that fwords were drawn at last on the other side only in felf-defence. The point is an important one to place beyond further question, because here, and not in any dispute as to whom the powers of the militia should reside with, really Who were began the Civil War. Elaborately to argue upon this or that claim of right, whether to the

the first aggresfors.

militia or to any other power of the State, in the position to which the incidents now under discussion were about swiftly to bring the opposing parties, is to be at infinite pains to throw words into the air. Both King and Parliament were foon to ascertain that peace was no longer possible; and it was but the prelude of fence to the sharper conflict, the understood pause for collection of strength on either fide, when the war of words about the militia began. In the chapter of history I ginning of have here undertaken to rewrite lies the true fettlement of the doubt as to who began the Civil War; and in these Westminster tumults, which were the prologue of the tragedy, it will not be difficult to show, on the unquestion-

True be-War:

able evidence now to be produced, not merely that the bloodshed was exclusively the act of the King's friends and dependants, and that the natural alarm it created was made the excuse for other and more deliberately planned violence against the people, but that all this in the atwas unavoidably a portion of that design tempt to destroy the against the Parliament for which the time had Parliamentary been supposed to be ripe, and leaders. which had for its first and immediate object the destruction of the leaders of the House of Commons.

## § VII. CITIZENS AND SOLDIERS IN THE HALL.

The old year had now only five days to run, Monday, and was fast departing amid incidents that only 27th Dec. too fitly ushered in its dark and gloomy successor. On this eve of the first year of the Great Civil War, the physical and the moral atmosphere alike seemed charged with storm. So severe a season had not been known for Severity of the many winters; \* and while each day, and hour winter.

<sup>\*</sup> It extended to Paris, from which city Windebank, writing to his fon in London on the loth Jan. [1641-2, fpeaks of the extraordinary florms that were prevalent, and of "the very Fierce "fierce frost methinks much exceeding those in England." frost in I am tempted to add a further portion of the letter, which is Paris. every way characteristic of the weak and poor-spirited writer, to whom a leading share in the government of England had been unreservedly committed in the most difficult and dangerous criss of her story. He is telling his son of his intense wish to return to England. "Wherein, methinks, I shd not "longer be impedimented now that I am out of danger to

Tempest at fea.

of the day, brought its grief or terror to unprejudiced watchers of events, it was in the midst of a tempest that swept the English coast with almost unparalleled violence that the Admiral in the Downs continued to receive the letters which happily have preferved for us, in fair and unexaggerated language, an impartial testimony of eye-witnesses to events very memorable in our history.

"Concerning the state of our affaires here,"

Mr. Thos. Smith to Pennington, 30th Dec.

wrote Mr. Thomas Smith, already named as a friend of Sir John Pennington, and who held confidential office under the Earl of Northumberland, with whom he had rooms at York House, "they are not foe well as I could wish, for wee " are in dayly fears of uproares and diford s. "The 'Prentices and our Souldiers have lately " had fome bickerings wherein many of the "'prentices were wounded, and lost their hats "and cloakes. This was don yesterday at At White- " Whitehall Gate, as the prentices were coming

hall Gate, "from demanding an answer of their petition " lately exhibited to the Parliamt house. The

" fould continue in greate numbers in White-

Windebank to his fon.

" retourne any more to businesse. This I desire you to sollicit "& pursue with all earnestness if yo shall find it safe to stir in it, that I may see myne own dear country, & poor nest "again, and fom ende of my wanderinges and greate fuffer-"ings, weh if the world did rightly consider, I am consident "they wold be sensible of my condition, & the most rigorous & hard-harted wold thinke I have been abundantly punished " already for anything that I have donne. But God's will be "donne, and whatsoever you shall negotiate herein must be "with entire & all humble submission to His May."

"hall. These woundes of the 'prentices have

"foe exasperated them, that it is feared they Exaspera-"will be at Whitehall this day to the number people.

" of ten thousand; whereupon the fouldiers

"have increased their number, built up a

"Court of Guard wthout the Gate, and have

" called down the millitary company to their

"affistance: and what will be the event, God

"knows. Neither do the Houses and King

"agree fo well as I could wishe. The Jesuiti-

"call Faction, according to their wounted A Jesuiti-"custome, fomenting still jealousies between strong in "the King and his people, and the Bishops the House.

" continually concurring with the Popish Lords

" against the passing any good bills sent from

"the House of Commons thither." \*

Under Secretary Sidney Bere, also writing The Under Secretary Sidney Bere, also writing The Under Secreon the fame day (the 30th of December) tary to the to his friend commanding in the narrow Admiral, feas, is more specific as to the causes of the prevailing excitement: "Since the Hol-"lidays began," he writes, "here have " been fuch rude affemblies and multitudes

" of the baser sort of people, that everyday

"threatened a desperate confusion. Nor are Confusion "we yet free of those feares. The first pre- and fears.

"tended cause of this was the making of

"Collonel Lunfford Lieut of the Tower.

"Which begat foe generall a murmure and

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;MS. State Paper Office. Smith to Admiral Pennington, 30 Dec. 1641. And, under same date, the letter which follows: Bere to Pennington.

Lunfford knighted and penfioned upon his removal. "discontent that his Matie was pleased to remove him after two or three dayes pos-

" fession and to putt Sir John Biron in his

" place; having made the other a knight and as I am told given him 500lb. a year pen-

"fion.\* But the people, not being as it

" feemes sufficiently perswaded of this remove,

"on Monday [the 27th] continuing their infolencies, and meeting this Lunfford at

"Westminster, they fell to blowes, in web dis-

Blood fhed " order divers were lightly hurt, but without 27th Dec.

"further danger; and one of their chiefe leaders there was Sr Richard Wiseman, who

"was alfoe hurt. In fine these distempers

"have foe increased by such little skir-

"mishes, that now the traynebands" [of Middlesex] "keepe watch everywhere: all the

"courtiers commanded to weare fwords: and

ordered to be armed. "a Corps-de-Gard House built up within the railes by Whitehall. All which fills every one

" wth feares and apprehensions of greater evils."

Such fears and apprehensions might well exist, but from which quarter came the graver threatenings of storm? On one side were citizens and apprentices, at first altogether unarmed, irritating doubtless as all crowds are,

Share in the tumults

Courtiers

The penfion and knighthood to Lunfford. \* This fact is now for the first time known. Of its correctness there can hardly be a doubt, for no man was in so good a position for obtaining reliable information as the Under Secretary. The same fact is moreover confirmed and repeated in a letter, also in the State Paper Office, dated the 29th Dec. 1641, from Capt. Carterett to Admiral Pennington. but wreaking no mischief worse than a crumpled taken by cloak or band, a torn gown, an impertinent and Apword, or an inconvenient hustling and pressure. prentices. An eyewitness of the assault on the Archbishop of York, referred to always as the incident most provocative of what followed, has defcribed it for us. "I was witness," says Mr. Bramston,\* the son of the Chief Justice of the Queen's Bench, and at this time an intimate affociate of Mr. Hyde, "to a lane " made in both the Palace Yards, and no man " could pass but whom the rabble gave leave what Mr. "to, crying A Good Lord! or A Good Man! Bramston faw, 27th "Let him pass! I did see the Bishop of Dec. "Lincoln's gown torne as he passed from the " flair-head into the entry that leads to the " Lords' House." And as Mr. Bramston saw we may still for ourselves see, vividly enough, those troublesome citizen quidnuncs, those idle varlet-apprentices, and with the help of what the Under Secretary tells us, can imagine the reception they were likely to give to Lunfford, infolent with favors fo heaped upon him even in that hour of his dismissal, as to afford but Provocaa new and exasperating instance of a popular the people. concession haughtily unmade in the very act of making it. But, fuch being on one fide the

<sup>\*</sup> In his Autobiography, published by the Camden Society,

<sup>†</sup> Williams, Bishop of Lincoln, had so recently become Archbishop of York that Bramston calls him by his more familiar title.

The foldier assailants.

case, bad and vexatious enough, what presents itself to us on the other? A fet of fierce foldier adventurers, not only men of completely desperate fortune, but all of them under the ban of the majority of the House of Commons, yet offered and accepted with their riotous and reckless followers as a Court of Guard to their fovereign, entertained and feasted at the very gate of his palace, and enlifted under a condition of service which even Clarendon thought " unseasonable," seeing that it began not in any needful defence of the King, but in a needless shedding of the blood of his subjects.

Volunteer Guard to the King:

Clarenthem.

It would not be eafy to felect a passage more characteristic of the historian than that in which he speaks of this Whitehall Guard, and of the difastrous service in which they were employed. He cannot deny that their entertainment by Charles was an act of groß indifcretion, and he is obliged to confess that they first drew their swords upon the people. the form in which he gives utterance to fuch opinion of all-important admissions against the party for whom he holds his brief, is the most fingular manifestation conceivable of the degree to which a partizan writer may permit himself to become unconscious of the plain effect and meaning of the language he employs. begins by faying \* that all the while the King had been at Whitehall, besides his ordinary

retinue, and menial fervants, he had kept in Compoclose attendance upon him a considerable num-nent elements ber of officers of the late difbanded army, who of the Guard: were foliciting their remainder of pay from the two Houses which was secured to them by Act of Parliament, and were expecting some farther employment in the war with Ireland; and that these not very scrupulous gentlemen, upon observation and view of what he calls the insolence of the tumults, and the danger that they might possibly bring to the Court, The offered themselves for a Guard to his Majesty's King's unseasonperson, and were with more formality and able acceremony entertained by him, than, upon a ceptance of their just computation of all distempers, was by service : many conceived feafonable. And then he goes on to fay that "from these officers,-"warm with indignation at the infolences of "that vile rabble which every day passed by "the Court,—there proceeded, first, words of " great contempt, and then, those words com-"monly finding a return of equal fcorn, blows Citizens " were fastened upon some of the most prag-insulted and af-"matical of the crew." In plain language, failed by the provocation both of words and blows them. came first from the Whitehall desperadoes. Their advocate continues: "This was looked "upon by the House of Commons like a "levying of war by the King, and much " pity expressed by them that the poor people " should be so used who came to them with

Cuts and flashes drawing blood.

"petitions"- to go to the House of Commons with petitions was in reality the tumult and infolence complained of-"for fome few of "them had received fome cuts and flashes that "had drawn blood; and that made a great "argument for reinforcing their numbers. "And from these contestations the two terms of "Roundhead and Cavalier grew to be received " in discourse, and were afterwards continued " for the most fuccinct distinction of affections "throughout the quarrel: they who were "looked upon as fervants to the King being "thus called Cavaliers, and the others of the "rabble contemned and despised under the "name of Roundheads."

To put all this into plain speech is to say

Plain meanings to Clarendon's fpeech.

that, at a time when above all others it behoved the King to be wary of unduly exciting jealoufies and fuspicions, he accepted from a band of reckless and desperate soldiers of fortune a proffered personal devotion which was to display itself in the most active hate of a particular fection of his people. Nor was it dry Eager en- acceptance only, but eager encouragement, that Charles extended to them. While thefe men fo infulted the Citizens, upon whom they fastened blows, and upon whom they drew their fwords, they were the guests of the King in his own palace, entertained and fed at his expense. And whether those of the affailed were few or many, who, in the nicely-

couragement to attack on Citizens.

chosen phrase of Hyde, " received some cuts Abettors "and flashes that had drawn blood," neither of the outrage. exaggerates nor diminishes the crime. The fact undeniably remains, as admitted by Clarendon, and (in a passage which will shortly be quoted) confirmed by Rushworth; and to it is to be added the further not less fignificant circumstance, that when that famous Declaration of both Houses was presented to the King at Newmarket in the early days of March, to which, as Lord Holland read it, Charles spared no epithet of anger or scorn (that's false! that's a lye! broke from him at its feveral averments), he heard in filence those portions of it which charged him with Design in having enlifted in an unufual manner, and put encouinto regular pay under the command of colonels, Whitehall this Whitehall Guard; with having feasted does: and caroufed them at the palace in a manner altogether unaccustomed; with having endeavoured to engage the gentlemen of the Inns of Court to co-operate with them; and with having for his manifest design in all this, "a "perpetual guard" fuch as the laws did not To draw warrant.\* In his own formal answer, indeed, together a published on the 9th March, he substantially Guard. admits the allegations made. "Why the lifting," he fays, "of fo many officers, and entertaining "them at Whitehall, should be misconstrued, "we much marvel, when it is notoriously

<sup>\*</sup> Rushworth, III. vol. i. 529.

"known the tumults at Westminster were for great, and their demeanour so scandalous and seditious, that we had good cause to suppose our own person, and those of our wife and children, to be in apparent danger; and therefore we had great reason to appoint and therefore we had great reason to appoint and therefore we had great reason to appoint tender of the services of any of our loving fubjects."\*

Admiffions by the King: 9th March, 1642.

Witnesses above fufpicion.

Let me upon this fubject add to the evidence already quoted, that of another witness equally above suspicion; whose discontent at this time with the House of Commons; would have ill disposed him to sympathy with any but its most bitter assailants; and who distinctly tells us, not merely that Lunfford and his friends, with drawn swords, charged upon the Citizens and "chased" them round the Hall, but that small parties of some sisteen or sixteen officers of the army had fallen upon crowds of unoffending civilians, and left forty or sifty of them wounded.

Slingsby's ship at Spithead, 25th Nov.

\* Rushworth, III. vol. i. 536, 537.

† On the 25th Nov. 1641, Captain Slingsby had thus written (MS. State Paper Office): "On Saturday morning last I "brought the Happie Entrance to the Spitthead, where, having "a pilott aboard, but the wind still Northerly that she was not "like suddenly to gett into the harbour, I came away to "London. She is presently to be made ready again to go "for Ireland, Captain Owen in her: some of the Parliament "as I hear having made some scruples concerning my sitnesse "for that imployment, in respect of my brother's neare relation "to my Lord of Strafford: yett I find no alteration in my

connection with Strafford.

brother's

His

"Lord's [Northumberland] countenance towards me, as he "fayth it will not prejudice me for other employments."

"I cannot fay," writes Slingsby, already A mad described as the brother of Strafford's Secre-mas. tary,\* "we have had a merry Christmas, "but the maddest one that ever I saw. The "prentices and baser fort of citizens, saylors, "and water men, in greate numbers everie day "at Westminster, armed with swords, † hal-"berds, clubbs, weh hath made the King keep Excuses for the "a stronge Guard about Whitehall, of the Whitehall "Trayned Bands without, and of gentlemen Guard. "and officers of the army within. The King "had upon Christmas Eve putt Coll. Lunfford "in to be Lieutenant of the Tower, weh was "fo much refented by the Comons and by the "Cittie, that the Sunday after he displaced "him again, and putt in Sir John Biron, who Unpopu "is little better accepted than the other larity of Sir John "Lunfford being on Monday last in the Hall, Biron. "with about a dozen other gentlemen, he was " affronted by some of the citizens whereof the "hall was full; and fo they drew their fwords, " chafing the citizens about the Hall, and fo "made their way through them we'h were in Citizens "ye Pallace Yard and in Kinges Street, till chased about the "they came to Whitehall. The Archbishop Hall by "of Yorke was beaten by the 'prentices the foldiers.

\* MS. State Paper Office. "R' Slyngsbie to the honble Sir "John Pennington Knt. Admirall of his Maties Fleete for guard of the narrow seas:" 30th Dec. 1641.

† This is a mere careless affertion, as is proved by the paffages immediately following it, which show that the Citizens could not have been armed.

"fame day, as he was going into the Parlia-

"ment. The next day they assaulted the "Abbey to pull down the organs and the altar" (there had been recent order for peculiar ceremonies and observances at the altar), "but "it was defended by the Archbishop of Yorke

Aftray in the Abbey,

"his fervants, with some other gentlemen that Dec. 28th. " came to them: divers of the citizens hurtt "but not killed: amongst them that were "hurtt, one knight, Sir Richard Wiseman, "who is their cheife leader. Yesterday about "fifteen or fixteen officers of the army stand-

"ing at the court gate, took a flight occasion " to fall upon them, and hurt about forty or "fifty of them: they in all their skirmishes

"have avoided thrusting, because they would " not kill them. I never faw the Court fo full

"of gentlemen. Every one comes thither with "their fwordes. This day 500 gentlemen of "the Innes of Court, came to offer their fer-

"vices to the King. The officers of the army

"fince these tumults have watcht and kept a teer Law- "Court of Guard in the Presence Chamber, and

"are entertained upon the King's charge. A "company of foldiers are put into the Abbey

"for defence of it. The House of Commons

"have drawn up a charge, and sent it up against "my Lord of Bristol: the same that he was

"long fince accused of and acquitted by the

"first Parliament of the King."

It has been feen, as described by an actual

Unprovoked outrage by the foldiers, 29th Dec.

Gentlemen armed crowding the court. 500 volunyers: 30th Dec.

Charge against Lord Briftol.

eye-witness, what was the nature of the so-called "beating" of the Archbishop of York referred to in this letter; and it is hardly necessary to direct attention to the fact that all the real hurts described in the various accounts are exclusively those inflicted on, and in no single instance by, civilians. No mention occurs anywhere of a No blood wound, however flight, inflicted by an apprentice the Citior citizen. But we get some clue to the means zens. used to irritate the mob into violence, by what was complained of in the House of Commons on the morning after the Archbishop's gown was fo rudely handled in Westminster Hall. Going from the House to his lodging, an Honorable Member, "as he passed thro' the "churchyard, found there a guard of foldiers; "and inquiring of them by whose command "they were there, they answered by the Arch-Afighting "bishop of Yorke's:" whereupon, after sharp bishop. discussion, the House generally declared it to be a grave misdemeanor that guards should so be fet about without due authority, to the terror and affright of the people.\* Certainly a torn

<sup>\*</sup> Nalfon's Collections ii. 793. I add a remarkable passage from D'Ewes MS. Journal of little more than a fortnight's Entry later date, which may help to show that the incidents now from under notice, and the principal actors in them, had a close and D'Ewes's ominous connection with the attempt so soon to be made by the king. "Mr. Miles Corbet made a relation touching one "Mr. Pemberton, who was examined when the Committee satisfies in Guildhall, before Mr. Edward Wright an Alderman of London, and was sent by him to one of the Counters: "that he had confessed that he was one of them that had come hither with the king on Tuesday, Jan. 4, and that he commanded 40 men at the Abbey of Westminster that

Incitements to violence. gown hardly justified preparations so formidable, and the reader may perhaps fee in the incident a fufficing explanation for what Captain Slingsby describes as occurring on "the next day." In brief, each hour now brought its alarm,

Shops

clofed. and all men arming.

Dangerous beliefs.

and figns and portents of approaching calamity were everywhere abroad. The close of Captain Slingfby's letter leaves us no room to doubt the definite and dangerous impression already produced upon himself. "The cittizens," he says, " for the most part shutt up their shoppes, and "all gentlemen provide themselves with armes "as in time of open hostillities. Both factions "talke very bigge, and itt is a wonder there is "noe more blood yett spilt, seeing how earnest "both fides are. There is no doubt but if "the King doe not comply with the Comons "in all thinges they defire, a fudden civill "warr must ensue; we'h everie day we see "approaches fooner." Dangerous in its growth fuch a belief as this could not fail to be. It narrowed the grounds of agreement left, shut out all hope in which ultimate safety lay, and brought nearer the dreaded calamity by making the mere thought of it more familiar. If fuch men as Slingsby reasoned that civil war was unavoidable, it was but natural that the reckless men of his party should act

<sup>&</sup>quot; evening when Sir Richard Wiseman was hurt [to death]." -Harl. MSS. 16, f. 331 a, 336 a.

as if civil war were come. It is at least certain that in such a state of feeling and apprehension, so widely spread, a terrible responsibility attended any act which should carry with it a studden and violent increase of the prevailing excitements; nor, were its consequences ever A terrible responsion for appalling, might its author with any justice bility. claim exemption from the charge of having deliberately intended to produce them.

## § VIII. What was passing in the House.

RESORTING, for information of what was meanwhile passing in the House, to the manuscript Journal of D'Ewes,\* we find the details of Captain Slingsby's letter in all respects confirmed. On the first day of the tumults, D'Ewes First day makes a brief and hurried note of what was Tumults, passing in the House; and the abrupt, un-27th Dec. sinished sentence, more strikingly than any elaborate detail, depicts the prevailing agitation. The sitting was only prolonged to receive evidence that "the quarrel in Westminster" Hall began from some soldiers or gentlemen

<sup>\*</sup> Brit. Mus. Harleian MSS. 162-166. This most curious State of and valuable record, as I have stated in a former work, is D'Ewes's contained in five several volumes, to which correct reference Journal in is often extremely difficult; the same period occupying more the Harthan one volume, and it being frequently necessary to examine leian MSS. all the volumes in searching for the completed record of one particular debate. The state of the writing, too, with its blotted and often hopelessly involved interlineations, interposes frequent obstruction. My references have, however, been made with much care; and, where not minutely exact, will always be found within one or two solios of the precise place sought.

"who first offered violence to the citizens," and that Colonel Lunsford was one of those whose swords had slashed in the faces of unarmed men. Next day, however, Tuesday the 28th December, the day following that on which Lunsford had so led the assault on the crowd in Westminster Hall, D'Ewes was again at his post, and found Cromwell speaking on Lord Newport's dismissal from the constable-ship of the Tower.

day of the Tumults, 28th Dec.

Second

Lord Newport's difmiffal debated.

The honorable member for Cambridge feldom failed to give a practical bearing and purpose to any debate he engaged in, and now he was employing the Newport affair to bring the House back to consideration of the point, not whether fuch idle words as the King imputed had been fpoken,† but whether treasonable advice had at any time been given, and by whom, for bringing up the army to overawe the deliberations of that House. Cromwell, as we have feen Captain Slingsby inform his Admiral, distinctly pointed to my Lord of Briftol, Lord Digby's father; and, reviving an old to couple with it a new charge, arraigned him not merely as having notorioufly counselled the Sovereign in former years, for worldly and prudential reasons, to become Roman Catholic, t but as having, in regard to

Oliver Cromwell fpeaking:

<sup>\*</sup> Harleian MSS. 162, f. 287 b.

<sup>+</sup> See ante, p. 38.

t When they were together in Spain, upon that mad freak of the Spanish Match which carried with it several very grave con-

the matter of bringing up the Northern force, distinctly advised his Majesty, in language confessed by himself, to "put the army in a " posture." Fit, then, said Cromwell, that this House desire the Lords to join with us in Demoving his Majesty that such a person as this the Earl Earl of Bristol be removed from his councils. of Bristol. For what room was there to doubt that a more than ordinary meaning lay beneath the words fo used? The due posture of the army at that time, added Cromwell, with the homely force and vigour that characterised all his speeches, was the posture of lying still, and that posture the said army was already in.\* Denzil Hollis followed up this attack on Lord Denzil Bristol by some telling blows against his son, attacks Lord Digby, who had declared only the Lord Digby. previous day, in a speech which Hollis justly characterised as the most dangerous and pernicious that could be spoken by a subject, that this was not a free Parliament.+

And here let me interpose, that though the accused members always maintained that the King acted on other than a single person's Lord advice in his great outrage against them, it is complicity hardly necessary also to say that they needed with attempts of nothing to assure them of Lord Digby's 3rd and thorough complicity. It may be well to premise, 4th Jan.

fequences. Perhaps the best account of it can be gathered from Howell's Letters.

<sup>\*</sup> Harleian MSS. 162, f. 288 a.

<sup>+</sup> Ib. f. 291 a, b.

however, that in whatever is further to be faid

tal of Lord tended.

Noacquit- having a tendency to involve others, no acquittal of Lord Digby is intended. His share was Digby in- open and avowed, at any rate after the event; and when on the 19th February 1641-2, the House (overruling a recommendation from the committee to whom the matter had been referred, and of which Sir John Evelyn was chairman, for a bill of attainder) resolved to impeach him, one of the resolutions on Resolution which they proceeded was "That hee was an

ment.

on his imcon adviser of the articles agt the five members, "and of the King's coming to the House of "Commons." Other notices and indications of the fuspicion in which both Digby and his father were justly held will hereafter appear also

in many private letters.

Long filences in the

House:

Tuefday 28th.

A confiderable pause ensued in the House after Cromwell had spoken, and in the course of his entry in this day's Journal, D'Ewes has thrice to remark that there followed "a long filence." The shadow of events of which no man could forecast the course or see the end, had by this time fallen upon the most voluble debaters; and only the few resolute men who held together and led the majority, proof alike against the temptations of the Court and the impatience of the People, kept their courage and resolves unshaken.

The next day passed more quietly. For

<sup>\*</sup> Verney's Notes, 157.

though a gross outrage was suddenly com- Wednesmitted by a party of foldiers upon a number day the of citizens passing Whitehall after having carried up a petition to the House of Commons,\* means had been taken by the popular leaders to prevent the recurrence of the crowds of the two previous days; and the only threatening appearances in the streets were from flowly increasing groups of dissolute armed men, filently gathering to the new Guard at Whitehall. Still the greatest fears and doubts prevailed, and while Cromwell Cromwell was addressing the House upon the necessity as to officering of having the army, especially in Ireland, of the officered by men in whom the people's army. representatives had confidence, a man named Rowley was brought to the bar to give evidence of certain matters by which a worthy member had been not a little alarmed. "De-" posed by Rowley," says D'Ewes, "that he "heard a French papift fay to another in "Cheapside on Monday last that he under-" flood there were hurly-burleys at West-Threats of "minster, and that if there should fall out any terference "hurly-burleys here, there should soon come to put "fifteen thousand French out of France upon English " our backs." † The House took noaction upon liberties

<sup>\*</sup> Ante, 68 and 78.

† D'Ewes MS. Journal: Wednesday, 29 December, 1641.
The Member for Cambridge complained loudly on this occasion that no place had yet been found among the Irish Military appointments for Captain Owen O'Connel.

Infolence of a French prieft.

Court fecrets

French.

this, any more than upon a report subsequently brought in by Sir Arthur Haselrig to the effect that a French priest had said he hoped ere long to see half-a-dozen parliament men hanged. It is nevertheless not undeserving of remark, that it was mainly from French perfons that every ascertained or distinct warning was obtained, before the event, of the outrage about to be committed. Madame de Motteville, and the people about the Queen, unknown to doubtedly knew it; the French ambassador, Montreuil, took credit to himself afterwards for having fecretly fent notice to the leaders of the House; it was from a French officer, on the day of the attempt, that the intelligence was obtained which certainly prevented bloodshed; it was, as we shall find stated by D'Ewes, from a "noble person who wishes well to this "nation" (in other words most probably Montreuil, whose credit, hitherto impugned, French in- the remark may re-establish), that the French formation. officer in question, Captain Langres, was enabled to do that fervice; and, the same authority will tell us, it was by a member of the King's new guard, a Frenchman named Fleury, that Captain Langres was informed, three weeks before the more special warning on which he acted, that great troubles were hatching.

From one of our own countrymen, indeed,

<sup>\*</sup> Harleian MSS. 162 f. 310 b.

an Englishman still famous for his imagination and wit, a warning reached Lord Kimbolton the day before the arrest: when Marston the dramatist, then laid by the heels in the Gate- Warning from a pri-House, had written to him of a danger to foner in himself and the Parliament which it concerned the Gatehim at once to know; which admitted of no delay, inafmuch as no one could tell how foon it might be too late; and which, not more for his own than the Parliament's fake, he was on no account to flight, as thinking it of mean consequence.\* But, of all the debtors' prisons,

\* I subjoin this letter, found by Mr. Cunningham among John other papers of the time at Kimbolton Castle, and first printed Marston to by Mr. Collier in his edition of Shakespeare (1858, i. 179). Lord It is undated, but that "this present Monday" was Monday Kimbolthe 3rd January 1641-2, is rendered in my judgment abso- ton: lutely certain by the circumstances. Whether, indeed, the writer was the poet Marston I was disposed to doubt until I was favored with a communication from Mr. Beedham of Kimbolton, to whom my best thanks are due. "To the "Right Honorable the Lord Kimbolton these. My Lord,—
"Though my owne miseries press me hard to sollicite your " Honours Compassion, yet that you may be assured how much "I am vnseduc't from my former temper, I shall now dis-"ferue my selfe (though my condicon be very calamitous) Has a dif-"to serue your Honour, and ye Parliamt, in a matter of no covery to " meane concernmt: The errand I fend this paper on to your make, im-"Lordip is to offer to your Honour a discovery of no meane portant to " consequence, weh I beseech your Honor not to slight before his Lord-"you know it; for when you do, I am fure you will not: ship and to web purpose I humbly beg that your Honor will send to Parlia-"fom fuch trufty and rationall meflinger to me, whose ment. "relacon to your Honour may be heere vnknowne, and yt "the same messinger may bring me som assurance yt I shall be concealed in ye business: My Lord, I hope you will not "delay, for I cannot tell how foone, it may be to late: For " ye future I beseech your Honor to esteeme me a most fayth-"full feruant to your Honor and ye Parliamt, from weh nothing " shall euer dissoblige Your most humble servant, John " MARSTON .- From the Gate-Howse this present Monday."

Prison for the Gate-House was that to which all men-Jesuits and recufants.

under remand or examination from the Counciltable, and eminently all Jesuit priests and recufants, were inceffantly committed; and that Marston had derived his information from fome one connected with the French fathers and confessors about the Queen, I entertain no doubt whatever. Other circumstances render it as little doubtful that the contemplated impeachment had been fecretly talked about for fome days, and that hints and cautions had been permitted to escape. It will shortly be seen what good grounds D'Ewes gives us for believing, that Pym himfelf knew at least enough of the intention to hazard the impeachment to put him warily on his guard as against a particular impending danger, at least four days before the attempt of which it has been the custom of all historians to write as having entered into the mind of the King only the moment before its execution.\*

The danger known to Pym.

## § IX. THE BISHOPS SENT TO THE TOWER.

Thursday 30th Dec.

pected,

1641.

30th Nov.

THURSDAY, the 30th December, was now

\* See also my Hist. and Biog. Essays, i. 135, note, for singular intimations, in the reasons presented to the Lords for the claim of the Houses to be guarded by the trainbands they had felected, that Pym knew the possible danger they had most cause to dread. He there speaks of the "surprizing of the Attack on persons of divers members of the Scottish parliam"; "fays that whisperings had gone abroad of "the like being intended ment ex"agt divers persons of both Houses here;" and broadly states in his conclusion that there was "just cause to apprehend some "wicked and mischievous practice still in hand to interrupt "the peaceable proceedings of this parliament."

come, and hardly had the Lower House assembled, when an urgent meffage from the Lords, Meffage from the touching matters of dangerous consequence, Lords, called them to conference. The Bishops in a body had fent to the Lords, through the King, that ill-advised Protestation which was the Protestafruitful fource of fo much subsequent mischief, tion of the Bishops. stating that such had been the tumults in Westminster for the last three days, and so obstructed and menaced had they been in the attempt to take their feats,\* that they did not

\* I have already quoted the account of the affault on the What the Archbishop given by the son of the Chief Justice of the mob did to Queen's Bench, a great friend of Mr. Hyde's, who saw Wil- Archliams's gown torn, and was witness to all that led to what bishop Clarendon describes as the irrepressible rage, and the ill-Williams advised protestation, of the too fiery Archbishop. Hyde himself also relates the incident (Hist. ii. 113), declaring in his exaggerated way that Williams's "robes" were "torn " from his back;" with the addition, which his friend Bramston carefully avoids making, and for which there is no proof, that the Bishop's " person was assaulted." I must add the account of the same disturbances from another eye-witness, Williams's quaint and admiring biographer, Hacket (Scrinia Reserata, ed. 1693, part ii. 177-179), who attended Williams at the time, and who, notwithstanding all his fanciful superfluity of phrase, rather confirms Bramston than Hyde: "There had been an unruly Evidence "and obstreperous concourse of the people in the Earl of of Bram-"Strafford's case; but a sedition broke forth about Xmas ston. "that was ten times more mad . . . . The King came to Hyde, and the House of Commons, to demand five of their members Hacket. "to justice, upon impeachment of treason. His Majesty, it " feems, was too forward to threaten fuch persons with the " fword of justice, when he wanted the buckler of safety . . . "I am fure the King suffered extremely for their sakes: all " fectaries and desperate varlets in city and suburbs flocked by "thousands to the Parliament . . . Let the five members be as honest as they would make them, I am certain

"these were traitors that begirt the King's House where his " person was, with hostility by land and water . . . every day making battery on all the Bishops as they came to " Parliament, forcing their coaches back, tearing their gar-

They mean again to sit or vote until effectually the House: secured by his Majesty from the repetition

Hacket's Scrinia Reserata described.

Ufeless

know-

ledge.

"ments, menacing if they came any more." (Given with all the intercalated quotations and illustrations of the original, the foregoing passage would have filled several pages). It is now many years fince I called attention to Hacket's work, in the hope that it might find some learned society not indisposed to give a modern and accessible form to so genuine a Curiosity of Literature. It may be doubted if the language contains fuch another product of a busy, garrulous, fertile, fanciful, not very useful, but prodigiously stored memory and brain. Every folio page of it (and it contains nearly 600 of the closest print) brissles with Greek and Latin quotations, applied with a rich and ready resource that is fairly astonishing. It is nothing to fay that Seneca could not be too heavy nor Plautus too light for him, for he has all the classics from Homer downwards at his fingers' ends; and it is really little short of appalling to observe to what a small practical use it is possible to turn fuch a vast amount of the kind of learning still prized in our schools and colleges as beyond every other in importance. Witty conceits and well-chosen poetry; admiring excerpts out of Chaucer, Spenser, and Ben Jonson; metaphors and figures out of all departments of knowledge; apophthegms of the study and the field; quips of the nursery; and the blackestlettered lore of the Fathers of the Church; are heaped up in extravagant profusion. Too learned Hacket! When he wrote this book (he finished it in 1657, though it was not published till 1693), it behoved him to keep wary watch over his public fayings in his Rectory of Cheam; and his Scrinia Research was the only escape he had for all that accumulated mass of useless knowledge. Cromwell was then our English Sovereign, during the "jetting" up and down, as Hacket phrases it, in all his glory, and nobody had courage enough to "frike him to the heart "and expire upon the murderer." Nay, there was one man who had what he terms the incredible effrontery to defend and champion the murderer, and, "petty school-boy scribbler" as he was, to engage in controversy with—"O what a miracle " of judgment and learning !- Salmasius!" Yes, even with the "matchless Salmasius, with the prince of the learned men " of his age," did "fo base an adversary-O horrid!"-dare to measure himself, as that "blackmouth'd Zoilus" Milton! "Get thee behind me, Milton," exclaims Hacket, foaming over at the very mention of the name. He is "that ferpent

"Milton:" he is "a Shimei," "a dead dog," "a canker-"worm;" his fpirit is "venomous" and his breath that of a "viper." This, to be fure, was while Europe rang from fide to fide with the Letter to Salmasius, and ten years before

Written Protectorate.

Attack on Milton:

of fuch affronts, indignities, and dangers: and Protestagainst wherefore did they then and therein protest proceedagainst all laws, orders, votes, resolutions, and their determinations, as in themselves null and of absence. no effect, "which in their absence, since the "27th of this instant month of December 1641, "have already passed; as likewise against all "fuch as shall hereafter pass during the time "of their forced and violent absence." The defign of this daring act, and the object of Archbishop Williams, its real author, have been remarked upon by the present writer in a

the publication of Paradise Lost, which Hacket (who died Bishop of Lichfield and Coventry) survived three years; but it feems probable, by the allusion to petty schoolboy scribbling, A schoolthat he at least knew of the Minor and Juvenile Poems, boy though I think it more than probable, if he had read them, fcribbler! that even the controverfy with Salmasius would hardly have thrown him into fuch transports of unmitigated abuse. For Hacket really appears to have had some judgment in poetry. He knew nothing about Shakespeare, but neither did anybody Shakeelfe, though the four greatest works of human genius, Hamlet, speare Lear, Macbeth, and Othello, had all been written within not the century, and Hacket had himself arrived at man's estate known. before the Tempest was played, and the wand of the magician broken. Still, he carefully avoids the admiration, then so common, of the second rate fantastical school; and he declares Ben Jonson, whom he calls "our laureat poet," and "our Praise of "master poet," to be "the best of our poets of this century." Jonson, Chaucer with him is "noble Chaucer;" and little fhort of Chaucer, the rapturous are his allusions to "our divine poet Mr. and Spen"Spenser," to "our arch poet Spenser," to "our most fer.
"laureat poet Spenser," to "Mr. Spenser's divine wit," and to "Mr. Spenser's moral poem," on which he largely draws for illustrations and comparisons. One rather grieves to think that even if Mr. B. Simmons should happen to have sent to the good old Bishop in 1667 the new epic poem he had published, he is less likely to have read beyond the author's name on the title page than to have thereupon instantly thrust it aside with another "Get thee behind me, Milton!"

Effect of Protest. former work.\* Its immediate effect was thoroughly to excite both Houses into at once disabling its abettors from such power of further mischief as, if the Protest had been admitted, or even passed in mere silence and contempt, they might thereafter have exerted

ftage, and what was known to be the most Anoppor-cherished hope of the King, that he might tunity defired by be able one day to revoke, on the ground that the King. Parliament had not been free, all the popular

concessions of the past momentous year, was open to him at any time as not distant or im-

fatally. Carry fuch a protest but into its next

possible.

Whatever the view taken of the nature or extent of the tumults, no contemporary witness has ventured to state that they were such as to provoke an act like this. The gatherings "Mobs" in the Hall, and at the entrance to the House for two days only. of Lords, were limited to the Monday and Tuesday, the 27th and 28th; and while the tumults of those days were at their height, we have evidence of what was suffered by the chief complainant himself, the author of the Protestation, from the only person who says expressly that what he sets down he saw.

The Archbishop Williams had his gown torn as he

The Archbishop Williams had his gown torn as he amount of provoca- passed into the House. But beyond that tion given insult, witnessed by Mr. Bramston, there is no

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. and Biog. Esfays, i. 262, 268: "The Civil Wars and Cromwell,"

evidence of any kind on record of a special hurt or injury received by any of them. The what the utmost that is alleged by the only member of Bishop of Norwich the Episcopal party who has himself described saw and the occurrences, is that the rabble came by heard. thousands to the House, filled the outer rooms, and abused them as they passed in, crying, No Bishops! no Bishops! \* On the other hand there feems to me sufficient testimony that pains had been taken, by members of their own House, to put the Bishops generally into that fort of needless fright which Fright might induce them readily to fall in with fuch given in the House a Protestation. One of the most famous among itself. them, the pious and learned Hall, Bishop of Norwich and author of the Satires, has informed us † that as they were all fitting together in the afternoon of the 28th, it grew to be torchlight, and Lord Hertford, who had lately received his marquifate and other special favors from the King, went up to the form on which they fat, told them they were in great danger, and advised them to take some course for their own safety. "What is it?" they cried. "What should we do?" Where-Some Lords adupon the Marquis (with difficulty holding his vifing: countenance, it may be imagined, while he did so) counselled them to continue in the Parliament House all that night. "Because

<sup>\*</sup> Hall's Works, i. xliv.

<sup>+</sup> In his Hard Measure: Works, i. xlv. ed. Oxford, 1837.

Lord Hertford alarms the Bishops:

" (faith he) these people vow they will watch " you at your going out, and will fearch " every coach for you with torches, fo as you " cannot escape." At this some of them rose, and earnestly desired of their Lordships that for the present ("for all the danger," interposes the Bishop, "was at the rising of the "House") some care might be taken for their fafety. Then proceeds Bishop Hall very innocently: "The motion was received " by some Lords with a smile: and some other "Lords, as the Earl of Manchester, undertook "the protection of the Archbishop of York "and his company (whose shelter I went " under) to their lodgings." At the same time the good Bishop frankly adds that those who cared to stay long enough, got fafely home without help of any kind.

What paffed

at Wil-

liams's lodgings.

Other

Lords

fmiling.

In Williams's lodgings, doubtless, the Protestation was that night mooted; and thither next day, at the invitation of Williams,\* repaired no less than ten other right reverend Lords. "Where," says Clarendon, "imme-"diately having pen and ink ready," the paper was drawn up, signed by all present, and addressed to the King for presentation to the Lords; and away with it went Williams next morning to Whitehall. There, by an accident which Clarendon calls "unfortunate," not only the King, but his Lord Keeper, at the very

"Unfortunate" accident.

<sup>\*</sup> Clarendon, Hift. ii. 113; Bishop Hall, Works, i. xlvi.

moment "happened" to be; and Charles no Charles fooner received the Protest, than, "casting his and his Lord"eye perfunctorily upon it," he gave it to Keeper at Littleton, and, one hour later, the assembled White-hall.

Lords were with much amazement listening to it.\* In this there may have been nothing but an "accident," as Clarendon alleges; al-Accident though, from the first note of alarm given by Lord Hertford, it looks, all of it, extremely like a settled and planned design.

But the hands that aimed were less strong than those that received the blow, and the recoil was instant and fatal. In "half-an-"hour" † from the time when the Commons were informed of the outrage proposed to be committed on the liberties of Parliament, the impeachment was fent up against its authors. A sur-Bishop Hall says that though they had signed prise for the Protest, they intended still to have had Bishops. some further consultation about it; when, before they had time even to suppose that it could have passed out of Williams's hands, they were all kneeling as accused traitors at the Bar of the Lords. Cromwell had been active What in this prompt retribution; and long years Cromwell afterwards, when addressing the last Parliament of the of his Protectorate, he exulted in the part he tion.

<sup>\*</sup> Hist. ii. 114. Hall's account slightly differs in stating that though they all heard the Protest read at Williams's lodgings, it was afterwards sent for their signatures to their own several places of abode.—Works, i. xlvi.

† Hist. ii. 118.

The Bishops charac-Cromwell.

had fo taken against men who would needs have it that no laws made in their absence should be good, and so, without injury to others, cut themselves off! Men, pursued Cromwell, in his rough grand way, that were terized by truly of an Episcopal spirit; men indeed that knew not God; that knew not how to account upon the works of God, how to measure them out; but would trouble nations for an interest that was but mixed at the best, iron and clay like the feet of Nebuchadnezzar's image!\*

General feeling at the time.

Nor in this did Protector Oliver go beyond what undoubtedly had been the feeling at the time. So generally adverse did opinion run against the ill-advised act, that even Clarendon cannot find it in his heart to spare any expresfion of contempt for the filliness and folly of fo many Bishops, during a storm which had carried

Case against the Bishops.

Themfelves to thank for their unpopularity.

Their violence and paffion, 17th June 1641.

\* This is not the place for any detailed statement of the case against the Bishops, which was a very strong one; or of the causes, which were many and great, that had led to their extraordinary unpopularity at this time. Suffice it to fay that they had themselves mainly to thank for it, and that the tumults of which they now complained were but what their own friends, arguing from the violence and passion displayed by them, had expected and predicted in the preceding fummer. On the 17th June 1641, Sidney Bere had thus written to Pennington (MS. St. P. O.): "Fears & suspitions amongst " us are foe great that I feare nothing leffe than that we shall " yett fall into a confusion, weh God forbid. The business of "the Bishopps wilbe of dangerous consequence, they being "violent and passionate in their owne defence, & having in-" gaged (as it were) the Lords by their late votes in their " favor, to the maintenance of their cause, whereas the Com-" mons seeme as resolute to passe the bill for their utter extir-" pation, and foe transmitt it to the Lords according to ye A true "custome, & then it may justly be feared the Citty will prediction. "prove as turbulent as they were on Strafford's cause."

away card and compass, and sent the best pilot to his prayers, severing from the good ship and trusting themselves to such a cockboat as Williams! But, quite as strongly as his dislike of the mif- Claren-don's chievous Protestation, the danger and scandal opinion of which he cannot pretend to conceal, his objection to the punishment that so promptly followed it is put prominently forward; and he affects to think that posterity will hold it for incredible that Parliament should so have outraged public decency, as to affix to such an offence as a simple protest a penalty so outrageously disproportioned as that of treason. as to But as usual this is a gross misrepresentation Impeachment. of the facts, as well as of the fentiments of the time, even as they are yet discoverable among those least friendly to the two Houses; and the entire untrustworthiness of the author of such statements is never fully manifest, until we are able to place them fide by fide with con-Contemtemporary notices of the fame occurrences, fet porary down with no other object than upon the instant to reflect and convey, without concealment of the passions or bias of each writer, the living opinions and emotions of the hour.

Captain Slingsby does not affect to be any Slingsby to Pengreat politician, but even as he hastily wrote to nington, Pennington, in the afternoon of the very day 30th Dec. of this memorable incident, he makes its gravity and danger very conspicuous through his few confused sentences describing it. "This

"day," he writes, "the Bishopps have made a

" Protestation against the proceedings of this " Parliament, declaring it no free parliament.

opinion of tation:

Even Bishops'

friends adverse

to it.

Under Secretary

Bere to Penning-

Dec.

ton, 30th

"This makes a great stirre here. The favourers the Protes- " of them thinke it don to soone. The other " fide do feeme now to rejoyce that it is don,

"having thereby excluded themselves from He means that the act was at once feen to exclude its authors from ever refuming their feats in Parliament, which, in-

deed, was all the Commons had in view in bringing against them a charge of treason; and that even those friends of the King who were favourable to fo bold an affault on the very

existence of the Parliament, felt that it had been done prematurely. In the fame spirit, on the fame day, writes Under Secretary Bere:

"This day there hath been great debatinge "in ye houses, and is still, but I cannot stay

" foe long to heare the iffue, leaste I loose the

" comodity of this ordinary. Only thus much "is even now brought for newes-that the

"Bishopps having protested against all the

" Acts made this Parliament against them,

of the Bishops.

Committal 66 twelve of them are now committed, and "two others fent for whereof York is one.

"But the particulars hereof I will not affeure,

" being but even now brought unto me; but

" fomething there is we'll by my next you shall

\* MS. State Paper Office. Slingsby to Pennington, 30th Dec.

" have more particularly: onlie thus much to "Our de-" lett you see into what a deplorable condition plorable condi-"we are falling. I pray God bleffe his Matie tion." "in his royall person and councills, that wee "may once fee a peaceable and quiett time "againe. I wish you, Sr, a happy new yeare, "and I pray God the great tempests have left Prays that "you in health and faftie." \* To which may the great tempests be added the still stronger testimony of a third have left the Adcorrespondent, equally anxious to keep the miral safe. Admiral, amid those tempests at sea, quickly and furely informed of the worse storm raging on the land. "The last plott of the Bishopps," Mr. Thomas writes Mr. Thomas Smith to "his very Smith to "lovinge friend," on the afternoon of the day Penningwhen the Protest was made, "hath beene their 30th Dec. "indeavour to make this Parliamt no parlia-"ment, and so to overthrowe all actes past, and " to cause a dissolution of it for the present: weh Endeahath been fo strongly followed by ye Popish Bishops to " party, that it was faine to be putt to the undo what "vote, and the protesting lords carryed itt to Parlia-"bee a free and perfect Parliam as ever any done, and "was before. This did foe gawle the Bishopps compel a dissolu-"that they made their Protestacon agt the tion.

" have had fo long together."

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office. Sidney Bere to Admiral Great Pennington, 30th Dec. 1641. An illustration occurs in the storms fame letter of the violence of the ftorms then raging on the raging on coaft. "The Post of Sandwich tells me that ye last weeke the coast." We heare," writes Slingsby, in a letter of an earlier date, of the disaster lately hapened to the Roebucke: and have

<sup>&</sup>quot; been very sensible of the extreame tempestuous weather you

" freedom of ye vote and ye Parliamt, and in "their Protestacon have inserted such speeches

Williams compared to Achitophel.

"as have brought ym wthin ye compasse of " treason, and thus the Counsell of Acittaphill

" is turn'd into foolishnesse. The Earl of "Bristoll and his sonne have been cheife

Complicity of Lords Digby.

of the

Protest.

" concurrents with them, in this and other Bristol and " evill councells, for which they have been

"impeacht and branded in ye House of

" Comons." \*

The writer of that letter, as already stated, was high in the employment and confidence of Lord Northumberland, and his account, hafty and confused as it is, expresses more accurately Real drift than any other not only the real drift of the Protest to effect for the King an "overthrow " of all acts past," and render unavoidable a diffolution, but the prompt proceeding by which, under the lead of the Earl, a majority in the House of Lords at once met and baffled the intrigue of Archbishop Williams. once, indeed, as foon as the first division had been taken, the Lords acted quite as eagerly as the Commons, and quite as eagerly and promptly as the King in fending up the Protestation. Within half an hour after it was the Lords, presented, it was voted a breach of the fun-

Prompt action of

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office. Mr. Thomas Smith, from York House (the Admiralty), to "His very loving Friend Sir John "Pennington, knt. Admiral of His Maties Fleete at Sea on "Board His Maties Ship the Lyon at the Downes." Dec. 1641.

damental privileges and being of Parliaments; A conupon the instant, after conference between ference. the Houses,\* Glyn was sent up from the Commons to impeach the Bishops for an endeavour to subvert the very existence of Parliaments, and therein the fundamental laws of the realm; and by eight o'clock that winter 30th Dec. night, ten out of the twelve were committed p.m. ten to the Tower,† and the other two, by reason Bishops fent to the of their great age ("and indeed of the worthy Tower. " parts of one of them, the learned Bishop of

† "In all the extremity of frost," says Bishop Hall (Works, i. xlv.), "at eight o'clock in the dark evening, we were voted to "the Tower." And listen to the good indignant Hacket. (Scrinia Reserata, ii. 179): "Hear and admire, ye Ages to come, what Hacket's "became of this Protestation, drawn up by as many Bishops as Lament have often made a whole provincial council. They were all for the called by the temporal Lords to the bar, and from the bar Bishops. " fent away to the Tower. Nonne fuit fatius triftes formidinis "iras, Atque superba pati fastidia? A rude world when it " was fafer to do a wrong than to complain of it. The people " commit the trespass, and the sufferers are punish'd for their fault. 'Αν μάγειρος ἀμαρτάνοι, αὐλήτης παρ' ἡμῶν τύπτεται. "Athen. lib. 9. A proverb agreeing to the drunken feafts of the Greeks: If the cook dress the meat ill, the minstrils are beaten. That day it broke forth, that the largest part " of the Lords were fermentated with an anti-epifcopal fourness. If they had loved that order, they would never No love "have doomed them to a prison, and late at night, in bitter of Bishops "frost and snow, upon no other charge, but that they among "presented their mind in a most humble paper to go abroad the Lords." "in fafety. Ubi amor condimentum inerit quidvis placiturum

" spero, Plaut. in Casin. Love hath a most gentle hand, "when it comes to touch where it loves. Here was no fign " of any filial respect to their spiritual fathers. Nothing was "offer'd to the peers, but the substance was reason, the style lowly, the practice ancient; yet upon their pleasure, without debate of the cause, the Bishops are pack'd away the same " night to keep their Christmas in durance and forrow: And "when this was blown abroad, O how the Trunck-men of

"the Uproar did fleer, and make merry with it!"

\* See Commons Journals, ii. 362, 363.

"Durham,") to the custody of the Black Rod.\*

And so that bitter night of frost and snow,

Laud and Williams fame walls at last.

the 30th December 1641, faw the two Archbishops, York and Canterbury, whose unwithin the feemly personal conflicts had been the scandal of the town for years, lodged at last together within the same prison walls. Heretofore it had seemed impossible but that the downfal of the one must involve the well-doing of the other. During Laud's long ascendancy, and under his incessant persecution, Williams had been an inmate successively of the Gatehouse, the Fleet, and the Tower; nor could the doors

The door fhut on and perfecutor.

perfecuted of the grim state fortress be said to have fairly opened for him until they had closed upon Laud himself. But now, after brief exulting triumph over his ancient adversary, those gates are open for him again; and into them re-enter the Bishop of Lincoln, elevated meanwhile into Archbishop of York, leading with him nine other Right Reverend prisoners. Who could wonder that the wits made merry at it? They devised a picture, fays Dr. Peter

Caricature of Williams as a Decoy Duck:

Heylin, in which my Lord of York was re-

fembled to the Decoy Duck (alluding to the

<sup>\*</sup> And see Harleian MSS. 163, ff. 410 a-414b. Ata subsequent part of the proceedings in the Impeachment, according to D'Ewes, "Mr. H. Bellasis moved that the Bishops of "Lichfield and Durham were at the door. Debate if they " should come within the bar, and sit on chairs or stools by "reason of their great age: but resolved that they come in " fingly and speak at the bar,"

Decoys in Lincolnshire where he had been A witty bishop), restored to liberty on design that he conceit: might bring more company with him at his coming back: the device representing the conceit, and that not unhappily. "Certain I am," adds the ingenious biographer of the rival prelate, "that our Archbishop, in the midst of those forrows, seemed much pleased with he enjoyment the fancy, whether out of his great love to thereof: wit, or some other self-satisfaction which he found therein, is beyond my knowledge."\*

Poor old Laud! One need not grudge him that ray of mirth which was probably the last Perhaps his last that glimmered feebly upon him between gleam of mirth.

It may well be supposed that D'Ewes, ardent puritan as he was, underwent no great anguish

<sup>\*</sup> Nor is this the only caricature of Williams which Heylin The two with infinite unction describes. Relating (Life of Laud, p. Arch-461) the committal of the Bishops to the Tower, he pro-bishops ceeds: "Our Archbishop had now more neighbours than he exchange "desired, but not more company than before, it being civilities prudently ordered amongst themselves, that none of them in the should bestow any visits on him, for fear of giving some Tower. Advantage to their common enemy; as if they had been hatching some conspiracy against the publick. But they refrained not on either side from sending messages of love and consolation unto one another; those mutual civilities being almost every day performed betwixt the two Arch-bishops also, though very much differing both in their counsels and affections in the times foregoing. The Arch-bishops also, though very much declined in favour, that Carica-she flood in as bad termes with the common people as the ture of the stood in as bad termes with the common people as the ture of their did; and his picture was cut in brass, attired in his Wil-episcopal robes, with his square cap upon his head, and liams as bandileers about his neck, shouldering a musket upon one of Church this shoulders in one hand, and a rest in the other."

D'Ewes fees the Bishops' Bench turned into lumber.

Is glad they no

"Lord-

fhips:"

of mind at the stroke which had fallen on the Bishops. Looking in at the Upper House shortly after to hear a sentence pronounced, he faw without any kind of emotion that the episcopal bench had been turned into lumber. "There was but a thin House of Lords, and " on the right fide thereof a great emptiness; "the two forms on which the Bishops used to " fit being thrust up close against the wall."\* On a fubfequent occasion, however, he gives a reason which sounds rather oddly to us now for regarding with equanimity the continued incarceration of the prelates. "The "Speaker," he fays (in his Journal of the 21st March, 1641-2), "delivered in a petition " from the 12 Bishops. I said I was glad " to fee they had omitted their style of Lord longer call themselves "Bishop; for I heard from some that saw " fome of them in the Tower but last Saturday " calling to one another by the title of Lord-"fhip, whereas by the fundamental laws and

" As for enlarging them, I will fay nothing, and would keep them are.

because I think they follow their function " of preaching better than they did before where they " they came in, and are likewise lodged in a " good air: but for Durham and Lichfield, "I defire they may be enlarged for their "humble fubmission. They are lodged in a

" ancient constitution of the kingdom, their "fyle is, 'Your Paternity' or 'Fatherhood."

<sup>\*</sup> Journal; Harl. MSS. 163, f. 459a.

"close air, namely, in the house of Mr. "Close "Maxwell, usher of the black rod, near air" at Charing "Charing Cross." D'Ewes can hardly have Cross. meant that the air was close at Charing Cross, but rather, we may presume, that Mr. Maxwell's house afforded, for the close keeping of a prisoner of state, less roomy and airy as well as much more costly accommodation, than might be found in the buildings of the Tower.†

## & X. SHADOWS OF THE COMING EVENT.

OTHER incidents, more exciting even than the impeachment of the whole episcopal bench, House of Commons, were meanwhile helping to make more memor- Dec. 30th, able this last day but one of a most eventful 1641. year, and D'Ewes enables us for the first time to retrace them. "The Conference," he fays, " being ended, we returned to the House, most Members "men expressing a great deal of alacrity of delighted by the " spirit for this indiscreet and unadvised act of folly of

<sup>\*</sup> Harleian MSS. 163, f. 433 a.
† Bishop Hall confirms this view, telling us how much subsequent reason he had to congratulate himself that the courtefy of the Black Rod, which at first he had much desired, "Had not been extended to himself. "Only two of our number Disadvan"had the favour of the Black Rod, by reason of their age; tages of
"which, though desired by a noble Lord on my behalf" (Hall
was in his 68th year) "would not be yielded. Wherein
"I acknowledge and bless the gracious providence of my
"God: for had I been gratified, I had been undone both in
"the beauty and the second to the gracious of the gra

<sup>&</sup>quot;body and purse; the rooms being strait, and the expenses beyond the reach of my estate." Works, i. xlvi.

"the Bishops." \* It was such alacrity of

the Bishops.

Members alarmed

by a fug-

Pym's.

spirit as lighted up the gloomy features of St. John when he felt that all must be worse before it could be better. But it was quickly dispelled in the present case by the unusual gravity and seriousness with which Pym, after report made of the Conference, moved unexgestion of pectedly that the door of the House might be shut, and that none might go out. Others, carrying further the fears of their grave leader, would have had it ordered also that the outward room might be cleared, and that none might go into the Committee Chamber. But

Objection made by D'Ewes.

" our going into the Committee Chamber "there was no need, feeing we intended to " clear the outward room, where there would " be none left but the officers and ministers of "the House, whom I conceived we might " trust to." D'Ewes's suggestion was admitted to be reasonable, and was adopted; but the Speaker made a point at the same time of defiring that nobody who went into the faid Committee Chamber should speak to anybody A strange out at the window, or throw out unto them expected; any paper writing. "I expected," D'Ewes adds, "fome strange motion upon this fecret

at this Sir Simonds arose. "Thinking it," he

fays, "too great a restraint, upon any reason

"whatever, I moved that I did very well allow "that the door should be shut, but to restrain

motion

"fecluding and close restraining of ourselves; which follows and it followed accordingly."\*

What Pym proceeded to fay had fomething in it beyond that mere general sense of danger, which, from his knowledge of the King's character, he must have known to be incident to his own refusal of the offer that had been so recently made to him. His remarks, as briefly reported by D'Ewes, can hardly fail to be regarded as evidence of fome knowledge, on his part, of the attempt so soon to be made. He is Pym's mistaken as to time, the danger being less speech. immediate; he understates it as to persons, the peril stretching to the House generally through individuals first to be affailed; but in desiring to obtain from the majority a prompt and decisive action upon their claim to a sufficient Guard or Protection to be chosen by themselves, which was still in dispute with the King, he The remehad, while necessarily perhaps leaving un-dy for danger. revealed the entire extent of the danger known to him, with great fagacity at once addressed himself to the remedy that alone could fully meet the danger, whatever it might be. His object was to induce the House to invite a Guard of Citizens to their protection without Necessity another day's delay; but he spoke evidently mediate under some restraint, and the reception given Guard. to what he faid would feem to indicate that he had taken but few into his confidence as to

\* Harl. MSS. 162, f. 295 a.

The whole truth not told.

the particulars which rendered him fo urgent. Altogether, indeed, it is evident enough that, through the interval which had yet to pass before the King's attempt was made, Pym was driven to concealments and half-confidences which circumstances rendered unavoidable; and there is little reason to doubt that from those who had secretly opened with him the negotiations for that acceptance of office which Report of would have been his ruin, he had derived, under the same seal of secrecy, knowledge

Pym's Speech by D'Ewes.

which proved directly instrumental to his safety and that of his friends. The precise words of D'Ewes are these:

"Mr. Pym moved that there being a defign

A defign to be executed:

A plot

for deftroying

mons.

the House of Com-

"to be executed this day upon the House of "Commons, we might fend instantly to the city

" of London. That there was a plot for the " destroying of the House of Commons this "day. That we should therefore desire them " to come down with the Train Bands for our "affiftance." At which D'Ewes confesses he was very much troubled, because he feared that the remedy proposed would be as dangerous

" posed it; and some wished that we might " adjourn ourselves to Guildhall." D'Ewes Adjournspoke on that question, remarking, in oppo-

proposed sition to Pym, that if all the grounds of

fuspicion were that some officers of the late

as the pretended defign. "Some few," he adds, " feconded Mr. Pym's motion, but more op-

ment to Guildhall army had been caroufing at Whitehall the previous day, or that the King had drawn together a Guard, he did not think these sufficient to D'Ewes justify departure to the city. He added a sug-opposes departure gestion oddly characteristic of himself, that if to City. Mr. Pym had more certain grounds for the causes of fear alleged, he knew of no such present preventive than that "we should "adjourn ourselves till three of the clock, "that so we may not be taken altogether." \* "Let us As for the proceeding into the city, he quoted not be taken a faying of the Recorder, that the citizens are together." not all the fons of one mother, nor of one mind; and it was not well that the House should place absolute faith even in London citizens. The words which closed his speech are all of it that he has further left on record. He wished to learn what the design was to The which Mr. Pym had alluded, and whether it defign near or were near or distant.

Pym made no reply to this appeal, and the refult of the day's debate is not known. But it is probable, from what occurred next day, that the middle course was adopted of a renewed appeal to the King.

On Friday the 31st December, Denzil Hollis Friday, delivered verbally to Charles the First, in the 31st Dec. name of the Commons of England, their earnest defire for a Guard out of the City Demand under command of the Earl of Essex. The for Guard

<sup>\*</sup> Harleian MSS. 162, f 295 b.

under Lord Effex:

King, whose object now was to gain time however brief, declined to receive this verbal message, and required it in writing. It was immediately drawn up and prefented the fame day; and we learn that the Commons, receiving No reply. no immediate answer, committed it to three of their members, Pye, Glynn, and Wheeler, justices of peace for Westminster, to set, in convenient places for the safeguard of the House, good watches fufficiently armed. They further ordered that Halberts should be provided, and brought into the House, for their own better fecurity; which was done accordingly to the number of twenty, "and the faid Halberts " flood in the House for a considerable time " afterwards." Reluctantly was confent then given\* to adjournment over even the old recognised holiday of New Year's Day, and not without the naming of a Committee to receive

while provided.

Halberts mean-

Committee to receive reply.

vouchfafed.

That answer, however, the King had resolved to accompany by another document that should be the most characteristic comment it was capable of receiving, and both were withheld until the morning of the following Monday. For the intervening Saturday he had other engagements.† On that day, the

the King's answer if it should meanwhile be

Saturday ist Jan. 1641-2.

> \* After a remarkable speech by Pym at conference with . the Lords: see Parl. Hift. Ed. 1762, x. 151-5.

<sup>+</sup> The Council Register supplies important dates. Dates of the 1st January 1641-2, the subjoined entry appears.

first of the ill-omened year when his standard A Counwas finally unfurled against the most earnest whiteand conscientious of his subjects, he sat with hall. his ministers in Whitehall; and, the great Leader of the Long Parliament having refused his proffered bribe, those two members of the Long Parliament who at its opening had with the greatest vehemence denounced the crimes Falkland of his misgovernment took places at the and Cul-Board. Lord Falkland was sworn of his sworn into Majesty's most honorable Privy Council, and their offices. feven days later received the feals of a Secretary of State; and Sir John Culpeper having been also duly sworn, order was given for preparation of his patent as Chancellor of the Exchequer. It was made out "for life:" the King vainly hoping by fuch unconstitutional expedients to bar the power of the Commons Confeto effect a removal of his Councillors. Whether quences or not Culpeper and Falkland had cognizance responsiof the first official act that was to follow their bilities

<sup>&</sup>quot;This day Lucius Viscount Falkland was sworne of his new Mats Most Honble Privy Counsell, by his Mats Command appointfitting in Counsell, tooke his place and signed with the ments.
other Lords."

A similar entry of the same date has relation to Culpeper, Culpeper and order is given for his admission "into the place of his Chancel-" Mats Under Treasurer and Chancellor of his Excheq": "lor of Exbut the patent securing him the office for life (he held it for chequer. little more than a year, it being then given to Hyde) is not dated until the 6th of January. Two days later we have the following entry:

<sup>&</sup>quot;This day, his Matie prefent in Counsell, and by his Royall Falkland Command, the Lord Visct Falkland was sworne one of his Secretary Mate Principall Secretaries of State."

incident to Office at fuch a time.

acceptance of office, it cannot be doubted that they accepted it at too critical a time, and amid public excitements and dissensions of too high and dangerous a nature, not to imply also a deliberate and fettled acceptance of all the confequences it might carry with it.

## & XI. THE IMPEACHMENT BEFORE THE LORDS.

THE day had at length arrived when the

Monday 3rd Jan. 1641-2.

King's message to refuling Guard.

danger so long believed to be impending was to take definite shape. Early in the morning of Monday the 3rd of January, Commons while the Lower House were moodily listening to the King's message refusing them the military Guard they had asked for under Effex's command, but promising, with what must have sounded as contemptuous irony, to be himself their protector, Mr. Attorney-General Herbert, who was no longer a member of the Commons but had taken his feat with the Lords under his writ of summons Attorney- Assistant, was delivering at the clerk's table of the Upper House the substance of another Royal Message, accusing of high treason five the Lords, members of the Commons and one of the Lords. Every circumstance of mere form was observed in the accusation; and Mr. Attorney had not left his feat on the Judges' woolfack until Lord-Keeper Littleton, as the mouthpiece of the King, had duly referred to

impeachment to

General

delivers

Introduced by Lord-Keeper Littleton. the public business which his officer was there to discharge. It is not unimportant to observe this, feeing that both these dignitaries of State fought afterwards to put off from themselves upon the Sovereign the responsibility which the act had made their own.

The articles of treason were seven in The Seven number, and were read from a paper which Articles of Treason. Sir Edward Herbert afterwards, in defending himself, said that he had received directly from the King. Whether the formal and strictly legal wording and expression of the articles had been received also directly from the King, he omitted to fay. The first article charged the accused generally with the attempt to sub-General charge. vert the Government and fundamental laws, and to place in subjects an arbitrary and tyrannical power. The fecond, aimed against their authorship of the Remonstrance, attributed to Authorship of them the traitorous endeavour, by many foul Remonaspersions upon his Majesty and his Govern-strance. ment, to alienate the affections of the people, and to make his Majesty odious to them. The third charged them with having endeavoured to draw the King's late army to Tamperfide with them in their traitorous designs. the army. The fourth, directed against alleged communi- ivcations with the Scottish Rebels, imputed to tions them the traitorous invitation and encourage- to the ment to a foreign power to invade his Majesty's kingdom of England. The fifth, adopting

v. Punishment of Protesting Minority.

Raifing tumults.

vii. Levying war.

the language of the Minority of the Commons when the demand to record a protest against the passing of the Remonstrance was refused, accused them of having traitorously endeavoured to fubvert the rights and very being of parliaments. The fixth accused them of having actually raifed and countenanced tumults against his Majesty. And by the seventh, having reference to the armed Guard which they had perfifted in voting for protection of the House, they were faid to have traitorously conspired to levy, and actually to have levied, war against the King. A manuscript copy of the charge, endorsed in the handwriting of Secretary Nicholas as "articles of treason "against Mr. Pym and the rest," exists in the State Paper Office, and is printed below.\*

\* "Articles of High Treason and other high misdemeanors MS. Articles of "agt the Lord Kemolton, Mr. John Pym, Mr. John Hampden, "Mr. Denzil Hollis, Sir Arth Hastericke, and Mr. Willm Treason in " Strode. State

Paper Office. " 1. That they have traytorously endeaved to subvert the fundamentall Lawes and Govnt of the Kingdome of England, to deprive ye king of his royale power, & to place in subjects an arbitrary & tyrannicall power over the lives, libertyes, & estates of his Maj\*\* lovinge people.

"2. That they have traytorously endeavd by many fowle asperfions upon his Matle & his Governt, to alienate the affections of his people, & to make his Matie odious unto

"3. That they have endeaved to drawe his Mate late armye to disobedience to his Maties comands, & to syde with them in their traytorous designes.

"4. That they have traytorously invited and incouraged a forreigne power to invade his Matles kingdome of England.

" 5. That they have traytorously endeaved to subvert the rights & very being of Parlam<sup>ts</sup>.

While the articles were publicly read, the Agitation trouble and agitation were extreme. Their among the Lords. Lordships, to use the expression of Clarendon, were "appalled." He is hardly justified, however, when he fomewhat spitefully adds that they took time till the next day to confider of it, that they might fee how their Masters the Imme-Commons would behave themselves. Waiving diate action altogether the King's requirement through his taken. Attorney-General for immediate possession of the persons of the accused, and for a committee to take evidence on the charges, the Lords at once raised the question of the regularity of the accusation itself, and referred it to a certain King's number of their members to produce prece-demand dents and records. They fent an immediate message to the other House and named members for a Conference. On the previous day, as on a day preceding, they had declined the urgent instance of the Commons to join with them in demanding a Guard under an officer of their own felection; but now they intimated Agreement with their readiness to join in that demand.\*

Commons.

<sup>&</sup>quot; 6. That for the compleating of their traytorous defigns, they have endeaved as farr as in them lay by force & terror to compell the Parlamt to joyne with them in theire traytorous Designs, and to that end have actually rayled &

countenanced tumults agt ye King and Parlamt.

"7. That they have traytoroufly conspired to levie & actually have levyed warr agt the King."

\* The petition of both Houses was transmitted on the evening of the 3rd, but the reply, suspended by the exciting events which immediately followed, was not handed in until after the King had left London never to return, and the Houses had provided their own Guards. The original MS.

Lord Kimbolton repels the charge.

The feeling displayed was altogether such, indeed, that though the peer included in the articles of impeachment, Lord Kimbolton, was not only present, but upon the instant arose, repelled the charge, and challenged public enquiry into it, no one was fo hardy as to press for his commitment. The person fitting next to Kimbolton while the Attorney-General read the articles, was Lord Digby, who alone, according to Clarendon, knew of the King's intention, and had promised to move the commitment (after the precedent in the case of Strafford) as soon as the accusation

Lord Digby filent:

Charles's answer to petition for Guard.

of this reply still exists in the State Paper Office, dated the 3rd, and wholly in the handwriting of the King. It shows what his determination had been to fight out the matter to the last, and the secret reliance he still placed, notwithstanding the Citizen affemblages and tumults at Westminster, on the power of the Lord Mayor within the City to promote and support his service. It is endorsed "Answer for a Guard," and runs thus:

Not Lord Effex, but Lord Lindsay:

"We having considered the Petition of bothe houses of " Parlament concerning a Guard, doe give this answer; that "we will (to fecure there feares) comand the L. Mayor of " London to apoint 200 men out of the Trained Bands of the "Citie (fuch as he will be answerable for to us) to wait on "the Houses of Par: that is to say, a Hundred on each "House, & to bee comanded by the E: of Lindsay: it being " most proper to him, as being L: Great Chamberlaine; who "by his place hath a particular charg: of ye Houses of Parliamt, and of whose integritie, courage, & sufficiencie, " none can dout."

The most Royal partizans.

The amount of fincerity involved in this propofal may be devoted of measured by the fact, that the Hereditary Great Chamberlain, being its author's most devoted adherent, was the man who within two or three weeks after figning the celebrated Belief that Charles had no intention to declare war against his subjects, actually took command of the troops levied for that purpose, and immediately after fell bravely fighting for his master as Commander-in-chief of the Royalist forces at Edgehill. fhould be made.\* Whether the warning fent this day by Marston† had already reached Lord Kimbolton, we have no means of knowing; but it feems probable that it had, and that his Failure in prepared and resolute aspect took Digby by sur-courage or good prise. It is quite clear, from a subsequent faith: passage in Clarendon's History, that the author believed his friend to have failed either in courage or good faith. T Not to have moved at once the commitment " as foon as the At-"torney-General had accused Kimbolton," he made a distinct charge against Digby, on the Clarenground that if he had done so, he would pro-don's charge bably "have raised a very hot dispute in the against "House, where many would have joined with him. "him." I do not think it unjust to Lord Clarendon to fay, that we may infer from this passage what his own feeling was. Yet between the proceeding by Attorney, and the King's personal interference, the difference was not very great.

For the moment, there is little doubt, even Digby Digby's reckless audacity would appear to have affects failed him. Seeing the temper of the House, he not only sat filent, but affected the utmost surprise and perplexity as Mr. Attorney proceeded; and at the close, whispering in Lord Kimbolton's ear with great seeming agitation that the King was very mischievously advised,

<sup>\*</sup> Clarendon, Hist. ii. 125. † Hist. ii. 128.

<sup>+</sup> See ante, 86-88.

and suddenly quits his adviser, and that he would at once go to
the House.
him to prevent further mischief, he rapidly
quitted the House.\*

## § XII. THE IMPEACHMENT BEFORE THE COMMONS.

D'Ewes in the I ower Hc fe.

D'Ewes meanwhile was busy in the Lower House with his pen and ink, in his usual place by the Speaker's chair, "on the lowermost " form close by the fouth end of the clerk's "table;" but his pen moved less regularly than was its wont, and there is fcarcely a fingle fentence in this particular day's entry that is not left half-finished. As he entered the House he had observed groups and crowds of officers and others scattered about here and there, in the lobbies and outfide passages, in a manner not usual; but he took his feat without suspicion of what was passing in the Lords, and found Pym speaking to the Answer made by his Majesty to the desire of the House for a Guard of their own choofing, and making report as to those very incidents, of a threatening and unufual kind, which had attracted his own attention outfide. Soon the agitation prevailing communicated itself to the learned member for Sudbury, and we can but follow in unfinished and somewhat incoherent lines the course of the speech, at the close of which

Pym fpeaking to the King's refufal of a Guard.

D'Ewes's hurried and unfinished reports.

<sup>\*</sup> Clarendon, Hift. ii. p. 128.

Pym moved and carried a fuggestion by way of Suggestrequest to the authorities of the City, that they a City would permit companies of trained bands to Guard. attend as a Guard upon the Houses at Westminster, and that they would set strong defences and watches about the City streets and walls.

One or two of the sentences still traceable in D'Ewes's note-book may show the tone Pym fpoke in. "The Great Counsel of the King-Frag-ments of dom should fit as a free Counsel... No Pym's " force about them without consent . . . Not speech. " only a Guard of foldiers but many Officers "in Whitehall . . . Divers desperate and " loose persons are listed and combined together "under pretext to do his Majesty service. "... One Mr. Buckle had faid the Earl of "Strafford's death must be avenged, and the " house of Commons were a company of giddy-" brained fellows." After Pym ceased, Nathaniel Fiennes brought forward, by way of report, some other facts exhibiting the disloyal conduct of the Digbys to the House; but his relation was brought fuddenly to a close. Pym and Denzil Hollis were called to the door upon Pym and urgent messages by their servants, and members, informed in much excitement, began talking to each other of outrage at the same moment of what was passing in the homes: Lords. Then Pym returned to his place, and Nathaniel Fiennes closed his report.

"Mr. Fiennes's relation was fcarce made," fays D'Ewes, "when the whole House, at least

Theirs and Hampden's papers feized by King's warrant: "the most of us, were much amazed with "Mr. Pym's information, who showed that

"his trunks, study, and chamber, and also "those of Mr. Denzil Hollis, and Mr. Hamp-

"den, were sealed up by some sent from "his Majesty." This the House proceeded

to declare a grave breach of privilege; and it was further ordered, without debate, and with wife and well-timed reference to the folemn Protestation which every member had figned

Declared a breach of privilege.

on the eve of Strafford's execution in behalf of the rights of Parliament, that if any person whatfoever, without first acquainting the House therewith and receiving from it due and necesfary instruction, should offer to arrest or detain the person of any member, it was lawful for fuch member to stand upon his guard of defence, and to make refistance according to the Protestation taken to defend the privileges of

justified.

Refistance Parliament. D'Ewes adds, that "though pri-" vate intimation was now given to us that the

"King's Attorney had in his Majesty's name " in the Lords' House accused the said mem-

" bers, and some others of our House of high

"treason, yet we accounted it a breach of " privilege that their papers, &c. should be

Resolution " sealed up before their crime was made known

" to this House." \*

against feizure of private papers.

A breach of privilege had indeed been committed. Fifty voices arose with that of the

<sup>\*</sup> Harleian MSS., 162, ff. 300 b, 302 a.

learned master of precedents at once to Violation declare it fo. It was not fimply that the of law as well as privileges of Parliament had been outraged in privilege. the form and manner of the proceeding, but that the most ordinary safeguards of law, to which the meanest citizen had to look for his daily and hourly protection, had been deliberately violated and put aside. The new Chancellor of the Exchequer, Culpeper, was present; and with Lord Falkland, the new The new Privy Councillor, occupied for the first time ministers filent. the official feats on the right of the Speaker's chair: but not a word against the resolution now moved was uttered by either. Hyde was not in the House, and it will appear hereafter to be a fact of some fignificance that no proof Hyde is discoverable of his presence during any of absent. these debates.

The declaration of breach of privilege, and the No oppoorder for refistance, having passed by acclamation, attempted.

a Committee of conference was appointed to carry them to the Lords; the managers named being Glyn, the member for Westminster and one of the leading lawyers on the popular side,
Nathaniel Fiennes, and Sir Philip Stapleton.
These had answered to their names, and were about to proceed to the Lords, when it was announced that Mr. Francis, King's Serjeant-at-Arms, was at the door of the King's Serjeant-at-Arms, having the mace in his hand, and the door of the House: bearing command to deliver from his Majesty

a message to Mr. Speaker. But, even in that

Enters, without his mace. hour of supreme excitement, the leaders of the House forgot nothing that was due to its power and pre-eminence within its own walls. Mr. Francis was not permitted to enter until he had laid afide his mace. Divefted of that symbol of authority he advanced to the Bar, and amid profound filence faid that he had been commanded by the King's Majesty, his master, upon his allegiance that he should repair to the House of Commons where Mr. Speaker was, and there to require of Mr. Speaker five gentlemen, members of the House of Commons; and those gentlemen being delivered, he was commanded to arrest them in his Majesty's name of High Treason. "Their names," he added, "are Denzil Hollis, Sir Arthur Haslerig, "John Pym, John Hampden, and William " Strode."

No De-

bate.

Demands the Five

Accufed.

No debate followed. The temper of the House had been too decidedly shown to render safe any attempt to contravene it; and a sort of settled and stern composure, contrasting strangely with the agitation that prevailed while yet the threatened blow had not fallen, appears in all the proceedings that immediately followed. The full knowledge of the worst, or what too hastily was taken for the worst, brought with it all that upon the instant became necessary to secure—what now was

Compofure of the Houfe. directly in peril-even the very existence of Parliament and parliamentary power.

Mr. Francis was directed to wait outfide The Serthe door until the pleasure of the House jeant or-should be communicated to him. A message wait outto the King was then ordered, not to be carried fide. by Mr. Francis, but by four of their own members, of whom two, being his Majesty's Privy Councillors, might haply ferve to remind him, that, even from his chosen and selected Ministers, an allegiance was due within those walls from which no power or prerogative claiming above the law could absolve them. As the fworn fervants, not of the King, but of Deputathe Commons of England, Culpeper and Faulk-tion to land were required to accompany Sir John fage to the King: Hotham and Sir Philip Stapleton, when the close of the conference with the Lords should have released Sir Philip. They were to inform the King that his message, being matter of great consequence, and concerning the privilege of all the Commons of England, would be taken into ferious confideration by the House, which in all humility and duty would attend the achis Majesty with an answer with as much speed cused will answer any as the greatness of the business would permit, legal and that the faid accused members in the charge. meantime should be ready to answer any legal charge made against them.

The five members were then feparately addressed by Mr. Speaker, who enjoined them, one

Accufed ordered to attend daily.

The Five by one, to attend de die in diem in that House until further direction, such attendance to be specially entered upon the Journals.\* Of the matter charged in the articles of treason no notice now was taken. An order was fimply made that the House should sit next morning at ten o'clock, as a Grand Committee, to confider the message of the King. But what this meant was well understood, and that the members were then to be heard in reply to their accuser.

Refolution for Military Guard out of City.

The act which followed proved to be one of the most important of all. The resolution for a Guard of the trained bands of the City, moved and carried by Pym at the opening of the fitting, was turned into an order of the House and committed to the care of Alderman

the Lord Mayor.

Venn and Pennington and Captain Venn, members for Penning-ton fent to London, who were directed immediately to repair thither and demand of the Chief Magiftrate and Authorities therein, in compliance with fuch order, a Military Guard for protection of the House. The charge was promptly executed; in what circumstances, and with what effect, will hereafter be feen.

All this had been done with marked deliberation, and the day was far advanced. The conference with the Lords as to breach of privilege had been brought to a close, and the Upper House had joined with the Lower in

Day declining.

<sup>\*</sup> Where it yet stands, C. J. ii. 368.

declaring against the outrage committed by the act of fealing up the trunks, papers, and Seals doors, in the private houses of the accused. King's Then an order passed the House, giving power to warrant to be broken. its Serjeant-at-Arms to break open those seals, and to Mr. Speaker's warrant to take into cuftody the persons by whom they were attached. Sir William Fleming and Sir William Killigrew,\* it had now been ascertained, were the King's principal agents; and, a warrant for their apprehension having been issued, Sir King's William Fleming and the perfons who had agents who feized acted under his direction were conveyed that papers to be imnight to the custody of the Serjeant-at-Arms. prisoned. Sir William Killigrew was not to be found.

Of the acts and proceedings of this memorable day, which before midnight were in print and circulated throughout the City, that was

<sup>\*</sup> These were men reckless and needy, hangers-on of the court, and of broken fortunes. Among more important docu-ments in the State Paper Office there remains a note of this Sir William Killigrew's dated eighteen months before this Sir Wiltime, which shows, not merely the straits he was in for money liam Killi-(common enough then for the best men about the Court), grew: but the discreditable ways and means he resorted to for getting it. "Knowe all men," it runs, "that I, S' W'' Killigrew "of London Kn' have borrowed of Mast' Robert Longe of "London Esqr a diamond hatband and one table diamond and the "ringe, wh I the faid S' Wm Killigrew have pawned unto diamond Capt. Peeter (who dwelleth at Mstr Southe's the cutlar hatband "in the Strand) for one hundred pounds; the which I doe and ring. "binde myselfe my heires and executors to redeeme and to "restore unto Mass Longe in or before Michaelmas Terme
"next: in witness whereof I have hereunto sett my hand,
"London: June 22<sup>d</sup>, 1640. Will KILLIGREW."
Endorsed: "Sir Wm Killigrew's note for the Diamond " Hatt Band and Ring."

Last act of the House on 3rd Jan.

the last but one. The last was to fend out intimation to the King's Serjeant-at-Arms and Messenger, Mr. Francis, "who attended " all this while at the door of the House of "Commons," that the answer to the King would be borne by members of their own.

## & XIII. WHAT FOLLOWED THE IMPEACHMENT.

with the King.

It was night before Falkland, Culpeper, Interview Stapleton and Hotham were admitted to audience at Whitehall, and very strange the interview must have been. Charles appears to have addressed himself solely to Falkland. Hastily, when the message had been delivered, he asked whether any reply was expected, and, in the same breath, before Falkland could answer, faid that A promise the House should have his reply as soon as it affembled next morning, and that meanwhile it was to take his affurance that what had been done was done by his direction. It is just possible that Charles's intention, when he faid this, may

> have been to fend fuch reply; but if fo, it did not furvive the scene which is alleged to have been acted in those royal apartments not many

for next day.

hours after the four members quitted them.\* The anecdote rests on the authority of a Authority manuscript note published by the historian for scene Echard, which had been left by Sir William to be de-Coke of Norfolk to Mr. Archetil Grey, the fcribed.

<sup>\*</sup> Echard's History (ed. 1720), p. 520.

brother of Lord Grey of Groby; and though Admixit certainly feems dated fome hours too foon true and even for the occurrence it professes to relate, false. and should be read very guardedly, there is room to suspect that it possesses a confiderable fubstratum of truth, for the underflanding of which the reader will be better prepared if certain preliminary circumstances and confiderations are fubmitted to him. Upon the entire statement of the facts he will have to judge, how far the proceedings which View already have been described are likely, in all the Mr. startling and dangerous circumstances of the Hallam: time, to have been taken, as Mr. Hallam feems to suppose, by the King acting singly and apart, not merely from his authorized advisers and from all his Privy Council,\* but from the new adherents of his person and recipients of his favour, won to him by the Great Remonstrance. He will have to deter- How far mine how far it is credible, that a defign of credible. fuch magnitude as the impeachment of leading members of the Commons, of which before the event rumours and alarms had gone forth

<sup>\*</sup> Hallam's words are (Const. Hist. ii. 125, ed. 1855) that Ill ad"the King was guided by bad private advice, and cared not visers:
"to let any of his Privy Council know his intentions lest he
"should encounter opposition." This surmise may be correct, Mr. Halbut the King's character and history cannot be said to support lam's view it. The life of Strafford offers incessant proof that Charles not contook strange pleasure in resisting the advice of men most sonant attached to him, and in whom he had reason to place the with chagreatest considence. All the most serious acts of his own life racter of were done in the very teeth of the most prudent counsellors the King. who remained with him.

and

Keeper wholly

in many quarters; for which the late lawless King act apart from levy of a Court of Guard at Whitehall was all advice? now loudly afferted to have been the preparation; which, to every one in the King's confidence, was beyond all question known to be a defign not now for the first time entertained; Attorney and which required the aid of the keeper of his conscience, and the first law officer of his wnony ignorant? crown, to carry through its very first stage; had yet been imparted to no member of his Council when from his own hand the Attorney-General Herbert received the written articles of treason, and from his own lips the Lord Keeper Littleton took the message to the

What Strode thought of their participation.

> \* This incident took place on the 12th February, when the conduct of Sir Edward Herbert (who had fat for Old Sarum: there were ten other Herberts in this Parliament) was under discussion. D'Ewes tells us (Harl. MSS. 162, ff. 377b, 385 a): "Mr. Pierrpoint said that the Lord Keeper had told "him that after his Majy had shown the articles to the "Attorney (impeaching Pym, &c.) he did to his uttermost power advise his May not to prefer them; but the King

> Lords. When Littleton and Herbert after-

wards afferted fo much, Strode, one of the

accused, publicly avowed his disbelief.\* But

Mr. Attorney's

excuses to "commanding him to do it, he came to the Lords House the House. "to perform the same, but was so troubled in mind when
"he came there, that he did adventure to return back
"to his Maj", and did humbly and earnestly advise "him the second time not to prefer the same, but then "receiving his Maj<sup>ties</sup> absolute and peremptory command to do it, he performed it accordingly. Mr. Strode said "he believed that Mr. Attor," did not only contrive the fame, but knew of the defign itself also, for he was a man " of great parts and well skilled in state matters, and was very

"violent both on Monday and Tuesday Jan," 3 and 4." All things confidered, Strode's suggestion was at least a pardonable one; and the reader will shortly have an opportunity of testing

Difbelieved by Strode.

fuch a question cannot even be raised upon the more daring act which was to be done on the succeeding day. There is not a Proposed statempt of the assertion, that the the 4th not King had kept secret to the last hour the purpose to which effect was now to be given. It was most certainly discussed on this preceding night, and on the morning of the day itself; nor is there any doubt as to some at least of Discussed the previous ill-stated Council.

## § XIV. Scene in the Queen's Apartments.

WHITELOCK, who had fair opportunities of Ill adinformation both at the time of the occurrence and afterwards, fays in his *Memorials* that "the "Papifts, by the means and influence of the

the credibility of the Lord Keeper's and Attorney General's statement by comparing it with accounts of the transaction under the King's own hand. A few days before the present debate (Saturday, 29 Jan.) an effort had been made by the Court party to acquit Herbert by putting off upon "Peter The "Baal, Esq. of the Middle Temple, being the Queen's Queen's 'attorney" (this is the "Ball" of the not very comprehensible Attorney paper memorandum in Sir Ralph Verney's Notes, p. 150) the act put for of having drawn the articles of treason. D'Ewes enables me ward, to state this; and as the close of his Journal on that day is characteristic of the usage of the time, and of the unruly practices of honorable members, I subjoin it: "Several committees "went out between 12 & 1, and many members, about one half in the House, went out to dinner. Divers called to keep "the doors shut, which made me to move—not to disturb the service of the House by calling out 'Shut the door,' but "Shut the "tervice of the House by calling out 'Shut the door,' but "Shut the "that we might again renew the ancient order of Parliament, Door." and, seeing the days were growing longer, sit to a later period in the afternoon."—Harl. MSS. 162. f. 359 b.

Papists and women.

"Queen, as was supposed, persuaded the King "the next day in the morning to come himself "to the House of Commons;" and he adds, as an accredited rumour of the time, that it was the women's counsel and irritation of Charles, telling him that if he were King of England. he would not fuffer himfelf to be baffled about these persons, which provoked him to go to the House himself, and fetch them out.\* Madame de Motteville states distinctly in her Memoirs de Motte- that the Queen had told her of a project to strike terror into the Parliament, and seize again the power that had been wrested from them; and, in another paffage, she fays more plainly that the King returned from the great dinner which had been given him in the City on his arrival from Scotland, † fo elated by the cheering and applause

Statement of Madame ville.

\* Memorials, i. 154 (ed. 1853).

† Ante, 21, 22. Without placing anything of an implicit reliance on what is faid by the Queen's chamber-woman, her position at the time yet fairly entitles her to be heard. "She" (the Queen) "was ever diligent," fays Madame de Motte-ville, "in gaining partizans to her husband, and won over Henriet-"the Lord Mayor. On the King's return from Scotland "fhe went to meet him and to apprife him of the compliant ta's conduct on " disposition of his subjects. The royal family were received the return " in London with great marks of loyalty, & the King refrom Scotland.

" folved to take advantage of this state of things, to seize the "leaders of the House of Commons. He entrusted his plan to "few but the Queen." A more trustworthy witness to the disastrous effects of that unfortunate City dinner is the historian May: "Who would not in probability have judged," he fays (Hist. lib. 2, cap. 2, 18-19), "that the forementioned

May the historian.

" costly and splendid entertainment which the City of London "gave to the King, would have exceedingly endeared them "unto him, and produced no effects but of love & concord? "Yet accidentally it proved otherwise. For many people, "ill affected to the Parliament, gave it out in ordinary dif-

of the Citizens, that he determined to avail himself of the supposed popularity implied in it, to feize the "leaders" in Parliament. Monte-Warning reuil, the French Ambassador, subsequently to the acclaimed the credit to himself of having given French Ambassatimely notice to the leaders ("J'avois prévenu dor. " mes amis, et ils s'étoient mis en sûreté") to provide for their fafety; and even if the fact of his having done fo were doubtful, he would hardly have ventured to claim the credit unless it were notorious that he had the opportunity. Finally, it only needs to advert, in proof of the notorious complicity of the Queen's party in the defign, to the subsequent state paper of the Effects of Commons in which they denounce "the in-Queen's intermed-"fluence which the priefts and Jesuits had dling.

"upon the affections and counsels of the "Queen, and the admission of her Majesty to

"intermeddle with the great affairs of state." \*

The leaders of the Commons had indeed Her defigns fufgood reason to suspect her Majesty. Not pected by many months before this date, when their inter-the Commons: ference had arrested her announced journey to Spa, they were foully affailed by the Royalists upon the ground that they had covered her with

<sup>&</sup>quot;course (non ignota loquor, it is a known truth) that the "City were weary of the Parliament's tedious proceedings,

<sup>&</sup>quot;& would be ready to join with the King against them.
"Whether it begat the same opinion in the King or not, I Charles "cannot tell; but certainly some conceived so, by actions misled. " which immediately followed."

<sup>\*</sup> Remonstrance from Grocers' Hall Committee. See Clarendon's Hift. ii. 185.

difloyal fuspicions, nor had scrupled to discover, in a simple excursion for health and pleasure,

treasonable motives, and even a possible design upon the property of the Crown. Yet not a great many days after the events now described, Suspicions every one of those suspicions was proved to have been well-founded; and when at length it was known that she had managed to quit England upon the enterprize of raising foreign arms for the King, carrying with her to this end not only her own and the King's jewels, but the jewels of the Crown,† the regret might well be felt, even by moderate men, that the patriots had not put their old misgivings into

Clarendon and Clarendon explains the eager violence with explains her desire which she threw herself into the King's project to have the of impeaching the members, by the terror she members entertained of their impeaching herself. "That peached: "which wrought so much upon the Queen's

entertained of their impeaching herself. "That which wrought so much upon the Queen's fears," he says, the session how the King was betrayed, and how

force. Conscious of her own intentions, this was doubtless what she had herself most dreaded;

" his rights and power were every day wrested

Abstraction of the Crown jewels.

\* See Nalson, ii. 391, for indication that the Commons suspected the design against the Jewels as early as July 1641.

† Whitelock's Memorials (ed. 1853), i. 159; and see Hallam, Const. Hist. ii. 139. Mr. Hallam is infinitely moderate and cautious in dealing with these passages of our history, but he admits, in a note to the passage just referred to, that the Queen's intended journey to Spa in July 1641, which was given up at the remonstrance of Parliament, was highly suspicious.

Î Hift. ii. 231.

"from him, was an advertisement that she had received of a design in the prevalent party to have accused her Majesty of high treason; of which, without doubt, there had been some discourse in their most private To save herself to her upon design, and by connivance (for peachment to her upon design, and by connivance (for peachment there were some incorporated into that faction who exactly knew her nature, passions, and infirmities), that the discain of it might transport her to somewhat which might give them advantage. And shortly after that discovery to her Majesty, those persons before mentioned were accused of high treason."

The person here more particularly pointed Lucy, Counters at as having played out, apparently on both of Carlisle. sides, the double intrigue of friend and of betrayer, was undoubtedly Lady Carlisle, now in daily intercourse with Pym and Lord Kim-Her daily bolton, and herself a chief actor also in the scene about to be related. Without raising with Pym and Kim-the question whether it might not have been bolton: even with herself for "messenger" that the Queen and King had lately made the overture to Pym which was meant to ensnare him from his party, it does not admit of controversy that this strong-willed woman, by far the most generous and the most constant of all the friends of Strafford, and for that reason after Strafford's death.

had been, ever fince the King's furrender of

his great Minister, deep in the secret counsels and confidence of Pym and his friends, and had done them most material services. Clarendon's first editors suppressed the passage in Retribution for betrayal of which he dwells explicitly on the evil she herfriend: wrought against her quasi-friends at Court: but it may properly here be reproduced. The historian is closing a fort of summing up of the adverse circumstances with which Charles the First at this time had to contend. "And lastly, "which, it may be, made all the rest worse, the "Countefs of Carlifle, who was most obliged " and trusted by the Queen, and had been for "her eminent and constant affection to the "Earl of Strafford admitted to all the con-"fultations which were for his prefervation, "and privy to all the refentments had been

Betrays the Court to the Commons:

Her conduct ex-

her character.

"with." \* So did Clarendon, out of his simple observation and knowledge of humanity, and without reproach to the Countess for so avenging a bitter wrong, fufficiently explain, as it feems to me, the fudden transfer of plained by Lady Carlisle's allegiance from Strafford's false friends to his open enemies. In that way,

" on his behalf, and fo could not but remember " many sharp sayings uttered in that time, was "become a confidant in those counsels, and

"discovered whatsoever she had been trusted

<sup>\*</sup> Clarendon, Hift. ii. 603-604.

not unnaturally, might fo vehement and impetuous a spirit resent his betrayal; it is to be remembered also that her brother, the Earl Her of Northumberland, had by this time, after a brother horthumfar less constant and generous devotion to berland. Strafford, changed sides from the Court to the Parliament; and there is certainly not the shadow of a ground for the imputation which so many grave historians have since repeated Sir Philip War-on the authority of a jesting remark by Sir wick's Philip Warwick,\* that this mature lady of scandal.

\* The passage is worth quoting as written by one who passed much time in very intimate personal attendance on the King, because the only regret expressed in it with regard to the attempt of the 4th January is that it was made too late: "In Scotland having learnt the confederacies against him, and the intelligence some of our great members had held "with the ambassadors of foreign princes, particularly the French, and somewhat of the depth of their designs, he was " forced to resolve to accuse some members of both Houses "of treason; but too late, God knows: enough to show, A Courthat when Princes will long put off their dangers by tier's view
unreasonable concessions, they do not divert their hazard, of the
but run into it. And now tho' he resolves to proceed Impeach-"against these members by a due processe in law, & accuse ment and them first in the Lords house by his Attorney Generall, arrest. "and then in the House of Commons by himselfe (both "Houses having ever allowed that no priviledge of parlia"ment could by any single member of either House be pre-"tended unto in the case of treason, felony, or breach of peace), yet his coming to the Lower House being betrayed " by that bufy stateswoman the Countess of Carlisle (who had "now changed her gallant from Strafford to Mr. Pym, Busy "and was become such a she-Saint that she frequented their states-"fermons and took notes), he lost the opportunity of seizing woman their persons" &c. &c. Memoires (ed. 1702), p. 204- become While I am bound to state my conviction that the imputation she-saint. which would give to Lady Carlifle the great Puritan leader for her gallant, is without a shadow of other testimony to support it, I need not conceal the fact that the Royalist libellers kept a well fupplied armoury of weapons of this kind, which any

more than forty years of age, who had been twenty years a wife\* and five a widow, had now

No ground for Warwick's libel.

Royalist writer was sure to find always ready to his hand. Pym's free living and gallantries were an untiring theme. From the New Diurnall, or from The Sense of the House, or from Reasons against Accommodation, I could furnish abundant instances, but they are not always quotable. One of the more scholarly of these reckless penmen had invented even a Latin fong which went by Pym's name, and supplied material for infinite libels by way of answer.

> I wonder one fo old, fo grave, Should yet fuch youth, fuch lightnesse have.

Thou mayst as soon turn Turk as king; And that, oh that's the tempting thing-That thou mayst glut thine appetite With a feraglio of delight!

Pym's unpuritanic manners.

Occasionally, however, even a Royalist libeller is under some influence which gives him pause in his career of slander, and his charge against the great leader resolves itself, at such times, into what may possibly have originated the whole of this fruitful theme of unforupulous wit—Pym's free unpuritanical manners, and flowing courtefy to women, repeatedly noticed by contemporaries. Take an example from Lines to a Lady:

> Then go, fair lady, follow him; Fear no trumpet, fear no drum, Fair women may prevail with Pym,
> And one sweet smile when there you come Will quickly strike the Speaker dumb.

"Roundhead "

Let me add that when Baxter, in a well-known passage of his Narrative (p. 34), represents the Queen, in Pym's presence, explained asking who that round-headed man was (which, by the way, by Baxter. she is not at all likely to have done, for there is ample evidence that his person was well-known both to Queen and King long before the Strafford trial), the reader must yet not fuppose her to have meant by the phrase that he was what is called close-cut or crop-eared. In that sense it would not be more applicable to Pym and Hampden than to Hopton and Rupert. The remark of Baxter may be given for its illustra-

<sup>\*</sup> She was married to Lord Hay, afterwards Earl of Carlifle, in the autumn of 1617.

changed her "gallant" from Strafford to Pym. One of the King's physicians, Doctor Bates, in his Rise and Progress of the Troubles, is not disposed to be more complimentary to her than Sir Philip was; but at least he keeps more A fugwithin the probabilities when he ascribes her more conduct to a willingness now to set off her wit, probable. as formerly she had done her beauty, the gifts of different ages, amongst the Parliament men. This writer, a partizan of Charles the Doctor First, though he did not decline, during the Bates. Protectorate, to prescribe for Cromwell, also distinctly declares, in that portion of his Elenchus Motuum which was written and printed before the Restoration, that it was " by the advice of some of his Privy Council Privy who were themselves members of the Councillors faid to "House," \* that the King, finding the Com- have admons resolute not to deliver up their members King. on legal charge, went himself the next morning to arrest them.

Of a different complexion from his statement, though not necessarily at variance with it, is the scene that waits to be described from Coke's Manuscript, preserved by Archetil

tion of the subject treated ante, 63. He is speaking of the word Roundhead. "The original of which name is not certainly "known. Some say it was because the Puritans then com-"That monly wore short hair, and the King's party long hair: round-second the Queen at Strafford's trial asked headed who that round-headed man was, meaning Pym, because he man." second for the strafford of the strafford o

King and Grey. A long and very passionate debate had Queen on the night January:

On the

passed in the royal chamber on the night of of the 3rd the fruitless attempt of the Attorney-General, the Queen taking prominent part therein; and it had ended, according to this account, in the fettled refolve that Charles would himself demand the members next morning. But his heart failed him when the morning came. He went to the Queen's apartments early, and, finding Lady Carlisle with her, took her Majesty into her closet, and there, having put to her all the hazards of the attempt, and all its possible consequences, declared that he must abandon it. Whereat the Queen, no morning of the 4th, longer able to contain her passion, violently burst out, "Allez, poltron! Go, pull these "rogues out by the ears, ou ne me revoyez "jamais!" Without replying the King left the room. The anecdote is certainly not in any respect reliable, if accepted strictly in this form; but it feems to favor the supposition of some admixture of truth in it, though misdated as well as misstated, that Madame de Motteville should unconsciously have given us Lady Car- in her Memoirs a fort of sequel to it. She lifle closet-ed with the describes the Queen, while waiting in her closet with vehement expectation, rejoined by Lady Carlisle. In a previous passage she had

dwelt upon Charles's leave-taking hardly an hour before, not in filence indeed, as Coke reports, but with a hasty promise to Henrietta

Queen.

that if she found one hour elapse without The one hearing ill news of him, she would see him, hour. when he returned, master of his kingdom. With impatient dread she had since passed that interval of suspense, and now, on Lady Carlisle's sudden entrance, thinking the hour was past and the stroke made not missed, she exclaimed to her friend, "Rejoice! for I hope that the King Queen betrays her secret." is now master in his States, and that Pym her secret. "and his confederates are in custody." She had told the triumph of her hate too early to prevent Lady Carlisle from making it the Lady Cartriumph of her own. Within an hour from trays the that time, adds Madame de Motteville, Pym Queen. knew what was to be done that day.

## § XV. Council of the Night of the 3rd of January.

The nature of the debate of the preceding The night, the number who were present at it, and night's the character of those who took active part in it, remain still matters of doubt to us. Was it a meeting of the King and Queen with the Queen's friends only, with Lord Digby, the French Ambassador, and William Murray\* of the Bed-Chamber, as Clarendon would have us believe; or was it one at which, or im-Who were mediately preceding which, the King had confulted with those of his Privy Council who

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Littel Vil Murry," as the Queen calls him in her letters,

Testimony of Hafelrig.

Gratitude

to Lady

Carlifle.

were also members of the House of Commons, in other words with Sir Edward Nicholas, Culpeper, and Falkland, as Doctor Bates diffinctly avers? When Sir Arthur Hafelrig, himself one Sir Arthur of the accused, recalled the circumstances sixteen years later, in one of the Parliaments of the Protectorate, it is remarkable that in what he faid, after expressing his thanks to God that through the timely notice given by the kindness of that great lady, the Lady Carlisle, bloodshed had been prevented, he seems at once both to confirm the substance of Sir William Coke's flory, and to make it much more probable by changing the time alleged for it, while he leaves it compatible with either supposition as to the character of the previous night's meeting. On the King's "return," he faid, "the "Queen raged and gave him an unhandsome

Rage of the Queen. " name, poltroon, for that he did not take

What philosopher Hobbes fays.

> \* Burton's Diary of the Parliaments of Cromwell, iii. 93. Haselrig's speech was delivered on the 7th February, 1658-9.

" others out; and certain, if he had, they "would have been killed at the door."\* the other hand, when Hobbes speaks, in his Behemoth, of the long subsequent altercations between the Parliament and the King, and

fays that the perfistent demand of the House

of Commons, that the King should declare

who were the persons that advised him to go,

as he did, to the Parliament House to apprehend them, had for it no other motive than "to stick upon his Majesty the dishonour of deserting his friends and betraying them to his enemies,"\* he distinctly fanctions the affertion of Bates that the act was neither unpremeditated by the King nor unadvised by his counsellors.

Perhaps the question, which must after all be Direction left to a careful and impartial judgment upon in which to look for the attendant circumstances, may receive its motives not least important illustration from considering jests of all that was involved in that chance of a fatal attempt of issue, with such emphasis referred to by Haselrig. ary. The turning point of the case is probably there; and in what the undertaking included beyond its oftenfible pretences, its real key or folution may be found. It is usual to treat the attempt which the King was now about to make, as an act of rashness far transcending in Not so its danger that which already through his fupposed. Attorney General he had made, and far furpassing in its folly all his other acts of state since his return; as an undertaking which he never could have dared to submit to any of his advisers, and

<sup>\*</sup> The truth was, as the historian May has pointed out (lib. 2, 'cap. 2, p. 25), that in this demand the House was thoroughly justified and perfectly regular; "the law in two Demand "several statutes providing that if in time of Parliament the for names "King accuse a member of the same of what crime soever, of King's "he ought to signify to the Parliament who were the advisers." informers."

<sup>+</sup> Hobbes in the same tone and spirit adds: "The King" waved the prosecution of the 5 members, but denied to "make known to them the names of those who had advised "him to come in person to the House of Commons to

<sup>&</sup>quot; demand them."

an adventure which necessarily he must have undertaken, if at all, on his undivided responfibility. But does this view take sufficiently into account the antecedent circumstances, the challenge flung down to the Houses, the continued Position of exasperation of the Citizens, and the position in

the King after failure of attempt of the 3rd January.

which, amid a population already fo dangeroufly excited, the failure of the first day's enterprise had left the King? There are occasions when what would ordinarily be the madness of despair becomes a courage only equal to the All the dangers involved in a deliberate attack on the privileges of the House of Commons, and the persons of its Challenge leaders, had now been incurred. The challenge thrown down had been promptly taken up,

taken up by the

of retreat.

Commons. and from it, to a vision less narrow and obstinate than the King's, there might well Difficulty feem no possible retreat, consistent with dignity or fafety. Let it be assumed, as an act of justice to Charles the First, that he honestly believed himself to be in possession of evidence, which, before fuch a tribunal as might be obtained to try them, would bring the accused members certainly within the penalties of treason. Hyde professes that he had no doubt of it; to support and neither, it is probable, had Culpeper or

Alleged evidence the charge. Falkland.\* But, on the other hand, the reso-

\* He is speaking, in another passage, of the fears enter-tained by himself and them that the attempted arrest might prove a disadvantage to the King's affairs. "Not that they "thought the gentlemen accused, less guilty; for their

lute determination of the House to protect its members interposed an insuperable difficulty, and at once made painfully apparent that a false step had been taken. This, if at all to False step be retrieved, it was now not possible to able retrieve by any proceeding within the limits within limits of of the law. Five Commoners had been law. accused of treason before a tribunal which had not the shadow of a jurisdiction to try them; and the forms of the grand jury, which for centuries had shielded and protected the English subject, had given place to a lawless exercise of the most hateful of all the processes of law and of prerogative, an Attorney-General's Ex-officio upon the information of the King. Could anything now fuggested to Nature of meet fuch a crifis be in effect worse, whether already by failure or fuccess, than what had thus commitdirectly occasioned it?

These were the circumstances in which, on One way the night of the 3rd of January, we must ground: assume the idea to have been started, that,

"extreme dishonest arts in the House were so visible, that What

<sup>&</sup>quot;nothing could have been laid to their charge incredible: Clarendon but the going through with it was a matter of so great thought of difficulty and concernment, that every circumstance ought the King's to have been fully deliberated, and the several parts disproceed pensed into such hands, as would not have shaken in the ing. execution. . . . . If the choice had been better made, and the several persons first apprehended, & put into dissections to the several persons first apprehended, & put into dissect the several persons first apprehended, and the would have what he heard from them, nor they one from another, all which would had not been very difficult, the high spirit of both Houses have done might possibly have been so dejected, that they might have himself. been treated withal." His. ii. 183-4.

Renewal of attempt with means to enforce it.

strong in the justice of a case to which the fubtleties and niceties of law were no longer applicable, the King should go with the armed attendants of his new Court of Guard (provided for that special occasion, men afterwards faid) to the House next morning, and himself demand the members to be given up to him. Objection might be made that this would be but the repetition, in an exaggerated form, of what had failed that day: but the obvious answer, that, in the event of such resistance being repeated, means of counter-refistance were provided, gives its distinctive character to what the King now defigned. If bloodshed followed upon violence, the responsibility would rest with those who provoked it: nor is it possible to doubt, that, but for Lady Carlisle's interference, such must have been the issue raised. The whole of the occurrences of the past three weeks had gone altogether in the fame direction; and we have feen that merely on the view of what was passing from day to day, a terror and foreboding of calamity was in the hearts of the most moderate men. It was hardly a time when even the thought of fuch an act as the King was about to undertake could have arisen, unaccompanied by the prevision of some consequences sure to follow, of which the weight or levity would wholly turn upon the degree of confidence or fear already inspired by the conduct of the people. But when

Foiled only by Lady Carlifle's warning.

Idea of resistance inseparable from proposed attempt. fear was wisdom, Charles the First had no fear. The King We shall find that he still to this hour, and incapable of a wise beyond it, blindly relied on the City as under fear. the control of its loyal Chief Magistrate. He confessed afterwards his mistake in having been induced to believe that the House of Commons had now ceased to be popular. Armed bravos and foldiers of fortune had unpunished drawn their swords on the people, and "chased" and hunted them in the public ways. And why not complete, at the House itself, what in the streets had been thus begun?

The change of position taken up by the The issue accused members on the second day, bears raised, one out this view of the case, and sanctions the lence: belief that the iffue fought to be raifed was, and could be, no other than one of violence.\* The House of Commons withdrew its mem-reason bers at the approach of the King, not because why the House it feared the King more than it feared his withdrew Attorney-General or his Serjeant-at-Arms, its members. but because of the danger of a collision with

" enfuing troubles."

<sup>\*</sup> Whitelock fays (Memorials i. 153): "And divers White-"imagined that if the five members had not received a fecret lock's " notice from a great court lady, their friend (who overheard view:

<sup>&</sup>quot;fome discourse of this intended action, and thereof gave
"timely notice to those gentlemen) whereby they got out of
the House just before the King came: otherwise, it was danger
believed, that if the King had found them there, and called garder
in his Guards to have seized them, the members of the prevented
House would have endeavoured the defence of them, which by Lady

<sup>&</sup>quot;might have proved a very unhappy and fad business; and Carlisle.

<sup>&</sup>quot; fo it did, notwithstanding that was prevented. This sudden action being the first visible & apparent ground of the

Source of Q ueen's felf-reproach:

the armed men who accompanied him. Attention has not been fufficiently fixed on this part of the case. Madame de Motteville tells us that the Queen never ceased to reproach herself to the last day of her life, for having casually disclosed what led to the removal of the members from the House.

not prevention of attempt, but interception of confequences.

To have prevented, not the King's attempt, but the possibility of violence and bloodshed in giving effect to it, was to her the most bitter reproach. "Never did he treat me for a "moment," she exclaimed, "with less kind-" ness than before it happened, though I had " ruined him." She had ruined him, because unconsciously she had caused the betrayal of his plan for difabling or striking down his enemies, in the House where they had mortally affailed him by upholding the liberties of his people.

Previous preparations:

There is no injustice to the King in the views here expressed. The injustice is in treating his scheme as a braggart display of force it was never defigned to use. The preparations for it were all too deliberately made to render credible any fuch belief. It was afterwards clearly proved, and admitted by Charles, that on this 3rd of January means had been taken At White- to fortify Whitehall with a confiderable access of arms and ammunition. What was hoped,

hall;

and desperately planned, to have been done in and in the the City, will shortly be revealed upon City.

evidence beyond cavil or dispute. So far back as the previous Friday the 31st of December, as will appear hereafter from what D'Ewes Evidence reveals to us of evidence given by Captain Langres. Langres, orders had been fent to the officer in command of the Court of Guard at Whitehall to obey "one Sir William Fleming." On this very night while the fubject was yet in debate, means had been taken to obtain affistance from Affistance the gentlemen of the Inns of Court, who could fought from Inns themselves furnish at that time an important of Court. military guard, and whom we have already feen eager, during the Westminster Hall tumults, to proffer for the King's protection a band of 500 men.\* Sir William Killigrew had been

\* Ante, 78. I have found curious evidence existing in the Inns of State Paper Office of the anxiety of the Court to render this force Court efficient and to secure its services in case of need. It is a Royal Volunteer letter to the Benchers of Gray's Inn touching the exercise of Guard. arms, and is dated at that striking period preceding the dissolution of the Third Parliament, when, to most thinking men, the A trouhope of any final fettlement without ultimate appeal to arms bled time. must first have begun to appear desperate. No one who ex- Midsum-amines the State papers of this time in our National Reposi- mer, 1823: tory, still untouched by the historian, can fail to be struck by the change of tone and attitude taken by the people. Thus early the country was on the point of rebellion. Only faith the in the leaders of the House of Commons kept it still. Even country on in a thing which till then had been a mere matter of course—eve of rethe bringing of State prisoners from the Tower to the Courts fistance, -days, times, and modes of conveyance had to be selected with the niceft care for avoidance of popular tumults; and whether Eliot and Selden were to be brought by water or by land, on particular occasions, was matter of anxious deliberation between the Governor of the Tower and the Law Officers of the Crown. The paper to which I have referred, and which Royal has never been printed, is worth subjoining in detail. Apart letter to from its special historical significance, there may be found in Benchers it at the present time an interest which makes appeal, yet of Gray's nearer and closer, to that spirit which supplies in all ages a Inn.

fent round with copy of Impeachment.

Killigrew fent round to each of the Four Inns with copies of the articles of treason, and with summons from his Majesty in each case to be in waiting the next morning at Whitehall. A fimilar course had been taken also with the Guard at the Palace.

> country's only efficient fafeguard,—the patriotic ardour, the disciplined valour, and the skill in arms of her sons.

> "Trusty and Well Beloved Wee Greet you well. Con-" fidering that these times are full of action and danger, true " religion being now affaulted in all parts of Christendome, "our purpose is to employ our best care to make all our "fubjects well prepared by the exercise of armes to defend the truth and our Kingdomes, and to maintaine the safetie "and honour of Our Nation; and because the voluntary " example of the gentlemen of the Innes of Court will much " conduce to that good end, Wee therefore will and require

> " you that you doe in our name recommend vnto them the "exercise of Archerie and Armes, inciting and incourageing "them at theire times of recreation to employ themselves "therein, and especially in horsemanshipp, a commendable "and noble exercise and most necessarie in all occasions of "Warr wherein other Nations have gott the advantage of

> "Us. Our greatest defect is want of discipline and Knowledge "therein: by occasion thereof the greatest disorder and con-"fusion doe usually happen in armes. But Wee doe usually " referr it to every gentleman to exercise, either on horse or

> " foot, what armes shall best fort with his owne disposition; " and Wee will extend our Royall grace and furtherance by " all fitt waies and meanes to all fuch as shall manifest their "forwardnes in that worke, which will be an honour to

> "your Societyes and a worthie example to our Subjects. "Our meaning is, not that any the Students of our Lawes "fhould by this occasion neglect their studies, but that they " should change their former exercises in time of Vacancie

> "and recreations into the most usefull actions for the "common good and defence of religion, our Royall person, "themselves, and our countrye. And Wee will that you shall

leisure and "cause these Our Letters to be openly read unto the "Gentlemen of the Societie, declaring unto them that Our " care shall be duely to encourage and advance all such as

" shall well deserve either by their Studdies or the com-"mendable Actions Wee now commend unto them. Given " under our Signet at our Pallace at Westminster the 28 of

" June on the 4th Yeare of our Raigne,"

Defire to have all citizens exercifed in arms.

Defect to be fupplied, a want of discipline.

Law students not to neglect studies, but to occupy vacations.

Still, even affuming the matter to have been fo presented to the new Secretary of State and the two Privy Councillors most recently fworn to advise the King, and most deeply interested in providing for his ultimate fafety by the advice they gave, all must yet be conjecture as to the probable course they took. But it is impossible to exclude from considera- What the new tion the fact, which Clarendon repeatedly Ministers admits, that they agreed thoroughly with the thought of King as to the guilt of the accused, and never of the placed on higher grounds than those of "con-accused. "venience" and expediency their objection to the attempted arrest.\* We are to remember also that the objection was not publicly ex-

\* In the very passage where he ventures on the strongest What expression of doubt and apprehension as to the course taken Falkland, by the King (remarking that he and his friends, between Culpeper, grief and anger, were confounded with the confideration of and Hyde what had been done and what was like to follow), he never- would theless thus continues: "They were far from thinking that have done "the accused members had received much wrong; yet they with the "thought it an unseasonable time to call them to account for Five "it. That if anything had been to be done of that kind, Members: "there should have been a better choice of the persons, there " being many of the House of more mischievous inclinations "and defigns against the King's person and the Government, " and were more exposed to the public prejudice, than the "Lord Mandeville Kimbolton was . . . Then Sir Arthur " Haselrig and Mr. Strode were persons of so low an account "and effeem . . . that they gained credit and authority by being joined with the relt, who had indeed a great Seized influence. However, if there was a resolution to proceed them "against those men, it would have been much better to have separately, caused them to have been all severally arrested, and sent and sent "to the Tower, or to other prisons, which might have been each to a "very easily done before suspected, than to send in that different "manner to the Houses with that formality which would be prison. " liable to fo many exceptions."

to arrest its failure.

Objection pressed until after the attempt had issued in only after complete disafter; that it was then accompanied by other statements too groffly at variance with the known facts not necessarily to subject it to grave suspicion; and that the very person on whose fingle affurance posterity has been content to believe it, is the same whose pen was Hyde em- employed by the King to justify the very act objected to. Within a few days after its justify it. occurrence, Hyde, replying in the name of Charles to the City petition, vindicates it as "a gentle" proceeding against men who had been accused on the clearest grounds of high treason; for that, in such a case, as it was

> notorious that no privilege of Parliament could extend to treason, felony, or breach of the peace, and as, in despite thereof,\* the House

\* The answer to the City petition will be found in Hist.

Mifrepre**fentation** of the cafe.

ployed to

"Gentleness" of King's attempt don.

ii. 149. "For his going to the House of Commons, when his attendants were no otherwise armed than as gentle-"men with fwords, he was perswaded, that if they knew alleged by "the clear grounds upon which those persons stood ac-Claren- "cused of high treason, and what would be proved against "them, with which they should be in due time acquainted, "and confidered the gentle way he took for their appre"hension (which he preferred before any course of violence, "though that way had been very juftifiable; fince it was
"notoriously known that no privilege of parliament can
"extend to treason, felony, or breach of the peace), they
"would believe his going thither was an act of grace and
An act of "favour to that House, and the most peaceable way of having "that necessary service performed; there being such orders made for the resistance of what authority soever for their apprehension." It is difficult to steer through the involutions of these sentences, but to discover their drift is not difficult. Somewhat later, when it had ceased to be safe to urge the guilt of treason against the accused as entirely clear and capable of proof, quite another colour was fought to be

favour.

of Commons had made order for refistance of the apprehension of their members against all authority whatsoever, "any course of violence "had been very justifiable."

Let me add that when Clarendon, speaking No privilege in his proper person,\* repeats this argument, lege claimed and states that the leaders claimed immunity against against even regular proceedings upon the charge of treason, he practises largely indeed upon the carelessens or credulity of his readers. "For if," he says, "the judges had been False issue compelled to deliver their opinions in point raised. "of law, which they ought to have been, they could not have avoided the declaring that by the known law, which had been confessed in all times and ages, no privilege of Pariliament could extend in the case of treason; but that every Parliament-man was then in the condition of every other subject, and to

given to the fatal act. "We put on," Charles is made to Another lay, (Husband, Coll. 246) "a fudden resolution to try whether sketch our own presence, and a clear discovery of our intentions, from same which haply might not have been so well understood, could remove their doubts, and prevent those inconveniences which seemed to have been threatened; and thereupon we resolved to go in our own person to our House of Commons, which we discovered not till the very minute we were going, the been thought a breach of privilege," &c. &c. William Lily, characterising Charles the First's style, describes exactly that of Clarendon: "He would write his mind singularly The "well, and in good language and style; only he loved long King's parentheses." It is scarcely necessary to add, that, in the style of instances just quoted at least, the parentheses are Clarendon's. writing.—See Lise, 130-133.

" be proceeded against accordingly."

Indemnity from treafon never claimed:

He knew perfectly well, when he wrote this passage, that the House of Commons had folemnly disclaimed the views and pretensions here attributed to them; and that the real point, from which he always studiously manages to carry off the attention of his readers, turns upon the breach of privilege and gross breach of all Method of common as well as constitutional law, involved,

proceeding only objected

not in charging members of Parliament with treason, but in the mode adopted to give effect to fuch a charge.

It is furely no very harsh assumption, feeing how foon these arguments were resorted to in vindication, that fome fuch arguments might also have been debated on the memorable night of the 3rd of January, when it is known that Falkland and Culpeper were certainly with the King; when they had been fworn fo recently of his Council; and when the question was no longer whether the rash attempt should be made, but whether it should be wholly abandoned by abandonment of all Culpeper's further authority. That Sir Edward Dering confidence to Dering: had derived from the new Chancellor of the Exchequer, Sir John Culpeper, his colleague in the representation of Kent, the informa-

tion that shortly before the Chancellorship was conferred upon himself it had been offered to Pym, feems hardly to admit of doubt;

trust in his and the mere fact of the new ministers possessnew coun-fellors, ing this information, carries other prefumptions with it inconfistent with the notion that they had failed as yet to obtain the real confidence of the King. Such most certainly was not the impression at the time. When Imputa-Clarendon complains that himself, Falkland, against and Culpeper, could not avoid being looked Hyde and his friends, upon as the authors of those counsels to which they were fo absolute strangers, and which they fo perfectly "detested;" when he expresses his vexation that they continued to be pointed at as the "contrivers;" he at least exhibits what Believed was a prevailing belief, and one which a to be partizan and fervant of the King; in a grave vers" of account of the period, has distinctly fanctioned. When, on the other hand, in almost the same page of his History, Clarendon declares that "the three persons," Falkland, Culpeper, and himself, believed in the guilt of the accused, and only thought it would have been far better Their mode of to have caused them to have been all severally objecting arrested and sent to the Tower or to other and denying: prisons (which, he adds, if every circumstance had been fully deliberated, and the feveral parts distributed among such hands as would not have shaken in the execution, might have been very easily done), he supplies us with the no evimeans of testing, by a very accurate measure, dence of detestathe nature and amount of "detestation" with tion" of which the King's act had inspired these counfellors of the King. Let Falkland and Culpeper have all the advantage derivable from

having shared, at one and the same time, the

proof of indirect participa-

Stake and loft.

tion.

detestation at the ill-doing of it by the King, and the eagerness to have had opportunity of doing it better themselves. The present writer at least is convinced that if these men were not but rather direct, they were indirect, parties to the deed that now waited to be done. If it failed, the King's case could not be more desperate than already it was become. If it fucceeded, and the leaders of the Majority in the House of played for Commons were struck down, intimidation might be left to do its work upon their followers, the Minority which had rallied against the Remonstrance might be gathered and reinforced under less troublesome leaders, and the English people be led back into bondage by the very power which had effected their deliverance.

#### & XVI. MIDNIGHT VISIT TO THE CITY.

Secretary Nicholas confulting late with the King.

Provision

against tumults

ONE remarkable incident remains to be described, which a document in the State Paper Office enables me to establish, and which will probably be accepted for irrefragable proof that at least the King was in consultation with one of his principal Secretaries of State, Sir Edward Nicholas, late in the night of this 3rd January; and that the object of their deliberation must have been, beyond all posfible question, to provide against popular next day:

tumults which there was special reason to look and for on the following day, and to neutralize any against demand of measures taken by the House of Commons Commons for defence against further and forcible aggres- for Guard. fion. To what extent the argument in the foregoing fection receives confirmation from fuch an occurrence, every reader will be able to judge for himself, and will be better able to judge correctly when all its curious circumstances are told

It has been feen that one of the last acts of the Commons before they broke up their fitting after the articles of impeachment were presented, was to send Pennington and Ven into Order of the City with a request for a Guard out of the House for Trained Bands under the immediate order of Train the Chief Magistrate. Upon this being made Bands. known to the King, he thought himself strong enough to defeat it by a counter warrant to Counterthe Lord Mayor, and this was directed to be warrant figned by prepared accordingly. The rough draft of the the King. warrant remains still among the Papers of the State. It is in the handwriting of Under Secretary Bere, and is corrected by Secretary Nicholas himself, sufficing proof of its authenticity. Such proof, indeed, it needed, for it is in its terms very damnatory evidence against Grave evithe King and the King's counsellors. It is an against instruction to the Chief Magistrate of London, the Court. not merely to refuse to the Commons the Guard they had defired, but in its place to

enroll fuch a Guard for the royal fervice, with order for its immediate employment in fuppressing and dispersing all tumults, disorders, and affemblages of the people in the streets of the City; and with express instruction to it, in case persons so assembling should refuse to retire to their houses peaceably, to fire upon them with loaded bullets.

Order to Train Bands to fire on the Citizens.

Intercepted and not publifhed until now.

Why not

put in

force.

Happily for the King, this royal warrant remained brutum fulmen, and fees the light first in these pages; for, had the attempt been made to enforce it, London would in all probability have witneffed fuch a fcene as must then have changed the entire subsequent course and aim of our English Revolution, Nor is the cause which interposed itself to prevent the attempt the least striking part of the story. Near the paper as it lies in our National Collection remains also the letter of the agent employed by Secretary Nicholas to carry it to Sir Richard Gourney. His instructions appear to have been to hasten with it into the City, to fee the Lord Mayor, to urge upon him the necessity of immediately calling the Sheriffs to council (one of whom was known to be as strongly royalist as Gourney himself), to open and read it in their presence, and to give directions then and there for carrying it into effect. But the night was farther advanced than in the hafte and eagerness had been supposed. The clocks at Whitehall had not kept good time.

Reached the City too late. Mr. Latche the messenger found the Chief Magistrate in bed, and Ven and Pennington had been beforehand with him. In a word Fortunate the project had failed, happily for all involved accident in it, most happily for the King. It is dis-King. covered only now, when two centuries have passed away, as one of the secrets of what might have been history, that late in the night What of the 3rd of January, 1641-2, Charles the might have been First, in deliberation with his principal Secre-history. tary of State, had provided, in a certain and too probable contingency, itself the result of an excitement he was himself creating, for the firing with powder and bullet upon affemblages of his unarmed fubjects in the streets of the City of London.

Thus ran the warrant: "To the Lord Copy of the warrant trusty and well-rant." " beloved Counf'. Wee understand that

"the House of Comons hath fent to have

"Guard of the trained Bands of that Or

"Citty. Forasmuch as some of weh said Reference "House are lately accused of high treason, Members.

"Our will and command is that you take

" especiall care that none of Our trained bands " be raifed whout speciall warrant from us,

" and wee shall take in Or royall care that

" nothing shall be don to the prejudice or

"disturbance of Or said Citty, [weh we shall

" be as vigilant to keepe in quietnes as others

"are to engage & put into tumult and

Train Bands called out for the King.

All gatherings of

Citizens

to difperfe:

"disorder \*]: But in case you shall find any " great numbers of people to affemble together

" in a tumultuary & diforderly manner wthin

"Or faid Citty or the liberties thereof, Our "will and command is that you then cause soe

" many of Or trained bands to be raifed as you

" shall thinke fitt, well armed and provided,

" and that you give order to suppresse all such "tumults and diforders, and if they shall find

" refistance, and that the persons soe assembled

" shall refuse to retire to their houses peace-

"ably, or to render ymfelves into the handes of " justice, that then, for the better keeping of the

" peace, and preventing of further mischeefes,

" you comand the Capts, Officers, and Souldiers

On refusal " of our said trained bands, by shooting with to be fired "bullets, or otherwayes, to suppresse those

"tumults, & destroy such of them as shall

" perfift in their tumultuous wayes and dif-

" orders: For which this shall be yor warrant.

" Given, &c. 3rd Jan. 1641."

And thus runs the letter which announced to Secretary Nicholas the failure of a mission which fo temperate and discreet a minister must in his heart have wholly disapproved. It is addressed "To the Rt. Honorable Sir Edward Nicholas's "Nicholas, Knt. Principal Secretary to his

Letter of agent.

"Matie att Court. Present these:" and is endorfed in cipher by Sir Edward himfelf.

<sup>\*</sup> The words in Brackets are interlined in the handwriting of Nicholas.

"Right Honorable,

"The Clocks att Whitehall last night went Whitehall to late. The nighte was further spent than hind the they shewed. My Lo. Major was in his time.

" bedd before I came thither. Yet I spake

" wth him & delivered the Letter: this

"morning he will call the sheriffs to him &

"open it. This enclosed is a copie of the Antici-

"Order of the House weh was brought unto pated by deputation

"him by Alderman Pennington and Capta from Com-"Venn, who did much enlarge themselves in mons.

"discourse thereupon, intimating great feares,

"but kept themselves in such generall termes,

" as the Order is, that their meanings were not

" eafilie to be known. I was till One of the Past mid-

"clock aboute the Tower, and found all night at the

" places very well guarded, & the tumultuous Tower.

" rout dispersed. If the King upon fight of

"this Order shall direct anything otherwise

"than last night, my man shall attend to

" receive yor comaunds & bring it privatly

"to me. In the meanetime I shall this morn-

" ing purfue yesterday nighte's direction, and Any fur-"then attend you wih an Account of my pro-ther pri-

" ceedings who shall and [ever] remaine

"Yr humble fervant

"Strand 4th Jan. 1641."

" JOHN LATCHE."

Doubtless much was left unfaid in that letter, but what is faid leaves it sufficiently clear that the members for London had inagent's letter.

Inferences spired the Lord Mayor with a falutary general fear, which they were careful not to weaken by a too great explicitness. So the Court emiffary was fain to betake himself to the Tower, to see at least that the Guards were all duly fet and maintained about the great fortress. But why all this mystery and anxiety, why these untimely visits and alarms, if there were not expected to arife upon that January midnight a morning fraught with iffues for

good or ill of an unufual and important

Preparations for the morrow.

> Nor did it indeed fall short of such expectation. As much as any day in the long course of our varied and noble history, did this memorable day of the 4th of January, 1641-2, contribute to turn the balance of events in favor of popular freedom.

Memorable day.

nature?

## \$ XVII. MORNING OF THE 4TH OF JANUARY.

House of Commens: Falkland reports King's message.

IT was early in the morning when D'Ewes entered the House; but Lord Falkland had already reported the King's reply to their meffage of the preceding night, to the effect that he would fend an answer that morning before the House was set. Still the answer was delayed, and, shortly after, D'Ewes took his feat. Mr. Alexander Rigby, the member for Wigan, a lawyer of Gray's Inn who afterwards fat upon the trial of the King, then rose and

made fome fignificant comments on his Majesty's Motion as promised answer, in connection with certain mesfages which he alleged to have been fent round with Inns of Court. to the Inns of Court on the previous night, with copies of the articles of impeachment, and with injunctions to the gentlemen there "to be in " readiness this day to attend at Whitehall, "and to be ready at an hour's warning to "defend his Majesty's person." \* Mr. Rigby Four closed with a motion, which was adopted, members fent to the that four members of that House, also mem-Four Inns. bers of the Inns, should on the instant proceed thither, and afcertain the facts by personal inquiry.

Then, pursuant to the Order of the previous Grand day, the House turned itself into a Grand Commit-Committee; and Pym, with the articles of treason in his hand, arose. He read the charges

\* Harl. MSS. 162, f. 304 b. Ludlow has a characteristic The table anecdote and illustration in his Memoirs, (i. 21-22): "The at White- "King, finding that nothing less would satisfy the Parl than hall for "a thorow correction of what was amis, & full fecurity of gentlemen their rights from any violation for the future, considered of Inns of how to put a stop to their Proceedings: & to that end Court. "encouraged a great number of loose debauched fellows about the town to repair to Whitehall, where a constant table was provided for their entertainment. Many gentlemen of the Inns of Court were tamper'd with to assist him "in his defign, and things brought to that pass that one of them said publicly in my hearing—'What! shall we "'fuffer these fellows at Westminster to domineer thus? "Let us go into the country, and bring up our tenants to
"'pull them out.' Which words not being able to bear, A violent
'I questioned him for them; and he, either out of fear of young
"the public justice, or of my resemble, came to me the lawyer.
"next morning, and asked pardon for the same: which, by

" reason of his youth & want of experience, I passed by."

Pym replies to articles of treason.

fuccesfively, admitting frankly that they established treason if proved: but he so repeated them, to that eager and excited audience, as with the highest art of the orator to strike heavily against the Court itself with the very weapons aimed at the accused. "True, Mr. "Speaker," he said, "this present Parliament "hath adjudged it treason to endeavour to

Allusion to Strafford.

bringing

over the

army to

ment:

" fubvert the fundamental laws of the land." No one could mistake that allusion. "Sir, "it hath likewise been voted high treason to " attempt to introduce into this kingdom a " form of government arbitrary and tyrannical." In what particular feries of acts of State and of Council, such attempt consisted, the Remonstrance had lately spread and diffused all over the land. "Sir," he added, paufing at Charge of the third article which charged upon them the attempt to win over the King's Northern army to themselves, and so pointedly rewording it as the Parliato bring plainly before the House the recent proved conspiracy of the King's servants to overawe the deliberations of Parliament by means of that very army, "Sir, it is un-"doubtedly treason to raise an army to com-

Less treafonable army.

" pel any Parliament to make and enact laws than over- " without their free votes and willing proawing Par- " ceedings therein." A cry of stern satisfaction broke forth, as the orator fo proceeded through each of the charges of treason.

Then, still earnestly declaring that each, if

established, might well justify the last penalties of its high offence, with a fingular vividness he confronted it with the comment of the Compariparticular conduct in Parliament to which fons inalone, in his own case, it could possibly apply. With fevere simplicity he confined himself to the parallel in each instance, and he employed not an unnecessary phrase or word. Thus, as to the fecond article, he faid, that if by free vote to join with the Parliament in publishing a Remonstrance against delinquents in the Avows State; against incendiaries between his Majesty publicaand his kingdom; against ill-counsellors, who Remon-strance. labored to avert his Majesty's affection from Parliament; and against ill-affected Bishops for their innovations in religion, their oppreffion of painful, learned, and godly ministers, their vexatious fuits in their unjust courts, their cruel fentences of pillory and mutilation, their great fines, banishments, and perpetual Accepts imprisonments—if that were to cast aspersions the guilt and reupon his Majesty and his government, and to sponsibialienate the hearts of his loyal subjects, good lity. Protestants and well-affected in religion, from their due obedience to his Royal Majesty, then did he avow himself guilty of that article. If it were to levy arms against the King, he As to continued, to confent by vote with the Par-charge of levying liament to raise a Guard of Trained Bands to arms against fecure and defend the persons of the members King. thereof, being environed and befet with many

м 2

Apprehending delinquents.

defending

Christ's

doctrine

government.

dangers, then was he guilty also of that act of treason. And further, if it were to be a traitor, to agree with the chief Council of the State in apprehending and attaching as delinquents fuch persons as they knew to be disaffected to the King's crown and dignity, to his wife and great Council of Parliament, to the pure and Guilty of simple doctrine of Christ, to the true and orthodox government of the Church of England as established and confirmed by many and orthodoxchurch Acts of Parliament in the reigns of Henry, Edward, and Elizabeth Tudor, and of King James of bleffed memory, in that respect also he avowed himself to be guilty.

defired from the House.

" Well moved,"

and omition.

Then, in conclusion, having thus feparately contrasted, under the seven several heads of treason, his actions with the accusations against Judgment him, Pym craved of the House that it should further weigh both respectively in the even scales of its wisdom, and he doubted not of being found altogether clear of the crimes laid to his charge. He was resuming his seat amid loud shouts of "Well moved," "Well moved," when he stopped a moment, again advanced towards the Clerk's table, and, while a fudden filence fell upon the House, humbly craved Mr. Speaker's further patience to offer to his A further confideration, whether to exhibit articles of nous quef- treason by his Majesty's own hands in that House agreed with the rights and privileges thereof; and whether for an armed Guard to

beset the doors of the House during such Has not breach of accusation of any of the members thereof, privilege were not a grave breach of the privilege of com-Parliament? The last question had a pregnant mitted? meaning on the morning of this eventful day, but its full fignificance was still to come.

Upon Pym refuming his feat, Hollis, Hafel-Hollis, rig, and Strode rose afterwards in succession, and Strode and in the brief phrase of D'Ewes, "protested defend them innocency." Strode further declared selves. his belief that the Impeachment was not directed against them upon any supposition of Strode's speech. their being really guilty of the matters charged, but merely to compel their absence from debate; and he warned the House, that if, under pretence of trial, they were to be arrested and taken thence, they would never be proceeded against legally, but be simply by force cut off. Haselrig alone expressly avowed Haselrig's that he was conscious of that part of the charge on which the King folely relied for any vestige of evidence in proof of it. After declaring that anything in the nature of a hostile attack aimed against the privileges of Parliament, constituted one of the worst kinds of treason, or of attempts to subvert the fundamental laws, he averred that his acts, and those Haselrig's of the gentlemen with him, particularly with to Scottish reference to Scotland, had been in perfect ac-treason. cordance, upon every occasion, with votes and resolutions of that House; and that the charge

of promoting tumults and infurrection was utterly groundlefs.

Hampden fpeaks.

Hampden next arose. His speech was more striking; it was indeed fingularly impressive; and in the fragment afcertainable yet of what actually was faid by the member for Bucks, there is affuredly nothing that in any way confirms or countenances those manifest interpolations in the published speech attributed to him which led Mr. Southey to characterize it as an avowal of flavish obedience! It might, on the contrary, almost feem as though his tone were expressly assumed to render impossible any fuch imputation. As if, in a fingle fentence, he would anticipate and overthrow the whole miferable doctrine of Sir Robert Filmer and his followers, Hampden at once declared to the House, on rising, that he understood it to be the fign of an ill and a difloyal fubject, if a man should yield obedience to the commands loyal, fub- of a King when these were against the true religion and against the ancient and fundamental laws of the land; whereas a good and a loyal fubject was he, who, to a King commanding anything against God's true worship and religion, or against the ancient laws, denied obedience. One feems to hear that calm, clear voice, troubled and shaken with a passion to which it was unaccustomed, in this plain affer-

Ill and difloyal,

good and

jects.

Justifies resistance.

Unaccuftomed emotion.

But what, then, was the true religion?

tion of the doctrine of Refistance.

find it, said Hampden, in my Bible. "By Where "fearching the facred writings of the New looked " and Old Testament, we may prove whether for true "our religion be of God or no, and by look-religion. "ing in that glass discern whether we are in "the right way or no. In these two Testa-Thetwo "ments are contained all things necessary to Testa-" falvation; and then only is our religion true, "when that it doth hang upon this truth of "God, and no other fecondary means. Nearest The Pro-"thereunto cometh the Protestant religion, as Church "I really and verily believe; teaching us that true. "there is but one God, one Christ, one faith, "one religion, which is the Gospel of Christ "and the doctrine of His prophets and "apostles. That other religion, therefore, Bible alone which joineth with this doctrine of Church needful to "and His apostles the traditions and inven-falvation. "tions of men, strange and superstitious wor-" shipings, prayers to the Virgin Mary, to "angels, and to faints, cringing and bowing " and creeping to the altar, cannot, I fay, be "true, but is erroneous, nay devilish. All Traditions "which being used and maintained in the stitions "Church of Rome to be as necessary as the devilish. "Scripture to falvation, that Church is there-" fore a false and erroneous Church, both in The "doctrine and discipline—a false worshiping Church " of God, and not the true religion." Very folemn and memorable words to have

been spoken on such an occasion, containing in

#### Arrest of the Five Members.

A creed to live by and die for.

Hampden's

change of

bearing.

themselves, and promulgating for all, not merely a creed that men may live by, but a belief they will cheerfully die for. It is given to few among the fons of men to fee the future in the instant, but Hampden was of the few. His manner at this eventful time, too, gave added weight to his words, which appear less to have impressed the lighter members and Royalists, indeed, this particular day, than the fudden and decifive change in the look and tone of him who uttered them. The mildness had for ever passed away. A fixed and stern refolution had replaced the old conciliatory bearing, and now truly might his enemies fee, what Sir Philip Warwick tells us the fcurf

his character revealed.

Secrets of commonly on his face showed plainly enough,\* that beneath the quiet and feeming passionless felf-control which he was able ordinarily to assume, lay a very sharp and acrimonious temper of the blood.

Waiting his time.

They might have discovered or suspected it before. If Hampden had not until now assumed this uncompromising tone, if he had not earlier spoken thus, it was simply that before now the need had not shown itself, and the time for fo speaking had not come. Clarendon charges him with begetting many notions the education of which he committed to

Charges by Hyde and D'Ewes.

<sup>\*</sup> In speaking of his death at Chalgrove. The hurt, Sir Philip fays, was not in itself mortal; but it was rendered so by the acrimonious condition of his blood, "as the scurfe " commonly on his face shewed."-Memoirs, 239.

other men, and with leaving his own opinions with those from whom he pretended to learn and receive them.\* D'Ewes attributes to him "Serpentine suba "ferpentine fubtlety" which brought any-tlety." thing to pass that he desired, and "did still put "others to move those businesses that himself Imperfect "contrived." But these, as on a former and prejuoccasion has been pointed out, are the im-diced perfect and prejudiced judgments of a character ments. whose very strength of self-reliance, self-containment, and filence, invited that kind of misconstruction. Upon no man of this great period, I would repeat, are fo unmistakeably impressed the qualities which set apart the high-bred English gentleman, calm, courteous, What Hampden reticent, self-possessed; yet with a persuasive was. force fo irrefistible, and a will and energy fo indomitable, lying in those silent depths, that all who came within their reach came also under their control.

These are qualities which no craft however dexterous, and no fubtlety the most serpentine, can in any manner or degree supply. When Clarendon, after taxing even his ingenuity to Admirdraw a bill of indictment against Hampden, sions of Clarenends by speaking of him as not only a very don. wife man and of great parts, I and who laid his defigns deepest, but who had a great fagacity

<sup>\*</sup> Hist. iv. 92-93. + Harl. MSS. 163, f. 691 b.

<sup>1</sup> Hift. iv. 91.

Highest power of Ítatesman ship.

in discerning men's natures and manners, and was possessed with the most absolute spirit of popularity, that is, the most absolute faculties to govern the people, of any man he ever knew; \* he assigns to him the highest form of power a statesman can possess. The richest gifts are wasted in that direction, wanting this. To make the spoils of differing intellects its own, to draw strength from the weaknesses of men, to assimilate the most varied experiences, to render every mind it touches tributary, is to have that which the utmost accomplishment in eloquence, in learning, or in public affairs will fail to give, and which constitutes pre-eminently

A leader and govera leader and governor of men.

nor of men.

Nor was it that any less supreme temper, or inferior felf-command, had appeared in Hampden as he repelled the King's charge of treason, but simply that what before was not called for had become necessary now, and as the occasion rose he rose along with it. After the accusation of Treason, says the his-

Change in torian of the Rebellion, Mr. Hampden was Pym as much altered; his nature and carriage † feeming well as

Equal to anything.

<sup>\*</sup> Hist. iv. 91-92. Again (ii. 15) he says of him: "He " hath been mentioned before as a man of great understanding " and parts, and of great fagacity in difcerning men's natures "and manners; and he must upon all occasions still be mentioned as a person of great dexterity and abilities, and equal to any trust or employment, good or bad, which he was inclined to undertake."

<sup>†</sup> This is undoubtedly Clarendon's word, though Mr. Hallam strangely misquotes it as "courage." Const. Hist. ii. 127.

much fiercer than before. So also did he say Hampden after accuof Hampden's friend and fellow-labourer Pym. fation of
From the time, too, of his being accused of treason.
high treason by the King, he never entertained
thoughts of moderation, but always opposed all overtures of peace and accommodation.\*
They both saw, what men of such sagacity could now hardly fail to see, that the armed struggle was at hand, that it must be fought out to its
last issue, and that when, in defence of the Law
and Religion they so prized, the sword was once drawn, the scabbard must be flung away.

No compromise possible.

And fo, to the close of what yet remained of the lives they had given up freely to their country, these great men went in perfect harmony A memotogether. They shared the same beliefs and rable friend-purposes, the same hopes and resolves, the ship same enemies and friends, in common to the end. Nor was it otherwise than well, remarked Remark Hampden to Hyde when they next met in the House after the incidents of this 4th of January, that himself and Pym should hereafter Advanknow who were their friends. The trouble knowing which had befallen them had at least been one's friends. "very snappishly" adds Mr. Hyde (an expression that reveals himself if it fails to exhibit

<sup>\*</sup> Hist. iv. 441. In another passage he says of Pym that Pym "though in private designing he was much governed by Mr. greatest in "Hampden, yet he seemed to all men to have the greatest House of "influence upon the House of Commons of any man." Commons. iv. 438.

Confe-

a fcan-

dalous

paper.

Mr. Hampden), that he well knew Mr. Hyde had a mind they should both be in prison.\*

Such, however, was not the mind of the House of Commons. Undaunted amid the perils that furrounded them, they at once rerence with folved, upon the last of the accused members the Lords demanded refuming his feat, to defire a conference with the Lords to acquaint them that a scandalous paper had been published, and to require their help in instituting inquiry who were the authors and publishers of the faid scandalous paper, Impeachment denounced as to the end that they might receive condign punishment, and the Commonwealth be fecured against such persons. The scandalous paper was the Articles of Impeachment which the King had published by the hands of his Attorney-General.

Hampden and Pyin as to " difcre-Mr. Hyde.

"Snappishness " of Mr.

\* This anecdote is in Hyde's Life, (i. 103), and his mode of telling it is still to mix up with it a purposed and deliberate mifrepresentation of the real matter in iffue. "Though "they," he says, referring to Hampden and Pym, "had a "better opinion of his discretion than to believe he had any " share in the advice of the late proceedings, yet they were "very willing that others should believe it; and made all the "difere- "infusions they could to that purpose amongst those who took tion" of "their opinions from them: towards which his known friend-"fhip with the Lord Digby was an argument very prevalent: "and then his opposing the votes upon their privilege had "inflamed them beyond their temper; insomuch as Mr. "Hampden told him one day, that the trouble that had "lately befallen them had been attended with that benefit, "that they knew who were their friends: and the other " offering to speak upon the point of privilege, and how "monstrous a thing it was to make a vote so contrary to the "known law, he replied very snappishly, 'that he well knew
"he had a mind they should be all in prison;' and so
departed without staying for an answer."

Hampden might Hampden, well turn upon his heel and move filently away, for reasons far other than those imputed to him.

Another object of the Conference (of which Fiennes, Glyn, the younger Vane, and Hotham were named managers), D'Ewes adds, was to call immediate attention to the King's Guard at Whitehall, as not the less also "a breach of our privilege," and interruption The Whitehall to the freedom of debate. This is the first Guard an hint he gives of any immediate alarm; and interrupthough there is little doubt, as will shortly ap-debate. pear, that Pym had received notice the previous night of some specific and violent design in contemplation, he was not, as it would feem, made aware of the King's resolve to take part in it himself.\* Clarendon speaks of a composedness appearing, during the events of this Comremarkable day, in the countenances of many of the who used to be disturbed at less surprising leaders of the Comoccurrences; and this doubtless was an indica-mons. tion that the House generally had been placed upon its guard. But its forced calmness was put to fevere tests. "It was now generally "declared," fays D'Ewes, "that there was a " great confluence of armed men about White- Gather-"hall, and that between thirty and forty armed " canoneers went yesternight into the Tower men near the House. " at ten of the clock. Also that the Hamlet "men, who were to be ordinary warders

"there, had no arms given them: but that the Bishops' men were well armed. † Mr.

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. ii. 128. † Harl. MSS. 162, f. 304 b. Ten of my Lords the

Pym moves a deputation to City.

"Pym moved that we might fend notice of "these several informations and dangers into "the city, to the Lord Mayor, Aldermen, " and Common Council there affembled, and " to let them know in what danger the Par-" liament was: all which was ordered accord-"ingly." \* And, for execution of the order, Alderman Sir Thomas Soame was joined to the two members, Pennington and Ven, who had fo ably discharged themselves of the message of the House on the preceding day; "and they were," fays D'Ewes, " fent instantly away into the City." In fuch haste, indeed, that a material point was forgotten. "After they were gone "out, Mr. Peard" (the same who moved the printing of the Remonstrance) " was fent after "them, to require them to let no man know

Deputation departs.

No man to know its errand.

preparing in Whitehall took more and more palpable shape. "Mr. Nathaniel Fiennes and "others," fays D'Ewes, "moved that some Alarm ftill in-" members of this House might be fent to creasing.

" observe what numbers of armed men were " about Whitehall, and to know by what au-"thority they were affembled there: but this

"their errand till they came into the City."

should be done, as the rumour of what was

Still there were members anxious that more

" order was not fully agreed upon, when we " adjourned the House, about 12 of the clock,

Adjournment for an hour.

> Bishops, it will be remembered, were at this time lodged, with of course all due attendance, in the Tower. \* Harleian MSS. 162, f. 305 b.

"till one of the clock in the afternoon—for an hour's space."

#### § XVIII. BETRAYAL OF THE SECRET.

Momentous was the hour during which A mothe House thus adjourned its sitting, for within interval. that brief space all the King's intention was betrayed. Up to the time of the adjournment, grave as were the causes of alarm, and the grounds for expecting some act of violence, the circumstance which gave its utmost gravity to the outrage contemplated does not appear to have been in any degree suspected even remotely. But now it was that Lady Carlisle Lady Carmanaged to convey to Pym that the King lifle bemeant to put himself at the head of those to Pym. Whitehall desperadoes, and in person to demand, and if necessary seize, the accused members as they fat in their places in the House of Commons. D'Ewes tells us that, "this day at "dinner," the five members also received a fecret communication of the King's intention Private from the Lord Chamberlain of the household, message from Lord Lord Effex, with advice that they should Effex. absent themselves.

Nevertheless that does not appear to have been their first intention. The Speaker re-House refumed his chair, says D'Ewes, between one and half-past two o'clock, and the four selected members who, one.

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 306 b.

by order of the House in the morning, had been dispatched to the Inns of Court, rose and made Report brief report of their mission. Mr. Richard from Inns Brown, of Lincoln's Inn, the member for of Court. Romney, stated "that he had done the mes-" fage of the House to the gentlemen of that " fociety, whose answer was, that they had at Lincoln's Inn. "first gone to the Court last week only upon " occasion of a report brought to them that "the King's person was in danger: That " yesternight they had received a message from "his Majesty by Sir Wm. Killigrew and Sir " Wm. Fleming, that they should keep within "this day, and be ready at an hour's warning King's message to " if his Majesty should have occasion to use be in readiness "them: That they brought likewise a paper this day. " of articles to them, by which the Lord " Mandeville and five members of the House " of Commons were accused of High Treason: "That they had only an intent to defend the "King's person, and would likewise to their Asprompt " uttermost also defend the Parliament, being in loyalty " not able to make any distinction between to Commons. "King and Parliament: And that they would " ever express all true affection to the House " of Commons in particular." Mr. William Same from Ellis, of Gray's Inn, the member for Boston, Gray's next rose, and "made the like relation" from Inn. that fociety. So, from the Inner Temple, did Mr. Roger Hill, member for Bridport, and who From Inner Temfat afterwards in judgment on the King. And ple.

so, finally, did Mr. Philip Smith, member for and from Marlborough, report from the Middle Temple; Middle Temple. with the difference that this Society fent their reply in writing, and defired it should be added that their intention to defend the King's person was no more than they were thereunto bound by the oaths of allegiance and fupremacy. "With which feveral answers from the Inns " of Court," D'Ewes adds, the House rested The exceedingly well fatisfied. tiffied.

House sa-

Then rose Nathaniel Fiennes, and, in proof that the royal messages to the learned societies just related were but part of a scheme which was under the fame direction, and which depended for its execution on the armed affemblages in Armed the vicinity of the House, "made relation crowds gathering "that he had been at Whitehall, and had asked nearer. " of one of the officers by what authority they " were there affembled, who answered that they " were commanded to obey Sir Wm Fleming "in all things that he should enjoin them." The member for Banbury was still speaking Re-enwhen Pym, Hampden, Hollis, Haselrig, and trance of the Five Strode entered and took their feats, where-Members. upon the Speaker directed it to be entered in the Journals that they had done fo.\*

Communication was now made to the The Secret House of the secret intelligence received, and disclosed to the then followed a debate, brief and pressing, but House.

<sup>\*</sup> See Commons' Journals, ii. 368, where the entry still stands.

accused retire or remain?

A new actor on

the scene.

on which hung certain issues by which the future destinies of England were probably de-Should the termined. Should the accused retire, or wait the King's arrival? Pym, Hollis, and Hampden, conscious of all the danger, appear to have been for quitting the House, Haselrig and Strode for remaining; and the dffentients were still urging reasons against retreat while yet, as they argued, no positive knowledge was before them of a necessity for abrupt departure, when a new actor came fuddenly on the scene. Breathless with the exertion he had made to reach the House rapidly, to which end he had even clambered over the roofs of neighbouring buildings, \*there appeared at the door a friend of Nathaniel Fiennes, an officer of French birth fettled in England, by name Captain Hercule Langres. Fiennes left his feat, exchanged some hasty words with the unexpected

Lenthal announces King's approach.

visitor, and immediately passed up to Mr. Speaker's chair: upon which Lenthal rose and abruptly told the House, now a scene of extraordinary excitement, that the King already had left Whitehall at the head of a large company of armed men, and was approaching Westminster Hall.

Chronicler Heath.

\* Harl. MSS. 162, f. 310 b. Heath fays (Brief Chronicle, p. 39) that Langres was a servant of the Queen. He declares also that the accused members were not able to get into the City on the night of the attempted arrest, such was the excitement prevailing; and that they lay hid all that night in the King's Bench Court, and did not find refuge in the City till next day. But nothing that Heath fays is worthy of credit unless well corroborated by better testimony.

This closed debate. The motion before the Leave to Five House had been, that, considering there was an Members intention to remove five of their members by to absent themforce, to avoid all tumult let them be com-felves. manded to absent themselves: but the motion now substituted, and at once affirmed, was that the House give their members leave to absent themselves, but enter no order for it. "It was "a question," Haselrig afterwards said, "if we " should be gone; but the debate was shortened, " and it was thought fit for us, in discretion, "to withdraw. Away we went. The King Away to " immediately came in, and was in the House the City by water. " before we got to the water. " Not, however, until violence had been used. For, even then, Strode, "crying out that he knew himself "to be innocent, and that he would stay in the "House though he sealed his innocency with Strode re-"his blood at the door," thad to be dragged fifts, and is dragged bodily out by his friend Sir Walter Earle, and out. placed in the barge which had been hastily provided, and was in waiting at the Westminster stairs.

# § XIX. THE KING'S APPROACH TO THE House.

MEANWHILE Charles and his companions The had well-nigh reached the lobby of the House attendants.

In the declaration of breach of privilege

<sup>\*</sup> Burton's Diary, iii. 93. + Harl. MSS. 162, f. 306 b.

As to their fubsequently issued, it is stated that the number number and arms: of armed men who accompanied the King was five hundred: nor does the King, in his reply, dispute this, though he alleges that his own attendants were no otherwise armed than as gentlemen with swords. The remark pointed only to his immediate Guard and Pensioners; but nothing was afterwards more distinctly proved than that the bulk of the force who followed carried fire-arms as well. Here are the witnesses.

Testimony of Sir Ralph Verney:

of Rushworth:

distinction between the royal guard of penfioners and halberdiers, and the miscellaneous company who followed, and who constituted the famous (or infamous) Whitehall Guard, of commanders, Reformadoes,† and soldiers of fortune.‡ Ludlow, who might himself have been (and probably was) an eye-witness, says that Charles went attended not only with his ordinary guard of pensioners, but also with those desperadoes that for some time he had entertained at Whitehall, to the number of

Sir Ralph Verney states, that, beside his

usual Guard and all his Pensioners, his Majesty

was attended by two or three hundred foldiers and gentlemen.\* Rushworth makes the same

low:

of Lud-

of Thomas May: fwords, and pistols. May, also a good au-

Reformadoes.

‡ Hist. Coll. part III. i. 477.

§ Memoirs, i. 24.

<sup>\*</sup> Notes, p. 138. † A Reformado was an officer of a company disbanded, but whose own services had been retained as still belonging to the regiment of which his company had formed part.

thority, puts down "the gentlemen foldiers " and others armed with fwords and piftols" who were in immediate attendance on the King, at the number of about three hundred.\* The wife of Colonel Hutchinson, implicitly to of Mrs. be trusted as a witness, vouches likewise for the Hutchinnumbers that attended Charles as not less than four hundred armed gentlemen and foldiers.+ D'Ewes, who shows the reverse of any wish and of to exaggerate the circumstances, describes the D'Ewes. attendant company as composed of "fome offi-" cers who ferved in his Majesty's late army and " fome other loose persons, to the number of " about some four hundred." TYet Clarendon, Clarendon writing at a time when he had little need to dicts all: fear contradiction, has the inconceivable affurance to ask even his readers to believe, that it was "visible to all men that the King had only relating what was "with him his Guard of halberdiers, and fewer "visible" " of them than used to go with him upon to all." " any ordinary motion; and that fewer of his " gentlemen fervants were then with him, than " usually attended him when he went but to "walk in the park, and had only their little " fwords!" §

But let us further hear Captain Slingsby on this point, which goes indeed to the root of Slingsby's the matter. Writing to Pennington on the Penning-

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. lib ii. cap. ii. 21. + Col. Hutchinfon's Memoirs, 76. ‡ Harl. MSS. 162, f. 306 a. § Hift. ii. 137-138.

ton: 6th January.

Armed guards at White-

hall.

6th of January,\* the fecond day after the attempted arrest, he makes special mention of "the multitude of gentry and foldiers that had " lately flocked to the Court." Never in his life, he remarks, had he feen it so thronged as it then was: and the effect had been to fuch an extent to terrify the Citizens, that they no longer appeared about Whitehall, from apprehension of the rough entertainment they were like to receive if they came again. But, he fays, after thus describing the armed crowds in the King's palace, there had fuddenly arisen something to trouble of breed expectation of troubles far transcending anything caused by the Westminster Hall tumults; and then, he continues, "all partes " of the Court being thronged with gentlemen

Terror and the Citi-

zens.

Slingfby describes impeachment:

fest error, the 6th of December. It opens with the subjoined account of the articles of impeachment, as handed in the preceding day. "On Monday last the King's Attorney "did impeach the Lord Mandevill, and Mstra Pim, Hollis, "Strowd, Hamden, & ST Arthur Hastrigge, of High Treason, "in the Upper House. The summe of the articles were sub-"verting the fundamentall lawes, placing subjects in arbitrary & tirannicall government, calling in a forraigne army, "endeavouring to draw the King's army from his obedience, depriving the King of his royall power, laying fals afperfions against the King to make him odious, countenancing "tumults against the King & Parliament, forcing the Parlia-"ment by terror to joyne with them, subverting the rights "& very being of Parliaments, practising to rayse warre & actually raysing warr against the King: This charge was " fent downe to the Comons house, who received it with the " tearme of a scandalous paper. A Serieant-at-Armes sent "likewise to attach them, but was refused. Their clossets by the King's comaund sealed up, but the same night, by

" order from the House, opened againe: the next day some of

"them, notwithstanding their impeachment, came and satt in

\* MS. State Paper Office. The letter is dated, in mani-

members? fitting in House notwithstanding.

" the House."

"and officers of the army, in the afternoone
"the King WENT WITH THEM ALL, his own Slingfby
"Guard, and the Penfioners:" expreffly one of the
King's
adding that by far the most part, among company.
whom he then and there had taken his own
place, were "arm'd with fwords and pistolls."
Such was Hyde's innocent party, and their How innoharmless accoutrement, when they set out on cently
armed.
this famous expedition!

Peaceful and innocent as they were, however, with their "little fwords," as Mr. Hyde ingenuously describes them, in their brief journey from Whitehall they had managed to Difmay carry difmay at every step; and, as they neared at their approach. Westminster Hall, D'Ewes tell us, "it struck " fuch a fear and terrour into all those that "kept shops in the said Hall, or near the "gate thereof, as they instantly shut up their Shops shut " shops, looking for nothing but bloodshed up. " and desolation." Having reached the gate, the armed band formed fuddenly into a lane, ranging themselves on either side along the whole length of the Hall; and Charles, The King passing through this lane, and entering the door passes through at the fouth-east angle, ascended the stairs Westmininto the Commons' House. His armed company closed up, and as many as could press in crowded after him. The King's command had been, according to Sir Ralph Verney and

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 310 a.

Lobby of House of Commons fuddenly filled.

Captain Slingsby, himself one of the company, that the great body should stay in the Hall; but, fays D'Ewes, "his Majesty coming into "the lobby, a little room just without the "House of Commons, divers officers of the " late army in the North, and other desperate

Armed men still press from without.

" ruffians, pressed in after him to the number " of about four score, besides some of his quite bears out D'Ewes. "When," he writes, † "we came into Westminster Hall, weh was "thronged with the number, the King com-

Charles enters the House

" manded us all to flay there; and himselfe, "with a small trayne, went into the House of

"Commons, where never King was (as they never king "fay), but once King Henry the Eight."

once.

where

### § XX. THE HOUSE ENTERED BY THE KING.

WITHIN the House, meanwhile, but a few minutes had elapsed fince the Five Members departed, and Mr. Speaker had received instruction to sit still with the mace lying before him, when a loud knock threw open the door, a rush of armed men was heard, and above it (as we learn from Sir Ralph Verney) the voice of the King commanding "upon their "lives not to come in." The moment after, followed only by his nephew Charles, the Prince

Voice of Charles heard as he enters.

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 306 b.

<sup>†</sup> MS. State Paper Office. Slingsby to Pennington, 6 Jan. 1641-2. 1 Notes, p. 139.

Elector Palatine, Rupert's eldest brother, he entered; but the door was not permitted to be closed behind him. Visible now at the threshold, Armed to all, were the officers and desperadoes above visible named, of whom, D'Ewes proceeds, "fome had outfide. " left their cloaks in the Hall, and most of them "were armed with piftols and fwords, and "they forcibly kept the door of the House of Door kept "Commons open, one Captain Hide \* stand-forcibly open. " ing next the door holding his fword upright "in the scabbard:" † a picture which Sir Ralph Verney, also present that day in his place, completes by adding that "fo the "doors were kept open, and the Earl of Captain "Roxborough stood within the door, leaning Lord Rox-" upon it." I borough.

As the King entered, all the members rose

\* This Captain Hide, who thus, holding his fword upright Captain in its scabbard, signified his and its readiness that day for any Hide: desperate deed, was the same David Hide, "a Reformado in the "late army against the Scots and now appointed to go in some "command into Ireland" (Rushworth, part iii. vol. i. 463), who, upon that disstrous day of the Lunssford tumults which had its appropriate issue in the first blood shed in this Great Civil War (that of Sir Richard Wiseman, a London Citizen, mortally hurt on the 27th December), took a leading part in the Prominent consist in Westminster Hall, "bussled" against the Citizen in West-apprentices whom the hot Westmarth of Archbishop Williams minster had especially provoked, and, drawing his sword with an oath, tumults: said "he'd cut the throats of those Round-headed Dogs that "bawled against Bishops:" which passionate expressions of his, Rushworth remarks, "as far as I could ever learn, was the "first miniting" [minting, or coinage] "of that term or "compellation of Roundheads which afterwards grew so "general." (See ante, 63, 137). Hide was afterwards Cashiered cashiered from his Irish command by the House, but he and re-reappeared in Merrick's Regiment during the Civil War.— appointed. See Rushworth, iii. 1247.

† Harl. MSS. 162, f. 307 a.

‡ Notes, p. 139.

Members rife and uncover.

and uncovered, and the King also removed his hat; and it would not have been easy, says Rushworth, to discern any of the five members, had they been there, among so many have faces standing up together. But there

A crowd of bare faces.

bers, had they been there, among to many bare faces standing up together. But there was One face, among the Five, which Charles knew too well not to have singled out even there; and hardly had he appeared within the chamber, when it was observed that his glance and his step were turned in the direction of Pym's seat close by the Bar. His intention, bassled by the absence of the popular leader,

Charles turns to a wellknown feat:

misses Mr. Pym:

can only now be gueffed at: but, Rushworth adds, "his Majesty, not seeing Mr. Pym there, "knowing him well, went up to the chair."\* We all, says D'Ewes, stood up and uncovered our heads, and the Speaker stood up just before his chair. "His Majesty, as he came "up along the House, came the most part of

passes up to Speaker's chair:

"the way uncovered, also bowing to either fide of the House, and we all bowed again towards him, and so he went to the Speaker's chair on the left hand of it, coming up close by the place where I sat, between the fouth end of the Clerk's table and me."† As he approached the chair, Lenthal stepped out to meet him; upon which "he first spake," says D'Ewes, saying, "Mr. Speaker, I must for a time make bold with your chair."

close by D'Ewes's feat.

Stands on step of Lenthal's chair.

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. Coll. III. i. 477. + Harl. MSS. 162, f. 306 a.

And then the King stepped up to his place Looks and stood upon the step, but sat not down in fore he the chair. And after he had looked a great speaks.

while, he spoke again.

A break here occurs in the narrative of Break in D'Ewes. His relation for a while is inter-tive of rupted; and a note afterwards written, D'Ewes. and fubftituted for it, refers us to what was "taken in characters by the Clerk's " affiftant." Perhaps the only person One unwholly quiet and unmoved during the moved fpectator extraordinary scene, unless it were that of the scene. most impassive of note-takers, Sir Simonds himself, was this lately appointed Clerk's assistant, young Mr. Rushworth, who was Young Mr. Rush-observed, as he sat at the Clerk's table, worth. bufily taking down the words of the King, as they broke upon the fullen and "awe-"full" filence. His report, drawn out in His report the evening by command of the King, and defeription who had noticed him writing at the fent for by table, was published in a broadside next King. morning, and D'Ewes, finding the King's words therein more exactly given than by himself, makes a reference in his Journal to those parts of it; but his Majesty had Important directed an omission which D'Ewes is corrections careful to supply in his own record, and therein. only a portion of which (the words spoken by Lenthal) we find Rushworth to have appended in after years to the account

Copy fo corrected in State Paper Office:

a help to more vivid reproduction of the fcene.

preserved in his Collections.\* But, in addition to what is fo fupplied by the manuscript Journal of D'Ewes, I have been fortunate enough to find, in the State Paper Office, what appears to be the original copy of Rushworth's report of what was faid by the King, as taken during the evening to the palace and corrected by Charles; and, though the corrections, trivial in themselves, serve chiefly to show the accuracy with which Rushworth had taken his notes, the erafures yet enable us exactly to mark the characteristic breaks that occurred, and more vividly to reproduce the actual fcene. +

The King's speech to

"Gentlemen," faid Charles, "I am forry " for this occasion of coming unto you. Yesthe House. " terday I sent a Serjeant-at-Arms upon a very

"important occasion to apprehend some that

"by my command were accused of High

"Treason; whereunto I did expect obedience,

\* Hist. Coll. III. i. 477-8.

† I subjoin an accurate copy of the portions in which the material corrections or erafures occur, with the latter printed in facfimile:

that albeit

Rushworth's report of the speech, corrected by Charles.

I must declare unto you here, noe king that ever was in England, shall bee more Carefull (of yor priviledges) nor

mentaine them to the uttermost of his power then I shall be doe. Yet you must know yt in Cases of Treason noe person hath a priviledge. And therefore I am come to

"and not a message. And I must declare Expects

"unto you here, that albeit no King that traitors to be de.

"ever was in England shall be more careful livered up

" of your privileges, to maintain them to the to him.

"uttermost of his power, than I shall be,

" yet you must know that in cases of Treason.

know, if any of those persons that were accused are here.

Then casting his eyes uppen all the Members in the House Erasure by faid, I doe not see any of them; I thinke I should know them.

For I must tell you Gent" that soe long as those persons that I have accused (for noe slight crime, but for Treason) are here, I cannot expect that this House can bee in the right way, that I doe heartily wish it: Therefore I am come to tell you, that I must have them, wheresoever I finde them.

Then His Matte faid is Mr. Pym here? to weh noe Body Enquiry gave and veare.

also erased.

Well, fince I fee all my Birds are flowen I doe expect from you, that you shall fend them unto mee as soone as they but asseure returne hither: I must tell you in the word of a king I never did intend any force, but shall proceed agt them in a legall & faire way; for I never intended any other.

And now fince I fee I cannot doe what I came for. I thinke this is noe unfitt occasion to Repeat what I have said formerly that whatsoever I have done in favour, and to the good of my fubjects I do meane to mentaine it.

Are the Five Members in the House?

"I am come to know if any of these persons that were accused are here."

Then he paused; and casting his eyes upon all the members in the House, said "I do not see

No reply. "any of them. I think I should know them."

Nothing will be well till accufed are furrendered. "For I must tell you, Gentlemen," he resumed after another pause, "that so long as those persons that I have accused (for no stilight crime, but for Treason) are here, I

"cannot expect that this House will be in the "right way that I do heartly wish it. There-

" fore I am come to tell you that I must

Must have "have them, wheresoever I find them."

Then again he hefitated, ftopped: and called out, "Is Mr. Pym here?" To which nobody gave answer.

Painful hesitation and essort. The awkwardness and effort manifest in these pauses and interruptions, the words that again and again recur, the needless and bald repetitions, in which we seem to hear the slow and laboured utterance with which Charles covered his natural impediment of speech, impress the imagination painfully.

Addition fupplied by D'Ewes:

All the breaks and pauses, however, were omitted in the report directed to be published; and D'Ewes, surmising that not only such omissions had been made by the King's order, but also all mention of the reply given upon Charles's appeal to the Speaker, is careful to restore what was wanting. "But

"the King caused all that to be left out, confirma-"namely, when he asked for Mr. Pym, tion of report as "whether he were present or not, and when corrected by the "there followed a general filence, that nobody King. "would answer him. He then asked for Mr. "Hollis whether he were present, and when Enquiries "nobody answered him, he pressed the Speaker and " to tell him, who, kneeling down, did very Hollis. "wifely defire his Majesty to pardon him, " faying that he could neither fee nor fpeak Reply. " but by command of the House: to which the "King answered, 'Well, well! 'tis no matter. "I think my eyes are as good as another's.' Looking for them And then he looked round about the House himself. " a pretty while, to fee if he could espie any " of them." \* Very welcome are all fuch additional touches to a picture fo memorable.

"May it please your Majesty," said Len-Speaker thal, to the appeal that he should say where speech. Pym was (for, as Rushworth himself, when he published his Collections, inserted his own report of the discreet speech of Mr. Speaker, and as the good Sir Simonds, had he lived to fee it, would certainly have copied it in his Journal, it will here be most properly appended to an account which first gives to it all its fignificance), "I have neither eyes to fee nor No "tongue to speak in this place, but as the eyes or tongue " House is pleased to direct me, whose servant but as the

fervant.

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 306 a.

"I am here; and I humbly beg your Ma-" jesty's pardon that I cannot give any other "answer than this to what your Majesty is " pleased to demand of me." Words conceived indeed with a fingular prudence. Im-Extraordi- pressed deeply by the attitude of the House, and nary inspired suddenly by the trust confided to him, peech for an ordia man little famous for magnanimity or courage nary man. displayed both for the moment in a remarkable degree, and rose to the occasion as greatly as the King fank beneath it. But forrow and fuffering are wifer teachers than anger and revenge. There was yet to come a day in Another Charles's life, when he too would rife to the demand of the time; when his natural in-

greater but like example.

" Dreadful" filence.

After that long paufe described by D'Ewes, the dreadful filence, as one member called it, Charles spoke again to the crowd of mute and fullen faces. The complete failure of The King his scheme was now accomplished, and all its possible consequences, all the suspicions and retaliations to which it had laid him open,

firmities would be visible no longer; and when men should wonder to behold, in one so infirm of purpose and difficult of speech, both unem-

barraffed accents and a refolute will.\*

conscious of his failure.

Charles the First's speech at his trial.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;He had," fays William Lilly, "a natural imperfection " in his speech: at some times could hardly get out a word: "yet at other times he would speak freely and articulately, as at the first time of his coming before the High Court of Justice, where casually I heard him; there he stammered "nothing at all, but spoke very distinctly, with much courage and magnanimity."—Monarchy or no Monarchy.

appear to have rushed upon his mind. "Well, His birds "fince I fee all my \* birds are flown, I do flown. "expect from you that you will fend them "unto me as foon as they return hither. "But, I assure you, on the word of a King, "I never did intend any force, but shall pro- Protests he "ceed against them in a legal and fair way, never in-" for I never meant any other. And now, force. "fince I see I cannot do what I came for, I "think this no unfit occasion to repeat what "I have faid formerly, that whatfoever I have Means to "done in favour, and to the good, of my the con-"fubjects, I do mean to maintain it. I will cessions he has made. "trouble you no more, but tell you I do "expect, as foon as they come to the House, Expects the Five you will send them to me; otherwise I must will be "take my own course to find them." To fent to that closing sentence, the note left by Sir Ralph Verney makes a not unimportant addition, which, however, appears nowhere in Rushworth's report. "For their treason was foul, Declares their "and fuch an one as they would all thank treason "him to discover." | If uttered, it was an foul. escape of angry affertion from amid forced and laboured apologies, and fo far would agree with what D'Ewes observed of his change of manner at the time: "After he had ended "his speech, he went out of the House in a Leaves the " more discontented and angry passion than he House

+ Verney's Notes, p. 139.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;My" in Rushworth's original note: "the" substituted by Charles.

in anger:

"came in, going out again between myself and the south end of the Clerk's table, and the Prince Elector after him."\*

Captain Slingsby's narrative of the incident.

\* Harl. MSS. 162, f. 306 a. I will here add Capt. Slingsby's account, written the next day but one, but for which of course he must have been indebted to some Royalist members of the House, as he had himself remained outside the lobby. "He came very unexpectedly, and at "first coming in, comaunded the Speaker to come out "of his chayre, and satt downe in it himselfe, asking divers "times whether those traytours were there, but had no "answere: but at last an excuse, that by ye orders of "the House they might not speake when there Speaker was

Silence of the House explained.

"out of his chayre. The King then ask the Speaker, who "excused himselfe, that he might not speake but what the "House gave order to him to say: whereuppon the King "replied it was no matter, for he knew them, if he saw "them. And after he had viewed them all, he made a "speeche to them very maiestically, declaring his resolution

Determined to have the accused.

"to HAVE THEM though they were then absent: promising "not to infringe any of their libertyes of parlament, but "comaunding them to send the traytours to him if they came "there againe. And after his coming out he gave order to the "Sarieant att Armes to find them out; and attach them. "Before the Kinge's coming the House were very high and

House had fent to City for 4000 men.

"Before the Kinge's coming, the House were very high, and as I was informed, sent to the Cittie for sower thousand men to be presently sent downe to them for their Guard. But none came, all the Cittie being terribly amazed wth that unexpected charge of those persons: shoppes all shutt, many of weh doe still continue soe. They lykewise sent to

Shops all shut.

"many of wear doe full continue foe. I hey lykewile lent to
"the trayned bandes, in the Court of Guard before White"hall, to comaund them to difband but they stayed still,
"After the Kinge had beene in the House, there was no more
"spoke, but only to adjorne till the next day."—MS. State
Paper Office. Captain Slingsby to Admiral Pennington, 6th
January, 1641-2. To which may be added an extract

Bere to Pennington: 6th Jan. 1641-2. Paper Office. Captain Slingsby to Admiral Pennington, 6th January, 1641-2. To which may be added an extract from a letter, also in the National Collection, written on the same 6th of January by Under Secretary Bere, enclosing Rushworth's report of the King's speech to the Admiral. "On Monday last, the King's Attorney accused 5 of the "Lower House & one of the Upper of High Treason as you will see by the Articles of accusation herewth."

"In consequence of weh a Sergt of Armes was sent to demand them, but ye House taking time to consider of it, & having fent a message instead of the delivery, His Matie went the next day himselse in person to ye Commons House to demand

"them, as you will fee by the inclosed speech. But it seemes

But he did not leave, as he had entered, in but not amid filence. Low mutterings of fierce discontent filence. broke out as he passed along, and "many "members cried out aloud, so as he might "Privilege! Privilege!" With vilege! rithose words, ominous of ill, ringing in his ear, after him. he repassed to his palace through the lane, again formed, of his armed adherents, and amid passed adherents audible shouts of as evil augury from described files of peradoes disappointed of their prey. Eagerly in that lobby had the word been waited for, which must have been the prelude to a terrible scene. Lady Carlisle alone had prevented it.

## § XXI. Impression Produced by the Outrage.

What briefly followed within the chamber Proceed-whose most facred rights had thus been ings in House violated by Charles the First, is revealed after to us only by D'Ewes. "As soon as King's departure." The was gone, and the doors were shut, the Speaker asked us if he should make report of his Majesty's speech. But Sir "John Hotham said we had all heard it, and speech of there needed no report of it to be made. Hotham.

<sup>&</sup>quot;they had made themselves out of the way, as they still alsoe Uncer"remaine, weh some conceive is but don till the House shall tainty as
"resolve what to doe wth them. Others thinke that they are to slight of

<sup>&</sup>quot;refolve what to doe wth them. Others thinke that they are to flight of actually fled. What will be of it, time must tell. In the members. "meane time this business filled every one wth feares what might ensue thereon, and the Cittie remained all that night

<sup>&</sup>quot;in armes, and are not yett very well affured, every one being possest with strange feares and imaginations."

Cries for "And others cried to adjourn till to-morrow adjourn-"at one of the clock in the afternoon; upon ment. "which in the iffue we agreed. And fo, the "Speaker having adjourned the House to "that hour, we rose about half an hour after House rifes at "three of the clock in the afternoon: \* little 3.30 p.m. "imagining for the present—at least a greater " part of us-the extreme danger we had " escaped through God's wonderful provi-" dence." +

" For the defign was," purfues Sir Simonds, D'Ewes describes the King's writing at the close of his day's Journal, and design: before the entry of the morrow, "to have "taken out of our House by force and violence "the faid five members, if we had refused to " have delivered them up peaceably and wil-"lingly; which, for the preservation of the " privileges of our House, we must have reto have raifed a "fused. And in the taking of them away, conflict in the House. " they were to have set upon us all, if we had.

Details of "refished, in an hostile manner. It is very the plot. "true that the plot was so contrived as that

Entry in

Journals

The day's entry, as it still stands in the Journals, well
expresses, in its sudden and unfinished abruptness, the agitation
of the 4th and excitement in which the day must have closed.

January,
1641-2.

"JAN. 4. P.M. The King came into the House of

"JAN. 4. P.M. The King came into the House of Commons and took Mr. Speaker's Chair.
"Gentlemen I am sorry to have this occasion to come unto you.

\*\* \* \* \* \*

"Refolved upon the question that the House shall adjourn itself till to-morrow one of the clock."

<sup>†</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 306 b.

" the King should have withdrawn out of the "House, and passed thorough the lobby or " little room next without it, before the maf-" facre should have begun, upon a watchword "by him to have been given upon his paffing "thorough them. But 'tis most likely that Armed "those Ruffians, being about eighty in number, does not "who were gotten into the faid lobby, being to be reftrained. " armed all of them with fwords, and fome of "them with pistols ready charged, were so "thirsty after innocent blood as they would " scarce have stayed the watchword, if those " members had been there; but would have "begun their violence as foon as they had "understood of our denial, to the hazard of The "the persons of the King and the Prince King's person in "Elector, as well as of us. For, one of them danger. " understanding, a little before the King came "out, that those five gentlemen were absent, " 'Zounds!' faid he, 'They are gone! and " we are never the better for our coming!" "And the deliverance," adds D'Ewes, in Strange this remarkable passage of his Journal, "will rance. "appear to have been the more strange, if we " confider how the plot being revealed to one " M. Langres, dwelling in the Covent Garden, " after the King had taken his coach at White-"hall, and was coming toward us, he got " through the multitude of those souldiers and King's " ruffians, and coming to the House acquainted approach told to " Mr. Nathaniel Fiennes with the King's reso- Fiennes.

Withdrawal of the members.

Opposition of

Strode.

"lution. Whereupon Mr. Denzil Hollis, Sir "Arthur Haselrig, Mr. Hampden, and Mr.

"Pym, who had notice also formerly given "them that there was fuch a defign, did

" prefently withdraw: but Mr. William Strode,

"the last of the Five, being a young man and

"unmarried, \* could not be perfuaded by his

Identity of Strode with the earlier puted.

Reply to objections made:

Original opinion strengthened, not weakened.

Ages of pal men of the Commons.

Mistakes of Thomas May.

\* I retain the opinion put forth in my Essay on the Grand Remonstrance (Hist. and Biog. Esfays, i. 1-175) that this expression of D'Ewes, and the language used by Clarendon, are decifive against the identity of the Strode of the parlia-Strodedif- ments of James and the early parliaments of Charles with the Strode of the Long Parliament. The grounds on which I formed and stated that opinion have since been contested in a book of great ability, and full of valuable matter relative to the Commonwealth period (Studies and Illustrations of the Great Rebellion, by J. Langton Sandford, Efq.); but I must be permitted to think that Mr. Sandford's argument, though ingenious and elaborate, is not fatisfactory. The gift of it lies in this remark: "William Strode may very well have been "under forty in 1642; and this, in the eyes of 'an ancient "gentleman' such as D'Ewes, woulden title him to the name "of 'a young man'" (p. 399). Unfortunately for the sense in which the argument is used, it tells with the greatest force in the opposite direction. D'Ewes's own age was exactly thirty-nine (he was born in December 1602); and it entitled him to the name of 'an ancient gentleman.' No one acquainted with the focial usages and characteristics of that time would for a moment expect that a man of thirty-nine should be styled young. That is a modern style altogether. But, even in our own polite days, a man of thirty-nine would not be likely to fingle out as a young man a person of his own mature age. Besides, Hollis himself was only forty-four, the princi- Hampden was not more than forty-fix, Haselrig was some years younger, and from fuch a company to select and set apart for his youth a man of years so nearly equal, would have been sheer abfurdity. Since my attention was first drawn to this "historic "doubt," I have observed that the historian May afferts the identity, faying of Strode that he had "before fuffered many " years of sharp and harsh imprisonment for matters done in par-" liament" (lib. 2, cap. 2, p. 21), but when he published his History in 1647 Strode had been some years dead, and in personal questions May is not always strictly accurate or careful. give an inflance: his account (p. 27) of the Whitehall Guard is inaccurate both as to time and persons. It is not much to

"friends for a pretty while to go out; but " faid, that knowing himself to be innocent, he

add to the other proofs, but it may be worth remark that the Contempt fame trivial and contemptuous mode of speaking of Strode, in of comparison with the other members, is to be found in the Royalists lampoons of the day. In the verses subjoined, he and Haselrig for Strode. stand in as marked contrast with the rest, even though all be fet apart for abuse, as in the page of Clarendon:

> " My venom fwells," quoth Hollis, " And that his Majesty knows."

- " And I," quoth Hampden, "fetch the Scots "Whence all this mischief grows,"
- " I am an affe," quoth Hafelrigge,

"But yet I'm deep i' the plot;"
"And I," quoth Strode, "can lye as fast " As Master Pym can trott."

"But I," quoth Pym, "your hackney am, " And all your drudgery do,

"I make good speeches for myself, "And privileges for you-"

So, in London's Farewell to the Parliament, the abuse of Varieties Hollis, Hampden, and Pym, is a good folid hate, and it is of Royalist not till Strode's turn comes, that contempt seems to take the slander. place of it:

Farewell Denzil Hollis, with hey, with hey; Farewell Denzil Hollis, with hoe; 'Twas his ambition or his need, Not his religion did the deed, With hey trolly, lolly, loe.

Farewell John Hampden, with hey, with hey; Farewell John Hampden, with hoe; He's a fly and fubtle fox, Well read in Buchanan and Knox, With hey trolly, lolly, loe.

Farewell John Pym, with hey, with hey; Farewell John Pym, with hoe; He would have had a place in Court, And he ventur'd all his partie for't, With hey trolly, lolly, loe.

Farewell Billy Strode, with hey, with hey; Farewell Billy Strode, with hoe;

Will feal his innocency with

"would stay in the House though he sealed " his innocency with his blood at the door.

his blood. "So as, being at last overcome" (D'Ewes gets a little confused in his sentences here) "by

"the importunate advices and entreaties of his

"friends, when the van, or fore-front, of those

"ruffians marched into Westminster Hall:

"nay, when no perfuafion could prevail with

Earle pulls him out by the

cloak.

Sir Walter "the faid Mr. Strode, Sir Walter Earle, his " entire friend, was faine to take him by cloak, "and pull him out of his place; and fo got

> "him out of the House. 'Tis very true, " indeed, that the Lord Mandeville" (Kim-

> bolton continued to be more familiarly known by his old than by his new title) "and these

> " five gentlemen had notice not only yesternight

" of this intended defign, but were likewise

" fent to, this day at dinner, by the Earl of "Effex, Lord Chamberlain of his Majesty's

" household, that the King intended to come

"to the House of Commons to seize upon

"them there, and that they should absent "themselves: yet had they no direct assurance

"that the faid defign should certainly be put

" in execution, till the faid M. Langres his

" coming to the faid House." \*

Such was the view taken, fuch the opinion

He fwore all Wharton's lyes were true; And it concern'd him fo to do, For he was in the faw-pit too-With hey trolly, lolly, loe.

\* Harl. MSS. 162, ff. 306 b. 307 a.

The accufed warned at dinner hour by Effex.

uttered, with no public object or defign, but as Unima man communes with himself or his most characintimate friend, of the proceedings of this ter of D'Ewes's eventful day, by a member of the House who testimony. with his own eyes had witnessed them, writing not many hours after the event; and who gave further decifive proof of his fense of the His sense danger which from that day awaited all men marked who might discharge their duty fearlessly in by executhe House of Commons, by at once arranging will: his affairs, fetting his house in order, and executing his will. "Some," he remarked in a subsequent debate, "have said it were well " for the Parliament men to set their houses "in order, left they should shortly lose their and set-"heads. For my part, I confess I have not ting his house in that work now to do; having ever fince order. "the 4th day of January last past, left my " will with a third person in trust." \* The

\* Harl. MSS. 163, f. 509 b. D'Ewes is speaking, on the 16th May, 1642, more than five months after the events to which I am referring, of the disputes in Yorkshire which immediately preceded the outbreak of civil war: "Mr. H. Bellasis, Sir R. Pye, and others, moved "that we might think of some way of accommodation." Others moved that we might prepare to defend ourselves." I said I was form to see things grown to such a height in Parlia-"I faid I was forry to fee things grown to fuch a height in Parlia-"Yorkshire; and though his Majesty disavowed the injuries ment-men offered the poor freeholders of Yorkshire, I did not hear in peril. "that he disavowed those offered his poor Parliament, although "their messages were hissed at when they were read, and "although some said it were well for the parliament men to "fet their houses in order lest they should shortly lose their heads. For my part I confess I have not that work now to "do, having ever fince the 4th day of January last past," the day of the attempted arrest, "left my will with a third "person in trust—(of which," D'Ewes adds with some com-

judgment so formed, too, and the course so Isolation party.

of D'Ewes taken on the instant, were those of a man not. sharing vehemently in any of the popular

His precifion and fobriety.

Q uestion of the King's conduct.

Could have had but one purpose.

passions; never admitted to the confidence of the leaders; having a strong personal dislike, as I shall shortly take an opportunity of showing, to some of them; and himself noted for a particular precision and sobriety, as well in his habits of thought as in his ways of life. Nor is it in any degree reasonable to suppose that the King should not have resolved to give some fort of effect to his project, having once, however rashly, embarked in it. To have intended merely to go and ask for the members, and, having so invited the refusal which it was obvious would be given, to leave them unmolefted in their feats and himfelf come discomfited away, would have been indeed to add to supreme rashness a supreme silliness. Armed men could have accompanied him for one purpose only, and this was baffled by the absence of the accused: nor was it possible that any one, writing of the occurrence in later times, should have found it reasonably open to any other construction, if upon this, as upon other great questions between the People and the King, Clarendon had not drawn off to a false iffue fuccessive generations of readers. Content to have profited by the act if it had fucceeded,

placency, "the House took especial notice, as I was fain for a "while to stop from farther proceeding)".

it was an act of which the failure was unpar-Not the donable: and every one in the confidence of act but the the King became eager to feparate himself unpardonable. from it, to speak of it as apart and isolated from other acts to which it was in truth no way contrasted or opposed, to treat it as a sudden frenzy, and altogether to conceal the real object which it aimed at, and, but for an accident unforeseen, and the failure of secret success measures here shown to have been daringly narrowly missed. attempted, it might have gone far to accomplish.

Compare the tone fo taken, after the fact, with what men wrote upon the instant who shared Hyde's opportunities of knowledge, who like him were behind the scenes, but who wrote not to conceal, but to express, the truth. "I pray God this very business," Under wrote Under Secretary Bere to Admiral Pen-Secretary Bere's nington on the 6th of January, "doe not dread as to ultimate render our condition in Court the worse; for result."

"cannot confift foe, but must change to the Change great prejudice of the one or other side: and must be for the

"I pray God wee find not that we have worfe.

"flattered ourselves wth an imaginary strength

"and party, in the Citty and elsewhere, wch

"will fall away, if need should bee. A report Rumours on now goes that those persons accused are in as to where-

"London, and fome will have itt they are abouts of

"fitting wth the Comittee wth fitts there. By accused.

Worfe ftorms on land than at fea.

Circum**ftances** 

well

Under

"all this, you will fee the greate distractions "that are here: foe that you may well fay "wee have no less stormes here than you have

"att sea—I feare worse and more full of

" danger." \*

That is not the language of a man who regarded the King's act as having sprung from a mere sudden unreasoning impulse of anger, or who defired to underrate its gravity. The writer knew the circumstances too well. He had himself drawn up the warrant, known to which, but for a merciful accident interpofed, Secretary: might have drenched London streets in the blood of the Citizens. He was perfectly aware of all the preparations made, of all the deliberation used; and his prayer to God is, that they who had taken part therein (of whom he was one) might not find they had flattered themselves with an imaginary strength, in the City and elfewhere, which already was crumbling and falling away beneath them.

His fears and forebodings.

## & XXII. LORD DIGBY AND MR. HYDE. Not of the moderate or conscientious tem-

An invitation for Christmas declined.

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office. The Under Secretary thus closes his letter: "I humbly thank you for y' kind invitation "abord this Xmas, where I would willingly be, but that I "may not well bee absent: my businesse growing still more and more: yett we have the addition of another sellow "Secrety" by name Mr. Oudart, who was Secrety to Sr John Boswell: so yt ye labour is very easy, but dispenses not with absence."

per of the Under Secretary, however, were Violent those who had advised the King. It is a bare less counact of justice to fay, of other and more active fel. participators in the Royal Councils at this time, that they did not show fear, remorfe, or apprehension of any kind. Lord Digby certainly does not feem to have shrunk from the proposal to carry the King's daring attempt, be- Carrying gun that day, to its natural iffue. He was willing attempt to to take the utmost hazard upon himself, fays Hyde; and would have redeemed his failure of promise in the matter of Lord Kimbolton by undertaking, with the congenial help of fuch gentlemen as Sir Thomas Lunsford, to feize the accused members in the very house Digby's in the City where they had taken refuge, and proposal: either bring them away alive, or "leave them " dead in the place."\*

Elsewhere, too,† the same writer tells us, that, as soon as the failure of the enterprise at the House declared itself, Digby's great spirit was so far from failing, that when he saw the whole City upon the matter in arms to defend the Five Members, he, knowing in what house they were together, offered the King, with a select number of a dozen gentlemen, who he presumed would stick to him, to seize To seize upon their persons dead or alive. And with
Members out doubt, adds Clarendon naively, he would dead or alive.

\* Hift. ii. 130.

<sup>†</sup> Clarendon's State Papers. Supplement to third vol. lv-lvi.

have done it, "which must likewise have had "a wonderful effect."

Mischief let loose by King's act.

Such were the elements of discord and violence let rudely loose by the act of the King; and to comprehend all that follows, to understand even the alarms we have seen expressed by D'Ewes after the King's departure, and what we shall observe hereafter of their sudden, unexplained, and abrupt recurrence, the fact of fuch mischief being abroad, and such rumours or threats of desperate designs underlying men's ordinary discourse, must still be kept carefully in mind. "The publike voice "runs much," wrote Bere to Pennington, " against Bristol and his son, as great instru-" ments of these misunderstandinges." \* With more elaboration, and with allusions that pointed to fecret intrigues not less than to frank and open outrage, Mr. Smith of the Admiralty wrote to the King's favourite feaman. He began by telling his "honoured "compeer," what grief he feels that his relation of affairs cannot be such as might comfort

Rumours against Bristol and Digby.

Small comfort for the Admiral.

and almost tired in those tumultuous seas.

Suffering 'You suffer on the waters, we seare on the on waters, 'I land.' And he proceeded to explain the land. fources of the fear. "The desires and

the Admiral's languishing spirits, as in his

latest letter he had described them, turmoiled

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office, January, 1641-2.

```
" endeav" of men, especially of such as Rule, Jacob and
" are so diverse, that wee seeme to bee now Esau.
" in this K.dom like to the pregnant wombe
" of Rebecca, which teemes of discourse and
" affections, some labouring to bringe forth
"the Honest Jacob of order, tranquillitie, Two parties out of and peace, others the Rough Esau of dis-House:
" cord and ruine." Yet one advantage had
already attended the attempt made on the
House of Commons. It was expected that
in future there would be less disagreement, and
a more general co-operation for the public
good, than before was noted therein. "Wee but the
" are not," continued Mr. Smith, "altogether leaders honest:
" out of hope of a Good Period in regarde
"those yt rule in Parlemt are both honest and
" able men. If distractions and confusions
" come, 'twill be from fome factious firebrands
"that trouble the Court, abuse his Matie, and and only
" feeke to fish in troubled waters; and, through now in
" feare of being rewarded according to theire House.
" merit, do labor to bring all things to ruine
"with themselves. But the Good God will
" not fuffer them long thus to divide betwixt
"Or good King and his People, whom they
" traduce wth false report of Rebellion, where-
"as indeede they are the greatest and only Sole rebels Rebells I know in England, and go about land."
" ye K.dom raising tumulits and false reports
" to putt the land into an uproar if they can,
" and scandalize the honble and just Proceed-
```

mies.

this di-

greffion.

"ings of the Parlemt wth lying and unjust "imputations."\*

This discreet and temperate man, writing

thus a few days after the King's attempt, found not more misery occasioned by firebrands Open and fuch as Digby, than by those more secret fecret eneagents of confusion who went about creating jealousies and dislikes against the Parliament, of whom it will not be unjust, upon his own account of his own proceedings at the time, to felect Hyde as by far the most prominent Cause for example. And to understand the position he had in that respect taken up is necessary, in his instance not less than in that of Digby, to a proper comprehension of the sequel of these

extraordinary fcenes.

Hyde the king's private adviser:

Supplies fecret papers and information.

Hyde acknowledges,† that, feveral weeks earlier than the attempted arrest, he had become fecretly the King's private counfellor, and had in confequence withdrawn from fo frequently or publickly as before taking part in the proceedings of the House. So early as during the Remonstrance Debates, indeed, he was, as in a former work has been shown, t fupplying the King with resolutions and papers of the House in their first rough draft; and, in many passages of the Memoir written by himself, his modus operandi is described in

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office. Thos. Smith (from York House) to Admiral Pennington: January, 1641-2.

<sup>†</sup> Lise, i. 98-100. I See my Hist. and Biog. Esfays, i. 142, &c.

detail, entirely without disguise, and even with a chuckling self-satisfaction.\* He seems to take an odd kind of pride, in avowing openly Playing the double part he played in the House and in false. the back scenes of the Court; while he was unscrupulously using his opportunities of obtaining knowledge of the secrets of the popular leaders, for no other purpose than to betray Betrays them to the King. Several curious unconficious illustrations of the same double-dealing the King. are recorded also in the Journal of D'Ewes.

When, shortly after these events, Lord

\* For example (Life, i. 102-3): "And so they (Viscount Private "Falkland, Sir John Colepepper, and Mr. Hyde) met every meetings "night late together, & communicated their observations & in Hyde's "intelligence of the day; & so agreed what was to be done lodgings. "or attempted the next; there being very many persons of condition & interest in the House who would follow their "advice, & affift in anything they defired . . . And after "their deliberation together, what was to be put in writing "was always committed to Mr. Hyde; and when the King " had left the town, he writ as freely to the King as either "of the others did . . . . and now when the governing party had discovered the place of the nightly meetings, that a Secretary of State and a Chancellor of the Exchequer "every day went to the lodging of a private person, who " ought to attend them, they believed it a condescension that "had some other foundation than mere civility." And in another remarkable passage he says (i. 130-133): "They had Suspicions "long detested and suspected Mr. Hyde, from the time of against "their first Remonstrance, for framing the King's messages him. and answers, which they now every day received, to their "intolerable vexation: yet knew not how to accuse him. "But now that the Earls of Essex and Holland had dif-" covered his being shut up with the King at Greenwich, and Hyde shut "the Marquis of Hamilton had once before found him very up with " early in private with the King at Windsor, at a time when Charles. "the King thought all passages had been stopped; together "with his being of late more absent from the House than he " had used to be; and the resort of the other two every night "to his lodging, as is mentioned before; fatisfied them that " he was the person."

Compton, the member for Warwickshire, and Sir Edward Baynton, who fat for Chippenham, had been fent with a message from the House Complaint to the King, replying to a complaint against of the one of Pym's speeches, they reported on their King return that they had duly delivered the against Pym. message, and that the King gave them for an answer that he was altogether unsatisfied that Mr. Pym had any ground for the bold affertion he had made. Whereupon Mr. Pym stood up and said he conceived there needed Pym's rejoinder. no further declaration to fatisfy his Majesty; and Sir Edward Baynton called the attention of the House to the fact, that such reply from his Majesty was not given upon the sudden, for that, as they gathered from some expressions of the King, "he had feen the faid meffage Messages sent before " before they gave it him." \* In like manner voted. alfo, when, some week or two earlier, the famous struggle with the Kingupon the Newmarket Declaration had been in progress, D'Ewes relates† that "Mr. Pym delivered in a letter directed The House "to him, superscribed 'John Pym, Esq. at warned " his Lodgings in Westminster,' which had against treachery. " been found by Simon Richardson and John "Walker, two watchmen of Westminster, in "the Palace Yard. It had no name to it: " but the writer faid in ye beginning of it that Letter to Pym. " not knowing how to venture fafely, he

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 438 b. + Ibid 163, f. 246 a.

" had fent him this letter, and caused it to be "dropped in the street, having done so with "two formerly: notwithstanding his danger if " he should be discovered, yet he had adven-"tured out of love to his country to give him "timely warning. That nothing was done in Able "the House, but some able members amongst us informed " fent it, as well as all messages intended for against. " him, to his Majesty before they came from us, " and sent him also heads ready framed for his " answers. That the King was resolved to King's " use force, and that we should find the Navy prepara-" of England turned against us. That he " had heard the King fay he had the nobility, "gentry, and divers honest men of his side. "That the Parliament had irritated the mili- Parlia-"tary men and denied them employment in ment in danger. "Ireland, and fo prepared fwords for their

The contents of the letter it is not necessary further to dwell upon, but circumstances gave to them afterwards much weight; and that Hyde was distinctly aimed at, every one ap-Charge pears to have taken for granted. Means were aimed at Hyde. adopted immediately after to put some check to his opportunities of treachery; but the fact of such secret enemies existing within the House, more dangerous than its open assailants, and suspected strongly while yet the truth was not perfectly established, should avail against any hasty or harsh judgment of the precaution-

" own throats."

Self-defence against treachery.

ary and repressive measures which it forced in sheer self-defence upon the leaders.

That suspicion should have lighted upon

Hyde accufed of advising arrest:

Hyde, moreover, as foon as the King's attempt was made, will hardly feem furprifing after the fecret history that D'Ewes discloses. This fuspicion he frankly confesses himself. He tells us \* that some friends of his who loved him very well, had warned him that he was pointed at as one of the contrivers of the arrest, all the more certainly because of his known friendship with Digby; and they had fuggestion advised him so to carry himself, in the debates

dently appear that he did not approve of it, or

was privy to it. Notwithstanding which good advice, he adds in another place, he did speak

other fide, it would not be necessary to give an abstract of it here. It is only by a perfistent

at all; for it cannot be too often repeated that

of his friends not which should arise upon it, that it might evito defend it.

> on a particular occasion in a sense adverse to the claim of parliamentary privilege in matters of treason, though amid noise and clamour, and with wonderful evidence of diflike. † He even professes to give an abstract of what he faid; and would appear to have faid fo ill, that, but for the purpose of showing how poor was the strongest case that such an advocate could put against the overwhelming argument on the

fpeech upon impeachment.

Alleged

Groß mif- mifrepresentation that he makes out any case represennever, from the first of these proceedings to tation the last, was it assumed on the side of the accused members that privilege of Parliament could or ought to run in a case of selony or treason.

On the occasion now pretended (for no Pretended circumstance of identification is connected with occasion for speech. the speech, and no clue given to when it was spoken, beyond the general statement that it was upon certain votes being proposed "at the "Committee" to be submitted at the reaffembling at Westminster), Hyde took upon himself to warn the House to take heed that Argument they did not, out of tenderness of their privi- of speech: lege, which was and must be very precious to every man, extend it further than the law would suffer it to be extended; that the House had always been very fevere upon the breach of any of their privileges, and in the vindi-no privicating those members who were injured; but felony or that the disposing men to make themselves treason: judges, and to refcue themselves or others, might be of evil consequence, and produce ill effects: at least if it should fall out to be, that the persons were arrested for treason, or felony, or breach of the peace; in either of which cases, there would be no privilege of Parliament.\* All which was as well known to Mr. undif-Pym and Mr. Hampden as to Mr. Hyde, Pym and nor was the remotest pretence to assert or Hampden.

justify the contrary ever set up by either. They must have scouted such arguments, if employed at all; and the real truth I believe to be, that fuch a speech was never spoken.

Imputation against leaders of the Commons.

Of course it tells extremely well in the History of the Rebellion, that Mr. Hyde, amid noise and clamour, and with wonderful evidence of diflike, should have taken a line of reasoning so manifestly just, that if we believe him to have used it, and that such was the reception given to it, we must attribute to the leaders on the other fide, to whom he professes to have been replying, a tone and argument as manifestly unjust. It will hereafter be seen more plainly how false such an inference would be. Suffice it for the present to point out that no trace of any fuch remarks by Hyde, or of his participation in one of the despeech was bates arising out of these transactions, is discoverable in any shape or form. From the expressions used it might be assumed, that he was speaking on the Resolution of the House that any one attempting to give effect to the confessed illegality of the Impeachment, by arresting the Members whom it accused, and whom the King, in a subsequent as illegal proclamation, had outlawed, would be guilty of a breach of privilege. But he was certainly not present when that resolution was moved. He feems to wish us to infer, that the speech might have been delivered on one of the days when

existing that the spoken.

No proof

Hyde not in the House :

the Grocers' Hall Committee were preparing nor at resolutions to be passed on the House re- or affembling.\* But D'Ewes has carefully reported Grocers' each day's proceeding of that Committee, without the remotest reference to Hyde.

It was easy, in short, with no record of the debates existing to confront him, to take the credit of having fo spoken, and to sling upon the popular leaders the discredit of having forced him so to speak. D'Ewes now enables us to state, however, with an almost absolute certainty, that not even on one occasion did No evithis active member of the House, this incessant dence that Hyde took and untiring orator against the Remonstrance, part in speak for or against the proceedings of the 3rd arrest. and 4th of January. † His name nowhere appears as having been even present. Culpeper and Falkland, Sir Ralph Hopton and Mr. Herbert Price, noted partizans of the King, are in the list of the Committee appointed to

" to him) told them," &c. &c. ii. 139. † When upon a former occasion Hyde's absence was remarked, his friend Falkland had to fuggest an excuse for it (Clarendon's State Papers, ii. 141, where the letter, manifestly belonging to March 1640-41 is placed under 1642): fo con-ftant and punctual were his ordinary attendances.

<sup>\*</sup> It is a very fignificant circumstance, with reference to the Incondoubt thus suggested, that in his text as undoubtedly left by sistency himself (in a fair copy made by his secretary) for publication, in Hyde's the introduction to the mention of this speech is simply: MS. "And these votes the House confirmed, when they were reported: though in the debate it was told them, &c." It is only from the notes and additions found by comparison with one of his additional illustrative papers (lettered B), that the words to be now quoted in Italics are supplied by the edition of 1826: "And these votes the House confirmed, when "they were reported: which caused some debate, and Mr.
"Hyde (notwithstanding the good advice that had been given

meet in the City; but not Hyde. Many not on the list of the Committee, to which all who came had voices, are yet carefully recorded as taking part in the debates. But no where do we find Hyde's name. He seems to have been fo impressed by that advice of the friends who loved him, to be careful not to show any approval of the King's attempt, as for the time to absent himself from the House altogether.

Prudent advice it unquestionably was, and

Reasons for absenting himfelf.

His help more ufeful elsewhere.

force.

given doubtless by men who not only knew the need for it in the particular case, but, friendly to the King as they were, faw the real issue which his failure had made inevitable, and which Hyde could now better help by other methods than that of public speaking in parliament. It shifted the struggle to other scenes than those it had heretofore occupied. Mr. Hallam is no friendly critic of the popular leaders at this crifis, but he finds himself compelled to admit that the fingle false step which Appeal to rendered the King's affairs irretrievable by anything short of civil war, and placed all reconciliation at an insuperable distance, was the attempt to seize the five members within the walls of the House.\* Plainly, it was an

Hallam's view of impeachment.

<sup>\*</sup> Const. Hist. ii. 126 (ed. 1855). "An evident violation," Mr. Hallam adds, "not of common privilege, but of all "fecurity for the independent existence of parliament, in the "mode of its execution." The passage of his Monarchy or no Monarchy (ed. 1651), in which William Lilly expressly records his opinion that the act of the 4th January 1641-2 cost Charles the First his crown, is well worth subjoining for

## appeal to force. Both parties felt it, and both instinctively turned in the direction where alone,

the curious facts it contains, and for its incidental corroboration of much that has been adverted to in my text. After remarking William that the refult proved that the King had really no evidence Lilly as to against the accused members but his own thoughts, as he him-arrest of self confessed, he proceeds: "And surely, had it been in his members." power to have got their bodies, he would have served these " members as he did Sir John Eliot, whom without cause he "had committed to the Tower, and never would either " release him, or show cause of his commitment, till his death. "This rash action of the King's lost him his crown. For, as Cost the he was the first of kings that ever, or so imprudently, brake King his "the privileges by his entrance into the House of Commons crown. "affembled in parliament, so, by that unparalleled demand of " his, he utterly loft himfelf, and left scarce any possibility of " reconcilement; he not being willing to trust them, nor they All confi-"to trust him, who had so often failed them. It was my dence at " fortune that day to dine in Whitehall, and in that room an end. " where the Halberts, newly brought from the Tower, were "lodged for the use of such as attended the King to the House of Commons. Sir Peter Wich, ere we had fully dined, A dinner "came into the room I was in, and brake open the chefts party on "wherein the arms were, which frighted us all that were day of "there. However, one of our company got out of doors, and arrest. "presently informed some members that the King was pre-paring to come in to the House: else I believe all those "members, or some of them, would have been taken in the "House. All that I could do farther was presently to be gone. But it happened also the same day that some of "my neighbours were at the Court of Guard at Whitehall, " unto whom I related the King's present design, and con- Belief as "jured them to defend the Parliament and members thereof, to outrage "in whose well or ill doing consisted our happiness or mis- intended. "fortune. They promised assistance, if need were; and I "believe, would have stoutly stood to it for defence of the Parliament or members thereof. The King lost his reputa-"tion exceedingly by this his improvident and unadvised "demand: yet, notwithstanding his failure of success in the " attempt, so wilful and obstinate was he, in pursuance of that King's " preposterous course he intended, and so desirous to compass obstinacy. "the bodies of those five members, that the next day he posted " and trotted into the City to demand the members there: he "convened a meeting at the Guildhall, and the Common Council affembled: but mum could he get there; for the word, London Derry, was then fresh in every man's "mouth." Some years before, against the advice even of Strafford himself, the City of London had been dragged

for either, now lay strength and safety. EveryImpression thing depended hereafter on the impression to be made upon the people, and on the response it might be possible to obtain from the great mass of the inhabitants of London.

## § XXIII. SIR SIMONDS D'EWES AND SPEAKER LENTHAL.

Further pause in narrative required.

But before refuming the course of my narrative, already interrupted by the necessity of interpoling the foregoing fection, it feems desirable to make further pause for introduction of other matter also of a personal kind, from which not merely the general fubject, but the particular scenes in which its striking interest confifts, will receive effential illustration. What is foon to pass in debate within the House, or at Guildhall or Grocers' Hall in the City, during those days of excitement following the attempted arrest which wait to be described, will have for its principal authority the Journal of D'Ewes; and while that rich and curious manuscript lies open before me, I propose, before passing to those later fcenes, to draw from it some instances and examples in proof of its claim to be received as an authentic record, by which the pecu-

Manufcript Diary of D'Ewes:

into the Star Chamber, and, on the false pretence of some invalidity of a grant by James the First, mulcted not only of their plantation of Derry, but in a heavy fine as well.

liarities both of D'Ewes and Lenthal will be characteristically displayed, and amusing as well illustra- as valuable information afforded as to the tions to be drawn forms, the usages, the discipline, and the from it. management of the House of Commons,\* in these memorable days of its history.

Let me, then, first impress upon the reader (it cannot be done too often or too strongly) that Sir Simonds D'Ewes is really, in regard to all the matters under discussion in these pages, fo far a most reliable witness, that his D'Ewes a fympathies were never decidedly, or at all reliable witness. actively, with the members accused or any of their more intimate friends. Within certain limits, his strong Puritan opinions, and the deference really felt for, and paid to, his knowledge of precedents and constitutional forms, caused him to act steadily with them; but the Not a more attention he received, the more he was going disposed to claim, until, taking literally a half party jesting remark made by Sir William Lytton † man. that really the House could not possibly spare him, he put himself forward so incessantly on every question, embarrassed so many by his pedantic exaggeration of trifling rules and

<sup>\*</sup> For others I may be allowed to refer the reader (all repetitions here of matter formerly published being carefully avoided) to the notes to the Essay on the Debates of the Grand Remonstrance in Hist, and Bior. Essays, i. 1-175.

Remonstrance in Hist. and Biog. Essays, i. 1-175.

† He had been of material service to the member for Hertfordshire in exposing the forged signatures to a royalist petition from that county. See my Hist. and Biog. Essays, i. 89.

forms, and spared the House itself so little, that even his extraordinary learning lost its relish, and he fell into fad personal differences Differences with the leaders, with the leaders, even while in hearty agreement with their general policy and aims. Hampden became too "ferpentine" and "fubtle" for him. Denzil Hollis was too "proud" and "ambitious." Strode was too much of a "firebrand" and "notable profaner of the Scriptures," and had "too hot a tongue." Glyn also was a "fwearing profane fellow." Epithets applied to Haselrig was too "violent." Harry Marten was the popua "fiery heathen," and had a too "fcurrilous lar chiefs. "and windy wit." With a fneer, in like manner, he qualifies an attack upon the impetuofity of Nathaniel Fiennes, "though he hath amongst "his other good parts an able voice." And if he does not use the same tone or apply fimilar epithets to Pym (all now quoted were Why more applied within a very few weeks of the incidents in this narrative, for, at a later time, Pym. he used even less scrupulous speech), it is because that great popular leader, with a profound knowledge of the strength of his Pym more party, had also a wise deference for the weaktolerant of nesses and vanity of individual members of him. it, and was always ready with the concession that fubstantially yielded nothing, while it foftened anger, quieted fears, and was foothing to felf-esteem.

To take one instance out of many, which will

also show the personal position in which D'Ewes generally stood to the party with whom commonly he acted, I give his account of an incident, sull of character, which arose out of the discussion of one of the answers to a message Discussion of the King in the course of the present disqueres to serve ferences. Pym had drawn up the answer, and a royal some expressions in it were strongly objected to by Mr. John Vaughan, the Royalist member for Cardigan, when suddenly it occurred to D'Ewes that there might be something in the objection so taken.

"Mr. Pym read the Answer, or Declara-"tion, to his Majesty's message. Divers " called to have it put to the question, but Objection "Mr. Vaughan stood up and defired us to taken by Royalist consider well two things in it: 1. the King's members. " raifing of men to be to the terror of his " people; 11. where we faid we would not "obey his Commissioners. Mr. Pym ans-"wered him fomewhat superficially" (D'Ewes means, in the literal fense of the word, that Pym spoke cursorily or slightingly), "and yet "divers called to put the Declaration to the D'Ewes "question: which made me, just as the supports objection." Speaker was standing up to put the ques-"tion, to fay "-urging thereon more strongly Mr. Vaughan's objection. "As I was pro-"ceeding," he refumes, "fome indifcreet "and violent spirits interrupted me, and Is assailed by violent called — to the Question! Whom the spirits."

"Speaker having first reproved, I went on." Perfifts in spite of The worthy Baronet very decidedly expressed them. himself, in short, in favour of moderate and conciliatory speech. "It concerned us much "to weigh all our expressions, and not leave "the kingdom without all hope or possibility " of an accomodation between his Majesty and "us, left fo we precipitate things into speedy "confusion. After I had done Mr. Peard Receives encour-"food up, and did with great vehemency agement. " reprove those indiscreet and foolish members "who had interrupted me first: showing "breach of privilege, &c. When I fat down, " many discreet and sober members called on " me still to speak and go on. And Mr. Pym " also, who had made report of the said Decla-" ration, did with much discretion and modesty Pym's "difcre-"approve what I had spoken, and coming tion and modefty:" " himself to the Clerk's table, did amend the " faid Declaration according to the advice I "had given." (It involved little beyond the change of a few letters.) "Which being read " was approved of, and those indiscreet spirits adopts the amend- " that interrupted me had not a word to fay " against it."\*

Mr. Strode less civil:

On the other hand observe the conduct of that "firebrand" Mr. Strode, on a precisely similar occasion, when what is called the Newmarket Declaration was under discussion. "Divers," says D'Ewes, "spake after me;

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 467 b. Another fimilar instance

"and Mr. William Strode, having spoken speaks "twice before, stood up and spake the third

"time, and related the same matter in sub-

"ftance; which made me stand up and

" fpeak to the order of the house and inter-

"rupt him, &c. He fat down, and divers and gets " laughed, and fome spake after him." \*

Generally it is to be remarked, upon all these scenes, much to the credit of the House, that Good the moderation and temper of D'Ewes, when humour of the House. discreetly put forward, seems hardly ever to have failed of its effect. When the Declaration was under discussion, in which, upon intelligence received of the schemes set on foot for raising money abroad, some very plain truths were addressed to the King, he interfered, almost Moderaas zealously as Sir Ralph Hopton, and much D'Ewes. more fuccefffully, to obtain abatement of fome of its terms. He had left the House between four and five o'clock that afternoon, † while the debate was in progress, and on his return between five and fix he found Sir Ralph withdrawn into the committee chamber, and the

will be found of a moderating expression moved by Pym and feconded by D'Ewes, Ibid 163, f. 518 b.

" again." Harl. MSS. 162, f. 354 b.

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 431 a.

<sup>†</sup> In a characteristic entry of earlier date, D'Ewes lets us into the fecret of these retreats from the House during the afternoon hours of a long debate. "I returned into the "House," he says, "between 5 and 6 o'clock at night, and "it was my good fortune that I withdrew so seasonably With-"between 2 and 3 as I did, having by that means freedom drawing for some hours, and convenience of supping in time, and on for "my return I heard almost the whole matter debated over supper.

Proposed cenfure of Sir Ralph Hopton.

Pope foliciting

help

against English

Parliament.

House in sharp debate what censure to lay upon him. "The words he had spoken were "occasioned on the reading of that part of

" the Declaration which showed that the Pope's

"Nuncio had folicited the Kings of France "and Spain to fend each of them 4000l. to

" his Majesty against the Parliament, and that

"we did believe his Majesty could not give

"ear to fuch counsels unless he meant to " change his religion. Upon which the faid

"Sir Ralph Hopton stood up and spake

"very vehemently against the said article,

" faying, amongst other particulars, that we "did thereby charge the King with apostacy."

\* Clarendon refers to this incident, and fays that Hopton

King Popish designs.

accused of charged the House with accusing the King of designs favourable to Popery on evidence that would not hang a constable. But, to fay nothing of the letters found after Naseby, all that has fince been discovered of the secret purposes and desperate expedients resorted to by Charles the First, tends directly to show how thoroughly well informed, though unable always to give up their informants, the leaders of the House of Commons Too many were. As to Charles's undoubted negotiations for the procuring foreign help against the Parliament on condition of

grounds for fuch imputation.

special cessions to the Roman Catholic faith, see my Essays, i. 75-6. Let me add that there is a very curious letter in the Clarendon State Papers (ii. 141-2) which may be quoted, not only in aid of what has been faid (ante, 32 and 49) of the suspicion of Secretary Windebank's illegal practices in

English Rome.

favour of the Roman Catholic religion, but in proof of the interest with which English politics were now regarded in politics at Rome, and of the prudent and somewhat ominous reserve which, precifely at the very date of the incident described in my text, had fallen fuddenly on the Pope's nephew and one of the leading Cardinals, otherwise accustomed, as it would seem, largely to indulge in garrulity about England. Writing, to his

Letter to brotherin-law.

brother-in-law Hyde, from Rome at the close of March 1642, Hyde from Mr. Aylesbury says: "The last week, we came from Naples; "where we met with an English Franciscan Friar, called Father Morton; who used us exceeding civilly, and has a "After which, though he explained himself, Hopton's offence." and acknowledged his fault to proceed from offence." his mistake, yet the House would not rest fatisfied, but caused him to withdraw."\*

When D'Ewes entered, Sir Henry Herbert, the member for Bewdley, was speaking in mitigation of his offence (against a proposition for disabling him which the member for Hisexpul-Bletchingly, Sir John Evelyn, had started), and fion moved. in favour of the more moderate suggestion that he should be permitted to purge his fault by a few days lodgment in the Tower. Such cen-

" great mind to go into England to accuse Secry Windebank " of greater matters than the parliament ever laid to his "charge. I assure you the discourse he makes of him is "very good sport; and in these sad times I could wish you "had him amongst you to make you merry. At Rome there "are graver gentlemen; but I understand nothing of them "but their civility, which is as much as can be imagined. "Indeed, from the highest to the lowest, they are all so. The "other day we were with the Cardinal Francesco Barberino, "the Pope's nephew, and had a long audience of him, but The " not a word of England, though I fought all I could to put Pope's "him into that discourse of which he is very well informed, nephew: and at other times liberal enough. For, Sir Walter Pye " having been with him fome days before, all his discourse "was to perfuade him that the troubles of England and "Ireland have never been fomented by any of the Pope's "ministers: and that they all wished the flourishing estate of says he "our country. Besides, he made particular mention to him has not "of Mr. Pym, Mr. Hampden, Mr. Hollis, and some others." fomented What fort of "particular mention" Pym and Hampden are English likely to have attracted to themselves in the halls and council troubles. chambers of the Vatican, it would not be difficult to imagine; and he must have been a very clever Cardinal indeed if he managed to impress any English traveller with the belief that he, one of the highest dignitaries of the Roman Catholic His "inte-Church, took an impartial interest in the welfare of those rest" in famous members of the English Commons. The reference, Pym and however, is at least remarkable. Hampden. \* Harl. MSS. 163, f. 410 a.-414 b.

D'Ewes's speech in mitigation.

fures being very much matter of precedent, Sir Simonds at once plunged into the debate, and claimed hearing from the Speaker. But Sir John Evelyn was fo loudly called for, that D'Ewes was fain, after beginning his speech, to give way. "After Sir John fat down," he proceeds, '' I stood up to continue my former " fpeech where I left off; but some violent " fpirits, whom otherwise I esteemed very " honest men, fearing that by my speaking I

Interrupted by the hot fpirits.

" might prevent the putting of the question " for difabling Sir Ralph, which I did, would " fain have interrupted me, crying out He hath " fpoken! he hath fpoken! But they, being "foon ashamed of the breach of the order of "the House and their own violence, became " filent and I proceeded, showing that indeed "my very worthy friend on the other fide " (and here I pointed to Sir John Evelyn)

Appeals to order.

> "did break the order of the House in inter-" rupting me after I had begun." The refult of Sir Simonds's interference

was the more moderate course of sending Hopton to the Tower; and when Sir Walter Earle, upon this, moved that Sir Ralph should not be enlarged but in a full House, D'Ewes fenfibly pointed out what injustice this vague by House. expression might involve, and induced the majority to confent to receive the petition for release on any day when tendered, provided always it was between the hours of two and

His fuggestion adopted

four o'clock. He then goes on to fay, that, the original debate on the Declaration having Makes been refumed, he objected himself to expression fions in it, "condemning them almost as to Hop-" much as Sir R. Hopton had done, but with ton's: " better fuccess, for amendment ensued on my with "motion." Still he was not fatisfied; and better when, on the following day, it was finished and fuccess. passed upon the question, he adds: "many par-" ticulars continuing in it, full of irritating and " rigid expressions to his Majesty concerning " his own words and actions, which I utterly "misliked: for we might have declared the D'Ewes's "whole and naked truth as well in reverential love of moderate " and humble words, as in fo high and afperous speech. "terms."\*

Upon another occasion, however, he found Another himself less decidedly in sympathy with that case for censure. ardent royalist, "Hopton of the West," and

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 414 b. On that fame day fo re- Remark-markable an entry appears also in D'Ewes's Journal, carrying able entry with it such marks of generous consideration on the part of in Journal. the House to the memory of a great opponent, that the reader will thank me for subjoining it. "Upon Mr. Denzil Hollis's " motion it was ordered that the young Earl Strafford, being " fome fifteen years old, being nephew to the faid Mr. Hollis, " being his fifter's fon, and whom the King by letters patent created Earl Strafford fince the attainder of his father, should Generosity "continue his troop in Ireland and receive his pay thereof, of House though he were not there present: the said Mr. Hollis under- to Straf-"taking to see his absence properly supplied." It is curious ford's son. that the order which rendered this special application necessary, was one introduced under the government of the young man's father, the great Earl; who relisted nothing more strongly in Ireland than the abuse of absenteeism and non-residence in every possible form, whether it were in the captains of regiments or the proprietors of estates.

Sir Edward Dering's published speeches.

by no means disposed to mitigate punishment to an offending member. This was when Sir Edward Dering, in less than a month after the arrest of the members, had printed his speeches against the Grand Remonstrance, with a preface fo ill-judged and indifcreet, remarking upon members of the House and otherwise scandalizing its orders of debate, that opportunity was taken to vote his expulsion. The proposal found an ardent supporter in D'Ewes. He had no mercy for any one who departed from precedent, violated old usage, or committed breaches of parliamentary decorum; and, entering the House just as the debate began, and finding attempts made to evade the motion by no sharper censure than the Tower, he tells us that he lost all patience.

D'Ewes's indignation thereat.

Would have Dering expelled.

"After I had heard divers speak," he says, "and faw a great part of the House begin to "incline to inflict no other punishment on " him than fending him to the Tower, I was "very much troubled at it; especially when "Sir R. Hopton faid that we might retain " him because of his great parts." At this, unable to contain himself any longer, he started up; detailed the offences of the book; denounced the prefumption of the author; described him so overvaluing himself in his " most scandalous, seditious, and vain-glorious vain-glori- " performance," as if he had been able of himfelf to weigh down the balance of that House

Denounces his ous preface.

on either fide when he pleased; pointed out the evil consequence of printing such arguments, without allusion to the answers made thereto; dwelt upon the outrage to the free-Dering's dom of debate as unpardonable, feeing that attack upon the he had therein discovered the secrets of the House. House, had discredited the acts of the House, and had named members of the House (among them Mr. O. C. by which the member for Mr. O. C. Cambridge was plainly intended) to their libelled. difgrace; and he concluded by declaring that if he himself, member for Sudbury, should ever be fo unfortunate as to offend that affembly in fo high a nature, he would rather hide himfelf for ever in a cell than enter again within those walls! "As foon," he continues, "as I " had fpoken, having delivered myfelf with " fome vehemence, the Speaker faid prefently Mr. "to fome about his Chair, 'You may fee, now, Speaker compli-" what Sir Edward Dering's friends have pro-ments " cured him, by endeavouring to have a fmall D'Ewes. "' censure passed upon him." The tide had turned against Sir Edward. The determination became strong, not only to expel the writer, but to put a mark of opprobrium on the book; and though D'Ewes sensibly resisted Sir Walter Earle's motion for "calling it in," Objection to suppress on the ground that fuch a proceeding would fion of a raise the price of it from fourteen pence to book:

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 366 b.

will raise value from fourteen pence to fourteen shillings.

Dering expelled and his book burnt.

fourteen shillings, and hasten a new impression,\* he did not oppose Mr. Oliver Cromwell's fuggestion for remitting it to the hands of the common hangman. It was, by a majority of 85 to 61, ordered to be burnt in Palace Yard, Cheapside, and Smithfield, on the Friday following. Dering was expelled; and a warrant issued for a writ for Kent to choose a new knight.

Between that day and the next, however, a doubt feems to have occurred to the honorable member for Cambridge whether to burn a book were quite the best way of answering any dangerous matter contained in it; and D'Ewes relates accordingly what took place near the close of the fitting on the following day. +

Mr. Oliver

A fuggef- "Mr. Oliver Cromwell," he fays, "moved tion from "that Sir E. Dering's book, lately fet out by Cromwell. "him, had many dangerous and fcandalous

" passages in it, by which many must be de-" ceived and led into an ill opinion concerning

"the proceedings of this House; and there-

" fore defired that some able member of the "House might be appointed to make a short

" confutation of the same. And then he

" nominated Me. Which made me prefently " ftand up and answer, that I conceived that

"the gentleman who last spoke did not dream

Will D'Ewes answer Dering?

<sup>\*</sup> This passage of the debate was referred to in my Hist. and Biog. Esfays, i. 89, but the details here given have not before been presented. + Harl. MSS. 162, f. 368 a.

"that it was now near 7 of the clock at night, " or else that he would not at this time have -" made fuch a motion as he did: for, if I " could but gain some spare time from the " public fervice of the House, I have other D'Ewes "things to print, of more public use and has better benefit than the confutation of Sir E. things to do. "Dering's speech could be: and therefore I "defired that the gentleman himself who " made the motion, might be defired to under-"take the task. The Speaker then desired Might not Mr. "that I would print that, that would be for the Cromwell "public good." And with this polite inti-do it? mation from Mr. Speaker, unfeconded by any eagerness on Mr. Cromwell's part to assume himself the literary labour he would have

imposed on D'Ewes, the subject dropped. It will not be out of place to connect with Other it, and the illustrations formerly given of the proofs of D'Ewes's general trustworthiness, as well as temperate accuracy. and moderate spirit, of a man to whose manufcript record of the events under notice this narrative has been, and will be, so largely indebted, further and very striking proof of his independent honesty and conscientiousness in regard to his Journal. It is this in truth which gives it a character of accuracy and original authority Originalthat none of the many other existing MS. journal. journals of this time, which on examination turn out to be, for the most part, mere transcripts from the official records of the House, can in

Hollis would alter a message voted.

the least lay claim to. In the midst of the events under notice, when a message had been voted, late one evening, to the King, Denzil Hollis brought it again before the House the following morning, with a view to an alteration in the wording which he defired to fuggeft.

"But," D'Ewes continues, "Sir Guy "Palmes faid he did not know how it could "well be ordered, because the votes were

The meffage already printed.

" already printed. Thereupon some thought "that the clerk or his men had given it out: "others that it might be transcribed by some

" of the House. So the clerk was asked who Who "did constantly write out of his Journal Book " every night after the House was risen; and

"he faid the Lord Falkland only (who had " lately been made principal Secretary). Then "they asked him who, also, did sometimes

" write out of the faid Journal Book, or were

" present; and he said, Mr. Moore and Mr. "Bodvill did often write out of the same, and "that myself was sometimes present. But I,

" mistaking him, and conceiving that he "ranked me amongst the transcribers (who

" scarcely wrote 3 words out of his Journal

"Book in 3 months), was very angry with " him, and stood up and faid, that I was indeed

"often present when others transcribed out

But not " of the faid Journal, but did myself write not D'Ewes: he reports " out of that but out of my head: and there-

hishead:" " fore I defired that the clerk might name the

copies nightly from Clerk's Journals?

Falkland and two others.

"time when I transcribed anything out of his never at "Journal. With which the house resting satisfied hand,"

"fied, as I conceived, I troubled myself no

" further about it. But Mr. H. Elfyng, the

" clerk, came to me in Westminster Hall after

"we were risen, and expressed a great deal of Clerk "forrow that I did mistake him; that he only apologies.

" named me as being present, and the rather

"that I could prove what he faid." \*

An incident highly characteristic of D'Ewes, which occurred on the next following day, completes the picture of our learned and careful reporter, zealous for the originality of his notes, sensible of the power derived from exercise of such an art, and resolved to abate no jot of the influence it gave him. A delicate matter coming under debate (being nothing A delicate less than information, submitted by Pym, of matter diftamperings on the part of the Court with foreign powers, for the lending an army, if need should be, to put down the liberties of England) some members arose, in much excitement, to fuggest that the debate be adjourned Notefor a day, and that no one meanwhile be per-taking infeparamitted to take notes. "Stop note-taking!" ble from fpeech-making. "You cannot! Or, if you can, making. " make men hold their tongues, then, as well!"

Such being the recognized position of D'Ewes in the House, and his admitted authority in everything connected with its usages Relations of D'Ewes

to Lenthal:

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 430 a. + Ib. 163, f. 432 b.

ity in precedents:

his author- and the precedents of former times, he was naturally brought into frequent relations with the Speaker; and whether Lenthal found it more oppressive to submit to his critical objurgations, or to enjoy the advantage of his condescending patronage, it might be difficult to fay. There is, however, hardly a week's entry in his Journal that does not present him critic and patron of in one or other of these positions; and if nothing were known of Lenthal but the noble words we have feen him use on a sudden and great emergency, we might well be difposed to reject as incredible the impression which D'Ewes steadily conveys, that he was a timid, reftless, indecisive, ill-informed, and illconditioned man. Unhappily this impression is too well borne out by what otherwise is

Weaknesses of Lenthal.

Speaker.

Self-furrender of his only claim to respect.

against Scot the regicide.

down by himself on that memorable 4th of January, and flinging fcorn and difrepute on the only act by which in history he is honorably remembered, actually had the baseness, at the A witness Restoration, to give evidence against Scot the regicide of words which he had heard within the House when sitting in the Speaker's chair! † When Lenthal is credited, therefore,

known of his life, and by what already this narrative has disclosed.\* We know that this was the man who, violating the principle laid

\* Ante, 22, 25.

<sup>+</sup> State Trials, v. 1063. As a contrast let me mention, in to Lenthal, justice to the Earl of Northumberland, whose conduct throughout these affairs seems to me to have been unworthy of his abilities

with qualities generally poor and commonplace, we may be only too well affured that the facts alleged will justify the charge. Such evidence A time-abounds in every part of D'Ewes's Journal, ways. and proves beyond all doubt, quite irrespective of the special proof given in a previous fection of his eager defire at this time to offer fervile homage to the King, that what he showed himself unmistakeably to be in later years, he now already was, and was known to be. And I gladly feize the opportunity of adding, to what was remarked upon the fubject in a former work,\* other traits and in- Traits and cidents relating to him from D'Ewes's curious incidents manuscript, not merely characteristic and D'Ewes's amusing in themselves, but such as, besides completing what was formerly faid, will also help further to show D'Ewes's own position in reference to parties in the House.

A debate arose upon a question of privi-Question lege: a person having been arrested, after order of privihad issued from the House that he should be

and his name, that when, upon the Restoration, he consented, Northum-like Lenthal, to receive favour from the Government, it was berland by no such base betrayal of acts and proceedings in which he true to old had himself been a participator. Ludlow tells us in his friends. Memoirs that Lord Northumberland (who had taken the oath of fidelity to the Commonwealth) was heard to say in the Convention Parliament at the Restoration, that though he had no part in the death of the King, he was against questioning An examthose who had been concerned in that affair, that the example ple profitmight be more useful to posservity, and profitable to future Kings, able to by deterring them from the like exorbitancies. iii. 10, ed. 1699. Kings. \* Hist. and Biog. Essays (Debates on the Grand Remonstrance), i. 82, 83, &c.

Haselrig and Lenthal.

-Attack on Mr.

Speaker.

D'Ewes rebukes

Haselrig.

fent for as a witness. "When," says D'Ewes, "fome spake to the case, and mistook it, and "the Speaker would have informed them of the case how it stood, Sir A. Haselrig spake

" to the order of the House, and said that the "Speaker ought not to stand up and interrupt "any other member of the House when he

"was speaking. Whereupon the Speaker food up and answered Sir Arthur Haselrig

"that he had not stood up to interrupt any

"member, but only to inform fuch as should

"fpeak of the truth of the case. But Sir
"A. H. not satisfied herewith, stood up

" again: faying he would fpeak to the order

"of the House, and under colour thereof endeavoured to reply to the Speaker, and to

"get faid over again the fame thing: which

"made me interrupt him, though I much respected him." He accordingly, with defe-

rence, but very decidedly, rebukes "that wor-"thy gentleman in the Gallery," who, upon

D'Ewes resuming his seat, "would have spoken" again to the order of the House; but the

"House, it seems, being satisfied with what I

" faid, would not hear him again."\*

That was a great triumph for Sir Simonds, if not for Lenthal; but, upon a subsequent ques-

tion of order and usage, Mr. Speaker himself feems to have been permitted to violate all

precedent. Soon afterwards there occurred a

Lenthal out of order.

\* Harl. MSS. 163 f. 405 b.

debate, very stiffly maintained on both sides, Sugarabout the custom to be imposed on sugar duties' debate. D'Ewes was the last speaker, and sat down with a folemn warning to the House that they should be wary of offending the Hollanders with fuch an impost. "Between which time "and the putting of the question itself," he continues, "fome members came into the Members "House, and some called on them to with-entering just before "draw; and thereupon grew a debate, whether question " by the order of the House they should with-"draw or not: and in the iffue it was "observed that regularly no member of the "House could be commanded to withdraw, Not to "but when he came in after the question "had been put the first time." But the extraordinary thing was, D'Ewes concludes, that upon going to the division, the Speaker not only claimed to vote, but actually voted, "the "like of which I never knew before or fince."\*

Again, shortly after, occurred another in-Extraordistance of Mr. Speaker forgetting the dignity nary proceeding of his place. It arose out of Sir John Holland, of Mr. the member for Castle Rising, objecting to the amount of the parliamentary levy on his county. "Sir John Holland," says D'Ewes, Lenthal a Norfolk man, seemingly anxious to show again at fault. "his forwardness for the county, said he was "informed that Norfolk would not pay the

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 429 b.

An hon. member interrupted.

Hon. member

Mr.

Speaker fuccumbs.

D'Ewes's

indignation.

retorts.

" fum laid on them by the £400,000 bill, " except some abatement; and that if any dis-

"temper arose in Norfolk, it would be paid" "nowhere in England. Whereupon the

"Speaker stood up and interrupted him, and " faid fuch words were very dangerous and

" not fit to be spoken. But Sir J. H. stood

"up to justify himself, and averred that he

" only faid he was informed fo, and claimed "the privilege of a member not to be inter-

"rupted, &c. Whereupon the Speaker, for-

" getting the dignity of his place, and deferting

"the just ground that was given him to

"interrupt him, gave fome approbation to

" what he had faid, and fat him down. So " as Sir John Holland was proceeding on as

"if he had done very well before, which

" made me, with fome indignation to fee the

"Speaker's miscarriage, stand up and speak to

"the order of the House." \* Here, beyond all doubt, was another decided fuccess for

D'Ewes; and the House loudly, and very properly, applauded him for thus vindicating Mr.

Speaker, though against Mr. Speaker himself.

But, even in the trivial duties and observances of his place, Lenthal was by no means expert. Some letters having been handed in to the Speaker, and among them one from the King, he gave it to the Clerk of the House, "who,"

Lenthal's deficiencies as Speaker.

fays D'Ewes, "having read the fuperscription A letter "Charles Rex, I stood up and reminded the from the King. "" Speaker that he was to read fuch letters "himself: on which he acknowledged his "error, and read it." It came at last, indeed, D'Ewes to be very generally understood that the authority member for Sudbury, and not the Speaker, was as to order: the man to fettle questions of order, and to compose jarring discords in debate.\* A curious instance occurred when Sir Henry Mildmay, the member for Malden, who sat afterwards composer on the trial of the King, would have obtained of difconsent from the House to a bill for trade debate. which threatened to interfere mightily with the Coventry weavers; whereupon Mr. William Jesson, an ancient alderman of that borough Heat of who very worthily represented it, started up with ancient burgess much heat and I spake very earnestly against for Coven-" fuch a bill, faying that by fo doing we would try. "destroy the whole trade of the kingdom. "Whereupon Sir H. Mildmay took excep-"tion, affirming that the faid Mr. Jesson " had looked very fiercely upon him when he

<sup>\*</sup> Other duties appear at times to have been imposed which D'Ewes he took upon himself with less relish. The following may serve avoids as an example: "Between 4 and 5 the House resolved into a chair of "Grand Committee on Tonnage: and when the Speaker Com-"withdrew, and most of the House with him, some to mittee. "Committees, and some clean away, so as we were scarce 40 " left, divers called on me to fit in the chair at the Committee. "So as, fearing that I should not have excused myself, I with-

<sup>&</sup>quot;drew out of the House, and after Mr. Ellis had taken the "faid chair, I returned again. The bill passed, and we rose between 5 and 6." Harl. MSS. 162, f. 357 a.

unparliamentary looks:

Fierce and " fpoke, and that it was done in an unparlia-"mentary way." Here was a novel case! and it must be confessed that D'Ewes, on appeal being made to him, treated it more fenfibly than might have been expected. Defiring to qualify, as he fays, fuch unnecessary heat, he declared that in all his knowledge of these matters he never knew exception taken at looks before; and, with fome further goodnatured words, he perfectly reconciled the offended knight and too choleric ancient burgefs.\*

thereon.

D'Ewes's opinion

Ancient member again.

Vote for allegiance to Parliamentary general.

Difliked by D'Ewes.

It fared not fo well, however, with the good old member for Coventry fome few months later, when, upon the unfurling of the Royal standard at Nottingham "about six of the " clock in the evening of a very stormy and "tempestuous day," the House of Commons promptly met the King's proclamation against Lord Effex as a traitor, by a vote calling upon every member to answer individually, upon the instant, whether he would venture and hazard his life and fortune with the Earl of Effex, Lord General. D'Ewes regarded this vote with little favour, and dwells upon the harsh way in which it was pressed by the "fiery " fpirits" who had introduced it: wherein, he adds, they were feconded, in a manner un-

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 502 a. † "The standard," Clarendon subsequently tells us (Hist. iii. 190), "was blown down the same night it had been set up, "by a very strong and unruly wind."

worthy of himself and contrary to the duty of his place, by Mr. Speaker. "And whereas Required "one Mr. Jesson, one of the burgesses for to say Aye. "Coventry, being an ancient man, did only "defire a little time to confider of it before " he gave his answer, they would not permit "that, but compelled him to answer presently, "whereupon he, not being satisfied in his con-" science, gave his No. At which those hot Says No. " spirits taking great distaste, the Speaker, " unworthy of himself and contrary to the "duty of his place, fell upon him with very Affailed "ftrange language for giving his No; and by Mr. Speaker. "when the poor man, terrified with the dif-" pleasure he saw was taken against him, would "have given his Aye, they would not permit Wishes to him to do that neither. Sir Guy Palmes, fay Aye: and Mr. Fettyplace" (the members for permitted. Rutlandshire and Berkshire, both of them declared Royalists) "were so overawed by Mr. Other "Jesson's missortune as they answered Aye members fright-"without any further debate; and fo did many ened. "others who came dropping in from dinner, " not knowing what had been done and was

Nor had the scene been less striking some three months earlier (little more than six weeks after the attempted arrest), when, amid the war of declarations and replies that preceded the unfurling of the standards, Sir Peter Wentworth

"doing in the House." \*

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 164, f. 1060 b.

Sir Peter Wentworth:

cannot trust the King.

Chancelchequer's horror.

House overlooks this "folly."

Old Sir Harry Vane.

Startling speeches.

Sir John Northcote's avowal.

(who fat for Tamworth, and afterwards on the High Court of Justice) took the occasion of a particular message from Charles to say "that " we could not confide in the King nor trust him: "which made Sir John Culpeper, Chancellor " of the Exchequer, who fat near him, rife up " and fay that he wondered that any man lor of Ex- " should dare to speak such language within "these walls-That we could not confide in the "King!" Confiderable excitement enfued, D'Ewes proceeds to tell us, but Sir Peter's plain speaking having found several backers, he was permitted to explain himself.

" fo the House passed by his folly."

record in itself, and having a highly characteriftic fequel with D'Ewes for its hero. Vane, who so long had served the highest offices of state, had signalised himself, since his loss of Court favour and public employment,\* by displaying in opposition all the caution and prudence which accompany the expectation of being restored to power. But, in a speech he delivered on the present occasion, this referve was flung aside. He showed that things were come to a desperate condition. In a previous debate on the Custody of the

But then followed an incident well worthy

member for Ashburton, had faid plainly he \* Ante 50, 51.

young Prince of Wales, very startling allusions

had been made. Sir John Northcote, the

would rather increase the jealousies between the King and the House than any way diminish them, and, amid continual excitement and interruptions, had perfifted in naming an intention which they had all heard discussed elsewhere if not in that House, "to crown the prince and "Make the Prince make him King."\* But now, in a very full our House, amid an unusual and sullen silence, Old King." Vane did not scruple to take something of a similar tone. He gave in his adhesion to the views expressed by Pym and Hampden upon the question of the Militia, declared his conviction that "the present flame would devour all" unless great care and wisdom were used for stopping old vane it, "and wished that to that end we might lay declares for militia "a new foundation." This called up Mr. and "new Harry Killegrew of Cornwall, the member for foundation." West Looe, + who made a violent Royalist fpeech, and in the course of it propounded a constitutional doctrine of an extremely novel and disconcerting kind. He warned them that they were fetting their feet on flippery places

\* Northcote's speech was delivered on the 14th January on the motion of Sir Henry Chomley, the member for Northallerton, for removal of jealousies between King and Parliament. Harl. MSS. 162, f. 328 a.

<sup>+</sup> The same "gallant gentleman and generally known," Anecdote of whom Clarendon relates (Life, i. 140) that subsequently, of Killeon being invited with the other members to offer a contribution grew. towards the formation of an army for the Parliament, stood up and answered, he would provide a good horse, and a good sword, and a good buff coat, and then he would find a good Will cause: "which for that time only raised laughter, though they "find" "knew well what cause he thought good, which he had never a good " diffembled."

Harry Killegrew's ipeech.

in what they called their new foundation, and that he could wish, before the gentlemen he faw around him concluded matters of fo great moment then and there, as imposing the militia and all their new taxation on the people, they should send some members of that House into each county to have their consent; otherwise, they might come to feel the weight of the major part of the people; for it was not the enacting of a law that made it in force, but the willing obedience to it. "With fome other words," D'Ewes adds, "to the like effect, at which " many of the House, laughing heartily when "he fpoke them, it made him repeat them "once or twice." The laughers meanwhile defisted, for Young Vane arose with much gravity to take exception to words carrying

fuch dangerous import. Others followed in the fame tone; and fome, fays D'Ewes, did aggravate the words fo far, that they were against allowing Mr. Killegrew to explain

political doctrine.

Novel

House laughs.

Young Vane very ferious.

Killegrew's apology.

Pym refifts his expulsion.

himself. With some difficulty Pym obtained hearing for him, "and so he made some little bearing for him, and so he made some little in the presence of God that he had no intention to do any differvice to the House." Upon this Pym

opposed the motion for his expulsion, which was rejected by 131 to 97. He was however ordered to withdraw, and, the debate continuing, there came suddenly to his relief another Cornishman, Mr. Chadwell, the member for St.

Michaels, who professed to cite some ancient An indifrecord supporting what the member for West friend. Looe had faid. D'Ewes no sooner heard it than he suspected an imposture. He withdrew very quietly, for it was against the order of the House; hastened over to his lodging, close at D'Ewes hand; looked through his papers and records; goes in fearch of hurried back to the debate; and threw upon records. it a flood of antiquarian lore, underneath which poor Mr. Chadwell, and his misquoted, misdated, and wholly mifrepresented record, were completely carried away. But it is a peculiarity of D'Ewes to be always magnanimous in his moments of triumph. He never tramples on the fallen. "No doubt, Mr. Speaker," he faid, "I think this gentleman very faulty who "would presume to misquote Records for "Mr. Killegrew. But, not being well skilled Exposes "in Records, perhaps he did not know the Gornish ignorance." dangerous consequence." That was his tone. The House fell in with it; and both Killegrew and Chadwell, thanks to the moderation and Is mercigood fense of Pym, escaped with but slight ful in tripunishment.\*

These illustrations may now be fitly closed with some notice of the many efforts made to

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 451 b. Being called to the Bar, the A repri-Speaker told them that the House conceived the offence to be mand. of a very high nature, considering the circumstances of time and the opinions of some people abroad; and therefore they had commanded him to give them a sharp reprehension, and it was the mercy of the House that the censure was no severer.

Attempts to force early attendance.

compel early and full attendance at the House, in which D'Ewes and Lenthal took prominent part. Under the form of fines for being late at prayers, these attempts were frequently renewed; and they had originated at a memorable time. As early as the previous May (1641), when the duties and responsibilities of membership had become such as to daunt and deter all but the most resolute; amid the plots for Strafford's escape, and the tumultuous assemblages of the people demanding justice upon him; when the King still paused on the verge of desperate Alarming counsels; while each hour of every day came first found laden with its danger and its terror; only two necessary. days before Charles had gone to the Lords to

> warn them against passing the attainder, for that he never in his conscience could consent to it; on

time when

Tragi-

the very day when Pym arose in the Commons to explode the conspiracy of Henry Percy and Goring for bringing up the army and feizing on the Tower,-D'Ewes makes the subjoined most striking entry in his Journal. It adds another to many memorable instances of the close intermixture of seriousness and laughter in comedy of this tragi-comedy of the world, and is one more the world. proof that men are never fo prone to fudden bursts of mirth as when heavy and overborne in fpirit by a long strain of anxiety, by nervous excitement or apprehension, by the over-wrought intensity of either hope or fear.

" Prayers being done, after the Speaker had

"fitten a good while, and all men filent, the The "Clerk's affistant began to read a bill touching House in fadness." wire-drawers, which being presently stopped, "did amidst our sad apprehensions move "laughter from divers that such a frivolous Suddenly bill should be pitched upon, when all matters moved to laughter." were in such apparent danger. After some "half-hour's silence more, or a quarter's, some "called to have the order read, which was "made on Saturday, by which every member "that came after eight of the clock was to pay one shilling. And then, as men came in, "divers cried, 'Pay! Pay!' When the Serjeant The shillings."

"divers cried, 'Pay! Pay!' When the Serjeant The shildemanded the said shilling, which bred a great ling fine.

"confusion."\*

Such was the continued confusion, indeed, A failure. that for this particular time it had to be abandoned. But, ten months later, it was renewed; and Sir Simonds had again, upon the special subject, though on this occasion with inferior success to that we have seen formerly attend him, to vindicate the dignity of Mr. Speaker's place against Lenthal's own forgetfulness and non-affertion of it. On a Tuesday shilling the fine was proposed. "A motion made," sine again fays D'Ewes, "as I came in, that such "roposed." members as should not come up by 8 and "be at prayers, should pay a shilling. I said, D'Ewes "when that was tried twelve months ago opposed to it.

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 514 a.

"after one day's practice; and that the best way would be to rise at 12, and not at 2 or 3, to ensure members coming at 8. Divers others spake against it; but the greater number being for it, it passed."

Mr. Speaker late: Very little, however, as it would feem, to the immediate edification of Mr. Speaker, feeing that next morning, Wednesday, he did not himself make his appearance till a quarter to nine. "The House by this time," D'Ewes remarks, "was very full at prayers, by reason of the order made yesterday. Sir H. Mildmay, after prayers, stood up and said he was glad to see this good effect of yesterday's order; and said to the Speaker that he did hope that hereafter

"he would come in time; which made the Speaker throw down twelvepence upon the

rebuked:

throws his shilling on table :

"table. Divers spake after him, and others as they came in did each pay his shilling to the Serjeant. I spake to the Orders of the House: That the order made yesterday was to fine 'after' prayers, and therefore you (I spake to the Speaker) cannot be subject to pay; and for coming a little after 8, that was no great difference. Although I spake truly, the Speaker having cast down his

will not take it up again.

One may perhaps infer, without difrespect, that Lenthal had sulked a little; and the ill effect of so throwing down his twelvepence,

" shilling, would not take it up again."+

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 474 a.

<sup>+</sup> Ib. 163, f. 475 b.

certainly displayed itself next day, Thursday, Ill results when the action found an imitator well dif- of the fine. posed to exaggerate it. After observing that on that morning only about forty were at prayers, D'Ewes proceeds to fay that it was ordered upon the motion of Mr. Rous, that the fines of yesterday and to-day be given to Dr. Leighton, being in some distress. Then came on a petition complaining of Dr. Fuller, parson of St. Giles's, having chosen two churchwardens ill affected to religion, in oppofition to two chosen by the parishioners. "Some coming in and refusing to pay, whilst Refusals "the aforesaid petition was reading, divers to pay. "called out to them to pay, and fo inter-" rupted the Clerk's affiftant, who was reading "it. Mr. John Hotham stood up and said "that the time appointed for men to come " yesterday by the order was 8, and that the " chimes for that hour went just as he came "into the house. But the Speaker telling Jack
hotham that prayers being past he must pay, ordered to "and he still refusing, it was put to the pay. "question, ruled affirmatively, and ordered ac-" cordingly. Whereupon he took his shilling, "and threw it down upon the ground: flings his fhilling on "upon which some called him to the bar, ground. "others that he should withdraw: and the " Speaker, standing up, did sharply reprove him " for that action, as being a contempt to the

"House. Which caused him, as I conceive, a

" little after, to withdraw out of the House, though he returned again this forenoon."\*

Beginning of the End.

These various scenes, and the attempts to check in honorable members a growing tendency to slacken and be remiss in their attendances, presigure what was now rapidly approaching. The King's party had lost their last venture, and silent desertions were reported daily. A call of the House had been attempted with ill success soon after Strafford's execution, and now another attempt was made. "Mr.

Call of House attempted.

Not forty members prefent.

"D. Hollis," fays D'Ewes, "moved that the " house might be called, and such as were absent "fined, for the relief of Ireland." But Sir Simonds floutly opposed the motion, reminding Mr. Speaker that none of the members who were absent at the first calling had paid their £5 fine. In the end, the motion was overruled, and D'Ewes adds: "A number went to the " conference with the Lords, and we had not " forty left, so the Speaker left the chair, and " we discoursed severally one with another for a " pretty while." † Discourse which has all passed away with the honourable members themselves, but of which we might perhaps with flight effort, if it were worth the while, recal fo much as the subjoined little incident of that day is likely to have called forth, as they fo talked feverally one with another. It had occurred while the House yet sat, and business

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 163, f. 476 a.

<sup>†</sup> Ib. 162, f. 401 b.

was proceeding. "One Mr. Shepherd, a Aftranger franger, came into the House and stood House." behind the Serjeant. So divers espied him out, and called him to the Bar. There, he would not tell his name, but said he was a

"Bedfordshire man. As divers knew him, How dealt with.

And now I refume the course of this narra-Resumptive, which will not be held, I trust, to have been interrupted needlessly, by a series of incidents and illustrations intimately connected with it; all of them drawn from an unpublished manuscript record; ranging, in every instance, within a compass of not many weeks beyond the date of the Arrest of the Five Members; and not only supplying traits of history and personal character essential to any thorough why incomprehension of the circumstances and results comprised in that event, but testifying to the trustworthiness of one of the principal witnesses to be called in evidence for what yet remains to be described.

## § XXIV. APPEAL TO THE CITY.

CHARLES fent for Mr. Rushworth shortly Mr. Rushafter he reached Whitehall. James Maxwell, worth sent usher of the House of Lords, the same to whom King.

Strafford yielded himself a prisoner, and in

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, ff. 385 a. 389 a.

fpeech

whose house at Charing Cross two right Report of reverend prelates were now impounded, bore his majef- the message to the astonished Clerk's assistant. Arrived in the Royal presence, the King comdemanded manded him to give him a copy of his speech that day, which "his Majesty had observed " him to take in characters at the table in the " House." Somewhat alarmed at the order, and perhaps not without the ambition to show the King that Mr. Speaker's recent lesson of allegiance to the Commons had not been thrown Mr. Rush- away, Mr. Rushworth stammered out excuses; and proceeded humbly to remind his Majesty how a certain member had been committed to the Tower, for reporting what a certain other member had faid in the House. Then faid his Majesty smartly, "I do not ask you to tell me " what was faid by any member of the House, " but what I said myself." Whereupon, Mr. Rushworth informs us, that, omitting what Lenthal had interposed, he "readily gave

King's sharp reioinder.

worth's

humble excuses.

Speech tranfcribed from notes, in King's presence.

Sent to press.

"the Jewel-house, transcribed his Majesty's " fpeech out of his characters, his Majesty " staying in the room all the while, and then "and there presented the same to the King: " which his Majesty was pleased to command

" obedience to his Majesty's command, and in "his Majesty's presence, in the room called

" to be fent speedily to the press, and the next " morning it came forth in print." But alas

for the present chances of such an appeal!

Every copy that could now be circulated had for its precursor, and illustrative comment, the printed and published Grand Remonstrance, already for nearly three weeks in the hands of every Citizen.

On the fame night, after Rushworth quitted Proclamathe King, there came forth a proclamation tion against reiterating the charge of treason against the Five Five Members, and clofing the ports against any Members. attempt they might make to quit the kingdom. Ports This proclamation is ordinarily confounded against with that which forbade all persons under their gravest penalties to receive or harbour them, escape. and which was not issued until afterwards. Received and harboured, meanwhile, it was well known that they now were, in a house in Their Coleman Street in the City: whither already place of refuge. the King was refolved to proceed next day to demand them, and to try his final chances of authority and predominance in that stronghold of his kingdom.

Of the influence and importance of the City of City of London at this time, it is needless to speak. It represented in itself the wealth, the strength, and the independence, which had made England seared and honoured throughout the world. Within its walls, and under the shadow and protection of its franchises, slept Mernightly between three and four hundred thouhome as fand Citizens. The place of business of the well as merchant, in those days, was also his residence business.

Its palaces and his home. The houses then recently built and priviby nobles beyond its precincts, along the Strand leges. of the magnificent river, scarcely transcended in extent or splendour those palaces of its merchant princes, which lurked everywhere behind its bufy wharves and crowded counting-houses.

But, beyond every fuch fource of aggrandife-Sources of ments, its privileges were its power. From its its power. guilds, charters, and immunities, wrested from the needs, or bestowed by the favour, of succesfive princes; from its own regulation of its military as well as civil affairs; \* from its

Lord Mayor's letter to aldermen.

Military organization of City.

Instructions for watch and ward.

Personal fervice required from

\* Late in the night of the 4th of January, the day of the King's attempt, upon some suggestion which had reached him from Whitehall, Sir Richard Gourney sent round to the Aldermen of each ward in the City a letter of which the rough draft, brought back apparently to the Court, is now in the State Paper Office. It will be read with interest for the proof it affords of the military government and organization of the City at the time. Of course the object which the Lord Mayor had in view was frustrated by the very means thus proposed to give effect to it. He miscalculated, as the King did; and the organization and resistance they would have invoked to protect themselves, they found suddenly turned against them. The letter begins by stating, that, for the better suppressing and apprehending of all such insolent persons as shall be tumultuously assembled in and about the City and Liberties thereof, each Alderman do straightway appoint "fubstantial double watch and ward of able men, well "weaponed and furnished with Halberds and Musquetts, to " be from henceforth duly kept & continued every night and "day . . especially at every gate, posterne, & landing place within the same, to beginne at eight of the clock in "the evening and continue until five in the morning. And " fo from that tyme, by new supply, until eight at night "again," to go on until each Alderman have further order to aldermen. the contrary from the Chief Magistrate. And further, each Alderman is adjured "that yourselfe take the service, the danger " of the tymes considered, personally to heart and care. And "that you, your deputy, & some of the Common Councilmen, " in person, do not only by turne watch every night, but that

complete and thoroughly organized democracy, Its comgoverned and governing by and within itself; plete and was derived an influence which made it formid-demoable far beyond its wealth and numbers. Cla-cracy. rendon, after speaking of its incredible accession of trade, of its marvellous increase in riches, Its increpeople, and buildings, of its unvarying choice dible enrichment of the wealthiest and best-reputed men, of the by trade. wifest and most substantial citizens, to serve its offices and dignities, and of its feveral powerful companies "incorporated within the great " corporation," falls into a lament that wife Clarenmen should not have foreseen, that such a full-don's la-ment. ness could not possibly continue there without an emptiness in other places; and that the government of the country should undergo neglect, while fo many persons of honour and estates were so delighted with the City.\* But this lament was not indulged until the City

"you provide the fame watch and ward to be orderly fett Gates were everywhere to be flut and strongly guarded. Fortifica-Especial care to be taken that the said gates, and portcullises thereunto belonging, were speedily repaired and made suffi-the City ciently strong wheresoever required: and the portcullises made walls. eafy to let down and draw up when need should be. Also provision was to be made for setting right all chains and posts in any way defective, substantially and strongly. Also each parish in the ward was to be sufficiently furnished with hooks, ladders, buckets, spades, shovels, pickaxes, augurs, and chifels. Men were likewise to be provided in such numbers that the Trained Bands and watches might be kept constant to their stations, and always in full efficiency. And every householder was to be responsible for the good conduct of his apprentices. They were not to permit either them or their fervants to go abroad without most severe penalties. It is signed "This 4th day of Jan. MICHELL." \* Hist. ii. 151.

The City had made itself, in the same writer's words, disaffected " eminent for its disaffection to the governto the "ment of Church and State" (as then Court. administered), and had in fact overthrown it. To its honour, be it faid, that, from the hour the cause of public freedom was in peril, the City of London cast in its fortunes unre-Well fervedly with the opposition to the Court.\* affected to Its resolute refusal to join the league against

the Commons.

the Scottish Covenant, had baffled the counsels and wasted the energies of Strafford; and its Services in Trained Bands, under Skippon, were destined largely to contribute to the final defeat of the

King.

Excitement on night of the arrest.

the war.

Throughout the night of Tuesday the 4th of January, a terrible excitement prevailed. Upon intelligence of the King's attempt, all the shops had been closed, and the City all night

Attack on City in Royalist fatires.

\* The City, it is almost unnecessary to say, is the constant object of unsparing and merciless attack in the Court Satires, but its power is freely admitted, and the fustaining force it imparted to the popular counfels is never for a moment queftioned. The subjoined lines are from An Address to the City:

Now do you daily contribute and pay Money your Truths and Honours to betray! Bigg with Fanatic thoughts and wilde defire, 'Tis you that blow up the increasing fire Of foul Rebellion! you that alone do bring Armies into the Field against your King! For wer't not from fustainment from your Baggs That "Great" and "Highest" Court that only braggs Of your vain folly, long 'ere this had been Punish'd for their bold 'acrilegious sin . . . They would not then have so supreamly brought Their votes to bring the kingdome's peace to nought, Nor with fo flight a value lookt on him King Charles, and only doted on king Pym!

was under arms.\* From gate to gate passed "Cavaliers comthe cries of alarmed Citizens that the Cavaliers ing." were entering, that their defign was to fire the City, and that the King himself was at the head of them. Threats of a contemplated feizure Appreof the arms of the Citizens, by violent entry feizure of into their houses under royal warrant, increased arms. the prevailing dread and excitement. † Nor was the feeling likely to abate upon rumours

\* "The shops of the City generally shut up, as if an enemy City shops were at their gates ready to enter, and to plunder them; all shut. "and the people in all places at a gaze, as if they looked only for directions, and were then disposed to any under-

"taking."-Clarendon, Hift. ii. 160.

† That there existed too much ground for these suspicions, I Rough discover by the rough draft, in the State Paper Office, of the draft of subjoined "Warrant to the Lord Mayor under the Signet," royal wardated 4th of January 1641. "Whereas wee are informed rant. dated 4th of January 1641. "Whereas wee are informed rant.
"that fix peeces of Ordnance, usually belonging to the
"Artillery Yard, have now lately been brought into that ordnance
"Or Citty of London, and placed in Leaden Hall, but we fafely dif-"what intentions wee are not yett well satisfied. [Considering posed. the distempers and troubles of these times,] Our will and "command therefore is, that you forthwith take an especiall care to see those said peeces soe safely disposed of, that they

onely serve for the guard and preservation of the said Citty, "if cause should soe require. And whereas wee are farther Houses to "informed that severall persons of mean quality have of late be searched "taken into their houses an unusuall number of musquets, as for mus-" fome 20, 30, 40, or thereabout, and amunition accordingly. kets.

"Our will and pleasure is that you likewise cause a search to be made through the said Citty and the Liberties thereof, " and, when you shall find any such quantities of armes, that

"you examine those persons upon what grounds and reasons Possessors "they have made fuch provisions, and, as you shall see cause, of fire-"that you take soe good affurance from them, that they may be arms to be " responsible for the said armes and their intentions therewth, examined. " that through the same the peace and safety of that Our

"Citty not any ways be endangered. And for soe doing this 
final be y warrant. Given under our Signet, Whitehall, 
final 1641." The words within brackets are an inter-

lineation in Nicholas's hand-writing.

spread abroad with the dawn, of a message King's message to received by the Chief Magistrate from Whitethe Lord hall, to the effect that his Majesty had matter of Mayor. pressing occasion to address to the Lord Mayor and Common Council, and proposed to visit Warrants Guildhall before noon. Warrants of arrest, against committed to the hands of the two Sheriffs of accused. London, preceded him there; and no indication was wanting of a determined resolve that he would yet carry out his purpose of obtaining possession of the persons of the accused.

## § XXV. THE KING'S RECEPTION IN GUILDHALL.

An important day for Charles I. Soon after nine o'clock on the morning of Wednesday the 5th January, or nearly four hours before the time to which the House of Commons had adjourned their meeting that day, Charles set out upon his enterprise of conferring with the City authorities; and the report in Rushworth, and half a page in Clarendon, are all that has hitherto come down to us of what passed at a meeting which may be said to have determined the King's sate.\*

King's speech at Guildhall.

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. Col. III. i. 479, 480; Clarendon, Hift. ii. 131. I subjoin Rushworth's account, which, brief and dry as it is, comprises all the detail known to us hitherto of what transpired. "His Majesty being arrived at Guild Hall, and the Common Council assembled, he made this speech to them: Gentlemen, I am come to demand such persons as "I have already accused of High Treason, and do believe are shrouded in the City. I hope no good man will keep "I them from me; their offences are Treason and Misde-

For, in this visit, he threw his last stake for the His last good-will of his citizen fubjects. Declining flake for good-will to take any Guard with him, and counting to of City. the last upon a greeting at Guildhall not less enthusiastic or loyal than had welcomed him on his return from Scotland, he left Whitehall with the confident belief that he should His confidrive his enemies from their last refuge. Nor dence still unabated. was he without fo much ground for the delufion as, however fcant and infufficient in reality, might perhaps have been expected to fuffice to a mind so obstinate and narrow. He continued Grounds to have undoubtedly many adherents among for fuch false relithose holding municipal places. One of the ance. Sheriffs was his unflinching partizan. The Chief Magistrate wielded extraordinary powers in that day, long fince fallen to difuse; and the devoted adherence of the present holder of the office, carried still an amount of Present fupport that in ordinary circumstances might and old have turned the scale. Royalty itself, more-traditions. over, had not lost even then all its old tradi-

<sup>&</sup>quot; meanour of an high nature. I defire your loving affiftance " herein, that they may be brought to a legal trial. And " whereas there are divers suspicions raised that I am a

<sup>&</sup>quot;" whereas there are divers impicions raised that I am a
"" favourer of the Popish Religion, I do profess in the name Affur"" of a king that I did, and ever will, and that to the utmost ances as to
"" of my power, be a prosecutor of all such as shall any ways religion.
"" oppose the laws and statutes of this kingdom, either papists
"" or separatists; and not only so, but I will maintain and
"" defend that true Protestant Religion which my Father did
"" profess, and I will continue in it during life." His Majety
"" was nobly entertained that day in London at the house of Dinner at

<sup>&</sup>quot;was nobly entertained that day in London at the house of Dinner at one of the Sheriffs, and after dinner returned to Whitehall Sheriff's.

<sup>&</sup>quot; without interruption of tumults."

Reception on his way.

Caution to be wary of speech.

Forced mildness.

Captain Slingsby an eyeand earwitness.

" Privilege! privilege!"

tents, O Ifrael."

tional and inherent authority; and the number of waverers, or men of no fixed opinion, whom all these circumstances would be likely to influence, could not have been inconsiderable. Hardly had Charles passed Temple Bar, however, when he must have felt these supports begin to crumble under him; and fuch warning had he received to be wary of his speech by the time he reached Guildhall, that his declared and determined purpose to have the five traitors delivered up to him, which he had come there exclusively to repeat and enforce, must have founded strangely out of keeping with the forced mildness of his tone. We are happily able to break through the referve of Rushworth, and fully to describe the scene.

It was Captain Slingsby's fortune that day, as he writes to Admiral Pennington the day following,\* " being in a coach," to meet the King with his fmall train going into the City. Whereupon, he fays, he followed him. His Majesty's reception in the streets was not favourable. Unsuppressed cries of discontent broke forth. The multitude pressed around his coach with confused shouts of Privilege of Parliament! Privilege of Parliament! and one, less restrained than the rest, made himself conspicuous by "To your flinging into the window a paper on which was written, "To your Tents, O Ifrael!"

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office: Slingfby to Pennington: 6th January 1641-2.

The offence was expiated at Sessions; but the Ten Tribes had even now deferted the Rehoboam, whom nevertheless the more gracious company, the Mayor, the Sheriffs, the Aldermen, and all the Common Council affembled in Arrival at Guildhall. full order and ceremony at Guildhall, received with every external mark of homage and respect.

He at once addressed them. He had come, King's he faid, to demand fuch persons as he had speech. already accused of high treason, and did believe were shrouded in the City. He hoped no good man would keep them from him, Refolved to have their offences being treason and misdemeanor the Five of a high nature; and he defired affiftance to Members. bring them to a legal trial. He was very forry to hear of the apprehensions the City had entertained of danger, and he was come to Reliance on the them to show how much he relied on their City's affections for his fecurity and guard, having good-will. brought no other with him. Whereas there had been suspicions raised that he was a favorer of the Popish religion, he now declared to them his wish and intention to join with the Parliament in extirpation not alone of Popery, but of all schisms and sectaries. His resolve Will redress was to redress all the grievances of the subject, grievances and his care should be to preserve the privileges privileges: of the Parliament; but again and again, according to Slingsby, he repeated, he must question but must question those Traitors. He justified the Military Guard Traitors.

Justifies Whitehall Guard.

established at Whitehall, and said the reason thereof was "for securing himself, the Parlia-"ment, and themselves, from those late tu-"mults." He added, says Slingsby, "some-"thing of the Irish; and at last had some familiar to the Aldermen" (spoke them friendly words, that is), "and invited himself to dinner to the Sheriss." He was careful to select for that honour Mr. Sheriss Garrett, who was of the two, according to Clarendon, thought to be less inclined to his service.

Offers to dine with liberal Sheriff.

Ominous filence:
Opposing cries.

"Privileges of Parliament," and "God blefs the King."

So far all had passed very quietly; in an ominous silence, but without interruption. Then, says Slingsby, after a little pause, a cry was set up among the Common Council, Parliament! Privileges of Parliament! And presently another, God bless the King! These two, he writes, "continued both at once a "good while, I know not which was loudest." Sufficiently decisive evidence, it will be thought, out of such lips, that the resistance to the loyal ejaculation must indeed have been stoutly and sturdily maintained.

Has any one anything to fay?

Nothing can be more characteristic than the fequel, as related by this eye-witness so favorable to the King. "After some knocking for filence, the Kinge comaunded one to speake if they had any thinge to say. One sayd,

Yes—we vote you hear your Parlia-ment.

"It is the vote of this Court that your Matie heare the advice of your Parlament. But

" presentlie another answered, It is not the

" vote of this Court: it is your ownn vote! No-that "The Kinge replyed, Who is it that fays I wote." " do not take the advyce of my Parlament: I " do take their advyce and will: but I must " distinguish between the Parlament and some "Traytors in it: and those" (Slingsby tells us that he again and again repeated this) "he "would bring to tryall-tryall!" Then there was filence again: but prefently, and quite unexpectedly, another highly characteriftic interruption. "Another bold fellow, A bold "in the lowest ranke, stood upp upon a form. "a forme, and cryed The Priviledges of " Parlament! And another cryed out, Ob-"ferve the man, apprehend him! The King " mildly replied, I have and will observe Rejoinder " all priviledges of Parlament, but no pri-for him. " viledges can protect a traytor from a tryall—" Trial— "tryall! And foe departed. In the outer trial!" " hall were a multitude of the ruder people, " who, as the King went out, fett up a greater

Through these ruder people he passed to Dines with Sheriff Garrett's house, was nobly entertained Sheriff. therein until 3 o'clock, and, with the fatal and determined shout of Privilege! Privilege! again raised from the lips of thousands, while upon his own doubtless there trembled still the hesitating and painful, if not less obstinate,

" cry The Priviledge of Parlament!"

cry of Trial-Trial! he returned to White-"Trialhall. He had thrown and lost the stake.

## § XXVI. Humiliation and Revenge.

Incidents of the return to Whitehall. OF the incidents of Charles the First's return to his palace on this ill-omened day, when, as Clarendon mildly phrases it, he failed of that applause and cheerfulness which he might have expected from the extraordinary grace he had vouchsafed, Captain Slingsby says nothing; but they are named by another correspondent of Pennington, whose letter, contributing some heightening touches even to the relation just given, will find also here its appropriate place. "Noble Sir," writes Mr. Thomas Wiseman\* to the Admiral of the Channel Fleet, "I am forry that the times are "fuch they will afford little else to advize of, "than the daily distractions that increase upon

Wifeman to Pennington: 6th January.

News of the week. "than the daily distractions that increase upon us. The last weeke, 12 Bhps were impeached of high treason by the Parlament; and this weeke, 5 of the cheisse membrs of the House of Comons, & the Lord Mandeville in the Lords House, by the King: as by the charge given then, & theire names, you

Bere to Pennington: 6th January.

Cries in City.

\* MS. State Paper Office. 6th January. I append, from the same rich and unexplored materials of history, some sentences of a letter, with same date, from Under Secretary Sidney Bere: "Yesterday the King went to Guild Hall in person. "They made a contused noise crying out for Privileges of Parliament, to weh his Matte gave all the assurance possible that his intention was not in the leaste to infringe them. "But att this time he went not guarded as he did the day before to Parliament. That asternoone the Lower House

"before to Parliament. That afternoone the Lower House fatt, & have adjourned until Tuesday next... web causes fill a greate distemper of apprehentions amongst them."

```
" may perceive by a particular herew<sup>th</sup> inclosed Fears of infurrection." —w<sup>ch</sup> hath bredd fuch a diftemper both in tion.
 " ye Cittie & Houses of Parlamt that wee are
 " not free from the fears of an infurrection.
"The 6 persons keepe out of the way; and Accused although the Comons House did promise for keeping out of
"theire forth coming, yet they are not way.
"coming forth. His Matie yesterday came
"into the Cittie, & made a gracious speech
" to the Lord Maior Ald" & Comon Councill
"at the Guildhall, where they were affembled
" to take order for the faftie of the fame; and
"did, as much as in him laye, strive to give Efforts to
"them all satisfacion. Many cryed out to conciliate.
"his Matie to mayntaine the priviledges of
" parlamt, to whom he most gently replyed it Gentleness was his desire soe to doe, & would not in of King's voice.
"the least invade upon them; but they must
"give him leave to distinguishe betweene the Firmness
Parlamt and some ill-affected members in it, of his pur-
"wh have gon about by treasons to iniure
" his person, and to wth drawe his people from
"their allegiance. And therefore, both for
" his owne faftie & theire goods, hee must and Must
" will finde them out, to bring them to Justice bring Traitors to
" -w<sup>th</sup> fhould be don in a legall and parlamen-trial.
" tarye way, & no other wayes. And if they
" could cleare themselves, he should bee glad of
"it; if otherwise, hee held them not membrs
"fitt to fitt in that affemblye, wh were mett
"together to make good lawes, and to
```

"reforme the abuses of the kingdome, and " not to betray their King. Afterwards, his " Matie was pleased to bidd himselfe to dinner Dinner at Sheriff " to Sheriff Garrett's, where hee stayed till 3 of Garrett's. "the clock; and then, returning to Whitehalle, "the rude multitude followed, crying againe Shouts of people " Priviledges of parlamt, Priviledges of parlamt, against the King. " whereat the good King was somewhat moved, "and I believe was glad when hee was at The Comittee of the House of " home. Glad to get home. " Comons—(being affrayed, as is conceived, of "the King's Guards, weh hee hath lately taken "to his own personne at Whitehaull, beinge Why Commons "there a Courte of Guard built, and the left West-"Trayne bands of Middlesex night and day minster. "attending, wth at least 6 score other officers, " weh have theire dyett at Courte)—come into "the Cittie at the Guildhaull to hould theire "confultatons, the Parlamt being adjourned "till Tuesday next. What these distempers "will produce, the God of Heaven knowes; Expectation of "but it is feared they cannot otherwife end bloodshed. "than in blood. The Puritan factionne, wth "the fectaryes & schismatickes, are soe preva-" lent both in Cittie and Countrey, that no " man can tell, if the King & Parlamt should " not agree, weh partie would bee strongest. On Doubts which "Tuesday his Matie went to the House of party "Comons to demand the persons of those itrongest. "that were accused for treason: but they were " not there to be found. The House, it seemes,

"taking it ill the King should come in that Retro-manner to breake their privilledges, for spect.

" ought I can understande resolve to protect

"theire membrs, & not to deliver them into the

"hands of the King. And to take them by

"force—they have fuch a partie in the Cittie

"that it will cost hott water! We have 3

" Privie Councill's more made: the Earl of More

"Southaton, my Lord of ffaulkland, & Sr Jno privy-councillors

"Colpepper, whoe is likewise Chancell of the made.

"Exchequer; and my Lord of Southton fworne

"Gentleman of the Bedchamber to the King.

"Thus you fee the changes of the times,

" whereon I pray God preferve our Gracious God pre-

"King, and fend us peace at home whatfoever ferve His Majefty! wee have abroad: when is the hartye prayer of

"y" most affecte & faithfull friende, Tho.

"WISEMAN. My wife, and Doctor, wish Message from Mrs. you a good new year, & shee hath sent you Wiseman.

" a toaken of her respects to you, & prays you

" acceptance wherein I shall acknowledge my

"thanks & rest once again yours, T. W."

Yet another, however, and perhaps worse A worse trial was reserved for the King, when, within Charles. a couple of days after this visit of evil omen, its refult declared itself in a formal answer from the magnates of the City to the demand he had made for fafe delivery into his custody of the bodies of Pym, Hampden, and the rest. He Visit from Common had to receive their furred and robed deputa-Council: tion in Whitehall; and to listen while Mr.

Their advice:

confult with your Parliament:

leave the Tower alone:

disperse the Whitehall Guard:

abandon impeachment.

Recorder read aloud their petition, representing the dangers which had arisen, and the greater that were impending, from the mifunderstanding between his Majesty and his Parliament; and praying him again to refort to the advice of that great council, to abstain from further fortifying of Whitehall or the Tower, to place the latter fortress into the hands of persons of trust, to remove all unusual military companies and armament from the precincts of his palace, to appoint a known and approved Guard for the fafety of himfelf and his Parliament, and not further to restrain of their liberty, or proceed against otherwise than according to parliamentary right and privilege, the members lately accused.

Humiliating trials all these, no doubt; and it requires no effort to understand the emotion, and the eagerness to be home again,\* which the good Mr. Wiseman attributes to his gracious sovereign while yet on the City side of Temple Bar. But it requires some effort, as well as a very intimate acquaintance with the character of this King, not to reject as almost incredible

Anecdote told by Slingsby.

<sup>\*</sup> A curious incident followed upon his arrival at the palace, which is thus related by Slingiby. (MS. State Paper Office, 6th January.) "At the King's coming home, there "was a meane fellow came into the privy chamber, who had a "paper fealed up, weh he would needes deliver to the Kinge himfelfe. With his much importunitie he was urged to be mad, or drunke, but he denyed both. The gentleman usher tooke the paper from him, carried it to the King, and desiring forme gentlemen there to keepe the man. He was presently fent for in, & is kepte a prisoner: but I know not "wherefore."

the supposition, that his first act, upon his return King's to his palace after receiving such a lesson, was return with his own hand to pen a fresh instruction from City. to Mr. Secretary Nicholas, for a new proclamation denouncing the accused members, specially directed against those who were harbouring them, and to be issued on the following day. New pro-The fact nevertheless is undeniable. Clarendon clamation against the expressly mentions the publication of that parti-members! cular proclamation on the "next day,"\* and I have discovered in the State Paper Office the rough draft of it, with the date of the 5th of Rough January, wholly in the handwriting of Charles King's himself. Kimbolton is not named in it. It is hand. restricted to the five members of the Lower Kim-House, with probably a lingering hope that the bolton omitted. Upper House, if the struggle with them were put aside, might yet be induced to act with the Court. It is endorfed by Nicholas, "His "Maties warrt to me to draw upp a Proclama-"tion agt Mr. Pym, &c."; is addressed to "Our trusty and well-beloved Councell Sr " Edward Nicholas, Knt, our Principal Secre-Instruc-"tary of State," and runs thus: "Charles R. Secretary " -Our will and pleasure is that you forthwith Nicholas. " prepare a draught of a Proclamation declar-"ing ye course of our proceedings upon the " accusation of High Treason and other high " misdemeanours lodged against Mr. Denzill " Hollis, Sr Arthur Haslerig, Mr. John Pym,

"Mr. John Hampden, and Mr. William "Strode, members of Our House of Com-"mons, who, being struck with the conscience The guilty " of their own guilt of foe hainous crimes, "have made their escape. And Our will & escaped. " pleasure is, that you thereby commande all "our officers ministers and loving subjects Injunction to "to use their diligence in ye apprehending & feize them. " carrying of them, & every of them, to Our "Tower of London, to bee kept in fafe cuf-"tody, to bee brought to triall according to Prohibi-"justice. And that, moreover, you prohibitt tion "all our loving subjects to harbor relieve against harbour-"& maintayne them, with any other fit ing them. " clause. And for doing hereof this shall bee " yor fufficient warrant. Given at our Court " at Whitehall this fifth day of January in the " 17th yeare of our Reigne." Any fuch prohibition against harbouring The City threatenthe accused was in effect a threat against the ed.

City, launched precifely at the moment when its author had discovered himself powerless to enforce it; and this circumstance, even if the warrant had not been entirely in the handwriting of the King, must have sufficed to declare it exclusively the King's act. Here no Solely the King'sact. doubt can exist. It would have been sheer madness in any other man to assume, in such circumstances, the responsibility. It is not conceivable, for a moment, whatever part Nicholas or the rest may have taken before the declared and manifest failure, that they should now Hopeless have encouraged a perfiftence so hopeless, so and reckreckless, so impotently obstinate and vain. It sistence. will shortly appear indeed, in express terms, that by this time Nicholas very heartily had re- Repentpented of having ever accepted his high office; ance of Nicholas. and there is every reason to believe, that, from the day when the City thus declared against the King, Sir Edward required, for even the commonest ministerial act connected with the impeachment of the members, Charles's own fign manual. For the very printing of this procla- Charles mation the King has himself written the instruc- directs even printtion, preserved also in the State Paper Office.\* ing of

tion.

## & XXVII. REASSEMBLING OF THE COM-MONS.

MEANWHILE, at some half hour after one Wedneso'clock on the same fifth of January, while the January, exciting scenes above described were in progress 1641-2. in the City, the House of Commons had reasfembled at Westminster. The agitation of Yesteryesterday had not subsided. The first act tation not was to order that the doors be locked, + and the fubfided. outer lobbies cleared of all persons but ser-

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;CHARLES R. Our will and Command is that you King's in-"give orders to Our Printer to print Our Proclamation structions for Apprehending of Mr. John Pym, Mr. John Hampden, to printer.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Mr. Denzil Hollis, Sir Arthur Haselrigge, and Mr. "Wm. Strode. For which this shall bee yo" warrant. "Given at Our Court at Whitehall this 6 day of Jan."

<sup>&</sup>quot; To Sir Edwd Nicholas

<sup>&</sup>quot; Our Principall Secretary." + Harl. MSS. 162, f. 307 b.

Watches fent out.

vants to members; that no member should offer to go out without leave; and that some should fend forth their fervants, to fee what numbers of people were repairing towards Westminster, and to bring notice to the House. So prepared and watchful for other than the conflicts of debate, and with hands nervously clutching 260 mem- at less peaceful weapons, there sat this day two

bers prefent : 90 of the King's party.

hundred and fixty members, and among them nearly ninety of the party of the King. Royalists had not affembled in fuch force fince the debate and division of the 15th of December on the printing of the Remonstrance. When D'Ewes entered the House, he found Grimston, The mem- the member for Colchester, speaking of "the " great breach of their privileges by his Ma-

ber for Colchefter leads debate.

" jesty's coming to the House yesterday with " fo great a number of officers of the late "army, and men desperate of purpose and "in fortune, armed fome of them with hal-" berds and fwords, others with fwords and " pistols, demanding to be delivered to him "Mr. Pym and other members of the House, "whom he accused of high treason."

Grim fton's speech.

Its scope

Mr. Grimston's speech was not only very able, striking skilfully several chords which elicited loud and vehement response, but it cleared the ground for all the subsequent difand value. cussions, and at once gave to the resentment which the King's act had aroused, its proper shape and right direction. Parliament,

he faid, had always claimed and exercifed Exposition power and jurisdiction above all other courts power of of judicature in the land; its wisdom and Parliament. policy had been accounted of higher import than those of any other council; and all orders in the State had been brought frankly to admit its rights and privileges, its power and jurisdiction, its free continuance. Whence and wherefore had proceeded, then, the interruption of which they complained?

The answer to that question was to be found why so by inquiry into what circumstances they were awfully predomiwhich had given fuch "aweful predominancy" to nant? the very name of a Parliament in this nation. It was because the ordinances and statutes of that high court struck with terror and despair all fuch evil-doers as were malefactors in the Because it State. It was because, not alone the meanest punishes evil-doers: of his Majesty's subjects, but the greatest perfonages of the kingdom, were in danger, if infringers of the law, to be called in question by this highest court, and to be by it punished. It was, on the other hand, because the drooping spirits of men, groaning under the burden of comforts tyrannical oppression, had been from the same the oppression. fource enriched and comforted; while places and offices of power, both in Church and State, had been struck out of the hands of and strips the wicked and the unmerciful. He discovered the wicked of place. the explanation to be, therefore, that the act of which they complained was the act of evil

counsellors who defired, if poslible, to break The late outrage off and dissolve a Parliament which had dedue to evil clared its intention to bring all incendiaries counsellors. and delinquents in the State to condign punishment for their crimes.

Offences charged.

Then Grimston pointed distinctly to specific offences given by members of that House, at which the articles of treason had been directed. He declared that no pretence existed for treason-

Parliament.

Conductin able charge except such as conduct in the House itself might have provoked. In reply to which, amid stern expressions of sympathy from all around him, the member for Colchester claimed for himself, and for them all, the inalienable right, within the walls of Parliament, to speak

freely, without interruption or contradiction,

Right to fpeak freely.

Title not to have tioned:

whether on bills of attainder or others:

in all debates, disputes, or arguments, upon any business agitated therein. He claimed it as a privilege that they should not be questioned for votes quest this by any human power. Whether, he went on to fay, with allusions he did not care to make less open and undisguised, it were freely to give vote, judgment, or fentence upon the reading of any bill to be made a law, or upon any bill either of attainder or other charge against delinquents and persons criminous to the State; or whether it were, by free vote, to iffue Protestaor indraw-tion, Remonstrance, or other Declaration; he

ing up Re-montran- claimed this for himself, and for all, as the solemn

right and privilege of Parliament. Wherefore his conclusion was, that for members of that House to be accused of any Concluction, or to be impeached for treason by any sion: person whatever, during the continuance of Parliament, for things done in the same, Members without legal accusation and prosecution by the accused for conwhole House—and further, that to be appredust in hended or arrested upon such impeachment, or to have studies broken open, and books lodgings or writings seized upon, without consent and entered and papers warrant of the whole House—was a breach seized: of the privilege and right belonging to the abreach power, the jurisdiction, and the continuance of of privite High Court of Parliament. All which, he submitted, it was in the highest degree expedient explicitly and promptly to embody, in a declaratory resolution of the Commons of England.

Grimston resumed his seat amid cries of ap-Motion proval which his solid and masterly exposition Grimhad well deserved, and preparation was thereupon made to refer it to a Committee to draw up the necessary resolution. This, however, was stoutly opposed by several of the Royalists, headed by Hopton of the West. "Sir Ralph ton." Hopton and some five or six more," says D'Ewes, "excused his Majesty's coming with some Excuses extraordinary a number." But the majority, for the King. led by Glyn the member for Westminster, steadily carried their point; and, proceeds D'Ewes, the House "nominated Mr. Glyn and Committee to prepare resolution." mittee Chamber, and to draw up a declaration

They retire:

do nothing till their return.

"to that end and purpose." They withdrew accordingly; and then rose the member for Hertfordshire, Sir William Lytton, to suggest that no other business should be taken in hand until their return. He was warmly feconded in this: Sir John Clotworthy, on the other hand, pointing out the urgency of Irish affairs, and defiring that they might but append a short refolution to fome propositions agreed upon by the Irish Committee. To the surprise of not a few, however, and of D'Ewes among them, it was found that this debate might have been spared; for, in the midst of it, Glyn and his friends returned. "During the quarter of "debate," fays D'Ewes, "Mr. Glyn and the " rest who were commanded to withdraw into "the Committee Chamber, having stayed

They return in a an hour:

with a refolution written before we met.

D'Ewes not in confidence of leaders:

"there about a quarter of an hour, now " brought down a long Declaration ready " penned, which was doubtless prepared and " ready written by fome members of the "House before we met this afternoon." D'Ewes here uneasily refers to consultations with Pym and the rest in Coleman Street, to which he had not been invited; but it is just to him to state, that, throughout the invaluable record he has preferved of these momentous scenes, from which details are here taken hitherto unknown, not even distantly re-

ferred to in the Journals of the House, and of which no mention is made in Sir Ralph Verney's or any other memorial, his personal but his jealousies and dislikes have small weight account trust-against the gravity of the facts he reveals.

He thus describes the Declaratory Resolution Glyn's brought back by Glyn: "It contained in tory Reso-" substance that his Majesty had yesterday lution.

" broken the privileges of this House, by

"coming hither with a great number of

"armed men, and striking terror into the

"members. And though we could not fit

"here in fafety, nor properly fall upon the

"agitation or handling of any business till

"we had vindicated our privileges, yet our

" care to uphold this commonwealth, and the

" consideration of the miserable condition of

"Ireland, had induced us first to adjourn this Proposed

"House to (and so a blank was left for the adjournment:

"day), and to appoint a Grand Committee

"to fit at the Guildhall in London at 3 of Grand the clock this afternoon, to confider of the Committee to fit in

" means of our fafety, and of the affiftance the City.

"of Ireland, and to authorize the felect

" committee of Irish affairs to sit when and

" where they pleafed."

This having been read by the Clerk, a warm Warm dedebate arose. The opposition was led by Sir bate thereon. Ralph Hopton, who declared that there was no precedent for what therein was proposed to be done. For his own part, he thought that Sir Ralph Hopton. many excuses might be urged for the King's having come to the House with so great a

number, and fo unufually armed. And then he pleaded a necessity which the King himself had created (assuming this statement of it to be true), to justify the outrage he afterwards committed. "Had we not ourselves had divers of our

Did not we give first provocation?

And how

gracious

speech !

" fervants lately attending in the lobby without "the doors of this House, armed also in an un-"ufual manner, with carabines and piftols?" He begged the House to remember, too, that the speech his Majesty made on the occasion had been full of grace and goodness. In concluthe King's

Opposes Committee and adiournment.

fion, adds D'Ewes, " he did not think we could " appoint a Grand Committee to go into Lon-"don, nor would he have had us to have ad-"journed at all." Then followed some warm speaking on both sides; and the time originally named as the limit for the fitting of the House, as well as the hour for affembling elfewhere, had foon flipped away. In the end, D'Ewes "Grand" tells us, "we resolved to alter it from a Grand "Committee to a Select Committee, and to

committee alterlect."

ed to "Se-" adjourn the fitting of this House to Tuesday "the 11th, and it being between three and " four of the clock we did alter our meeting "this afternoon till to-morrow morning at " nine of the clock." Not, however, without a division. Hopton and his friends objected equally to the Select Committee, and infifted upon dividing. "The Speaker," D'Ewes

> continues, "put the question as followeth: " As many as are of opinion that a Committee

Adjourn till tomorrow o'clock.

" shall be appointed by this House to sit at Division "Guildhall in London, let them fay Aye, to upon going into

"which there was a great affirmative: and to City."

"the negative, a less. Next, the Speaker

"appointed tellers for the Ayes, who went

"out (of which number I was), Mr. Arthur

"Goodwin and Mr. Carew. Their number

" was 170. And for the Noes, who fat still, 170

"he appointed tellers Mr. Kirton and Mr. against 86.

"Herbert Price, and the number was 86,

" and fo it was carried accordingly."\*

The naming of the Committee then took Selection place. "And thereupon," continues the Commitprecise Sir Simonds, "Sir John Culpeper, tee.

" newly made Chancellor of the Exchequer,

" and divers others, were named to fit a com-

" mittee at the Guildhall in London to-morrow

"morning at 9 of the clock, and all that

" would come were to have voices: and they All who " were to consider of the breach of the Privilege come to

\* Harl. MSS. 162, f. 308 a. In little more than a fortnight (see ante 36, 37), upon the impeachment of the Duke of Division Richmond (for his famous sally in the Lords upon the Militia as to Duke Bill being brought under confideration, when he broke in of Richupon fundry grave fuggestions as to the day when discussion mond. should be taken thereon, by advising as a greatly preferable course, "an adjournment for six months"), the King's party mustered in larger force, but the popular leaders had made corresponding exertion. The numbers then were 223 led 223 into the lobby by Hollis and Stapleton, to 123 of whom the against counters were Culpeper and Herbert Price. From a speech 123. made on the occasion by D'Ewes, wherein he thought the only excuse that could possibly be made for the Duke was his being "a young man," some light may be thrown on the argument, ante 198, drawn from his applying a similar epithet to Strode. The Duke of Richmond was now nine-and-twenty. -Harl. MSS. 162, f. 356 b.

Its duties. " of Parliament by his Majesty's coming yester-"day, with other particulars mentioned in the

" before-recited declaration." The Committee

feveral Royalists.

Comprises included, besides Falkland and Culpeper, some ardent Royalists, and several not unfriendly to the King. Among these sat Herbert Price, the member for Brecon; Sir Richard Cave, who

Names on Committee.

fat for Lichfield; Sir Ralph Hopton himfelf; Sir John and Christopher Wray, the members for Lincolnshire and Great Grimsby; Sir Benjamin Rudyard; the members for Cockerworth and Chippenham, Sir John Hippefley and Sir Edward Hungerford. It comprised, on the other hand, Glyn; Sir Philip Stapleton; William Pierrepoint (Earl Kingston's second son, who sat for Great Wenlock), and Nathaniel Fiennes; Bulftrode Whitelock, the member for Marlow; Sir Thomas Walfingham, who fat for Rochester; the members for Westbury and Ludgershall, Mr. Wheeler and Mr. Walter Long; Sir John Hotham; Sir Walter Earle; Sir Robert Cooke, who fat for Tewkesbury; Mr. Grimston and Sir Thomas Barrington, who fat for Colchester; and the members for Devonshire and Hertfordshire, Sir Samuel Rolle and Hyde, St. Sir William Lytton. Hyde's name nowhere appears; neither does that of Oliver St. John,

John, and Cromwell, absent from it.

the Solicitor-General; and it is still more remarkable that Cromwell's also should be

absent. He may possibly have had pressing

business to occupy him during these few days, on his cousin Hampden's affairs at Great Hampden.

Lord Lifle (Lord Leicester's eldest son, who Motion by fat afterwards on the trial of the King), now Lifle. moved that the Committee fo appointed should have power to iffue out fuch money as might be required for payment of the troops to be fent into Ireland. Another resolution connected with Irish affairs was also adopted on the fug-Irish gestion of Stapleton. And then followed a affairs. brief but sharp debate, raifed upon a motion by Sharp Nathaniel Fiennes, that a message should go debate up to the Lords to let them know, that, "by Fiennes. " reason of his Majesty coming to our House " yesterday in such a warlike manner, we had " adjourned the House till Tuesday next, at one " of the clock, and that we had in the meantime "appointed a Select Committee to fit in the Meffage "Guildhall in London, to which all the mem- to Lords. " bers of the House who would come were to "have voices, to confider of the breach of the " Privilege of Parliament and the fafety of the "Kingdom." The debate ended in the naming of Mr. Fiennes and divers others to carry up this meffage accordingly. But the Abrupt House arose, adds D'Ewes, before he returned, rising of House.

§ XXVIII. A SUDDEN PANIC.

or was able to bring any answer.

THE House suddenly arose, in truth,

Armed men marching upon us. because there had broken out a sudden alarm. It was abruptly bruited at the doors that a body of armed men were in march upon them, and a panic of agitation enfued. John Clotworthy was in the act of urging certain necessary resolutions for the service of Ireland, connected with the supply of men and arms, when shouts of "Move, move," and "Adjourn," interrupted him; and though the imperturbable member for Malden would perfift in having what he wanted, the votes were put without the usual forms. " allowed," fays D'Ewes, " and voted by the "House, but in such haste as they would not " permit the Clerk to read them." Then, in the like precipitate fashion, adjournment until the following Tuesday at one o'clock was resolved upon the question. Mr. Speaker ordered the adjournment accordingly; and the House rose in extreme disorder "at about four of the " clock in the afternoon."

Sir John Clotworthy perfifts with refolutions.

Voted without being read.

Diforderly adjournment, 4 p.m.

Reasons for the fright. D'Ewes appends to the day's journal an explanation, from which it might feem that the fudden fright had not been wholly groundless. "For," he says, "we had new alarums given us of the coming down of armed persons upon us: and it was generally reported also, that his Majesty had intended to have come down to both the Houses this afternoon, again attended with the desperate troop with

"which he came yesterday, and to have

" accused some other members, both of our Other "House and of the Lords House, of Treason, members to be ac-"and to have feized upon their persons: but cufed and "that, going into the City of London this feized. " morning, he was there so roundly and plainly City only dealt withal by people of all forts, who had prevented it." called upon him to maintain the privilege of " Parliament; to follow the advice of his Great Counsell in Parliament, without which "they were all undone" (D'Ewes here appears to be repeating the expressions of some excited friend rather than quietly recording his own) -" and that their blood would cry to Heaven " for justice—and that they would with their " lives and fortunes maintain the fafety of his Alarm of "Majesty's person, and the safety and Privi- the King. " lege of Parliament; some also throwing the " printed Protestation of the House of Com-"mons into his coach as he went along; as "that he both returned late out of the City, Change of

It is now of course not difficult to make Results light of these alarms, and to smile at their not January. very coherent expression; but we may be sure that they were then very real. It was of the very essence of the King's attempt that it should carry such consequences. Whatever distrust or doubt had been in any direction en-Darkest tertained of the Sovereign, it confirmed. To thought the rumours which had mixed him up with true.

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 308 b.

Scottish
"Incident:"

very recent and as desperate designs in Scotland against the leaders of the Covenant,\* to

Offer of Montrose to kill Argyle and Hamilton.

Mr. Napier's disproof quite untenable.

The text of Clarendon.

Chief value of Edition of 1826.

Disclosed Author's plans and text.

History composed of two MSS.

Secretary's transcript.

Altered and corrupted by author's fons.

\* In alluding to this transaction in my Essay on the Grand Remonstrance (Hist. and Biog. Esfays), and to the statement by Clarendon (Hift. ii. 17), that Montrose had "frankly" suggested to the King the assassination of Argyle and Hamilton, I ought perhaps to have mentioned a highly elaborate argument in Mr. Napier's Life of Montrose (ii. 78-109), the drift of which is not merely to defend Montrose from having made the offer, but to endeavour to establish that Clarendon's affertion that he had done fo was not originally intended to fland as part of his text, and in fact only usurps the place of a suppressed passage restored in one of the Appendices of the edition of 1826. Upon the former part of this argument I offer here no opinion; but upon the latter I have fimply to fay that it breaks down altogether. It is not for a moment tenable. The text of Clarendon must always now continue in the state wherein he left it himself after his last revision, clearly copied out by his fecretary for publication or suppression, according to certain directions in his will; and the chief value of the edition of 1826 will always be, that it enabled us for the first time to read it in that state. The confusion which exists as to the several MSS. left by him, and from which that important collation was made, arifes from the fact that feveral years after he had planned his History and written the first four books, he resolved to recast the plan so as to admit therein of all the incidents of his own Life. He thereupon began an Autobiography; but after pursuing it for some time, he threw it aside, and reverted to his design of a History, making great additions to that which already he had written, and completing it in 1673. His final task then was, to form, from the two MSS. thus drawn up (the Life having gone over, in a more striking way, much of the ground of the first four books of the History), a third text, by taking the MS. of the History for the basis, and importing into it all the material portions and corrections of the MS. of the Life. The refult was a fair transcript made by his Secretary under these instructions, which was found completed at his own death, in December 1674. Afterwards came the publication, mainly from a copy of this transcript, by his fons: with the modifications, alterations, and omissions, which, in exercise of the discretion left to them by their father, they had made to please their political friends, or out of delicacy to persons still living; and which so remained until 1826. The edition published that year was the result of an entirely new collation of the three MSS. above named: 1. The original MS. of History: 2. The original MS. of Life: 3. The Transcript constructed

even those which had pointed to him as not Irish unconnected with the awful outbreak in Ireland, rebellion:

out of both. The Editors, lettering the Transcript as A, the Life as B, and the History as C, collated the whole afresh; Restorarestored in Notes every word, sentence, and passage omitted tions. or in any manner altered in A; and, in a series of Appendices, fupplied (reforting for the purpose to B and C), in addition to all that the author's fons had rejected, still more which the author himself had already deliberately excluded from the Scaffold-Transcript made under his instructions. We are thus enabled ings of to compare particular statements made by Clarendon in his History. first draft of the History, with accounts of the same incidents manifestly more authentic, and better considered, which he had subsequently inserted in the Life, and had finally directed Later and to be substituted for the former in his Secretary's Transcript. earlier The reader will at once perceive what I mean, if, to felect versions of only one or two out of very numerous instances, he makes same comparison of Appendix i. 536 (MS. C.) with i. 416 (MS. B.); events. or of ii. 61-2, note (MS. C.), with ii. 44-49 (MS. B.); or of Appendix ii. 575—9 (MS. C.), with ii. 13—19 (MS. B). The latter of these instances is that under notice respecting Montrose; and it does not admit of the remotest doubt that The Monthe account in the Appendix, taken from the first four books trose of the History, written before 1648, and afterwards rejected, charge, was meant by Clarendon to be entirely superfieded by the the later account in the Life, written many years later, and, by his own version. direction to his Secretary, placed in the final Transcript, where it has stood ever since, and must continue to stand. Even Intended apart from the other irrefiftible evidence, the context fo conclu- fo to stand. fively shows this, that but for Mr. Napier's extraordinary supposition to the contrary, suggested by zeal for his hero, and maintained with an air that imposes on readers superficially informed, the details I have entered into would scarcely have been called for. It is simply ridiculous to pretend that the passage Impossible complained of, and (be it true or false) undoubtedly left by not to Clarendon, in the final disposition of his papers, to stand where print it: it now does, could by possibility have fallen into that place by accident. Lords Clarendon and Rochester had no alternative but to print it; and with what reluctance they did so is proved reluctby what we now know of their substitution, for "to kill them ance of "both," of the words "to have them both made away." first Edi-The point, however, was well worth clearing, because all the tors. illustrative matter in the 1826 edition requires to be read with careful reference to the fact that the author had deliberately and defignedly excluded the greater part of it from his completed text (an instance may be referred to, ante, p. 215, note); Additions and it is exceedingly important, in reading Clarendon, to keep in 1826 and Army it feemed to give deadly corroboration. plot: put undoubtedly beyond further question what the popular leaders had all along maintained, that the defign, clearly proved, of bringing up the army from the North, had had for its specific object to overawe themselves and suf-King's fuspected pend the action of Parliament. Clarendon share in. speaks as if the failure of the Arrest sufficed to show its futility, and there an end. But he

not to be confused with restorations.

Two kinds:

spectively. due to each.

Charge ed.

its authority.

Why first version of it changed.

the distinction always in view between that description of new matter supplied in the 1826 edition, and the more effential restorations reconstituting the original text, which had been corrupted and falfified in innumerable instances by his sons, Lords Clarendon and Rochester, in preparing the first edition. The portions first printed in Notes and Appendices in 1826 are of two kinds: i. The restoration of the text to the condition

in which Clarendon himfelf had left it, by restoring suppressed paffages, and replacing modified or altered phrases and sentences: ii. The additional illustration of the text by supplying further notices or amplifications of special incidents treated therein, from the two manuscripts, B and C, which I have weight re- above described: and the degree of authority given to either spectively should be regulated according to the facts here supplied. I close, as I began, by stating most expressly that, according to all the evidence we possess, it must have been, and was, the deliberate intention of Clarendon, upon reviewing all the materials he had collected, to convey to the readers of his History, as his own final impression, that Montrose had "frankly" proposed to the King the assassination of Argyle deliberate- and Hamilton. Upon the probability or otherwise of such ly intend- an offer having been made, it is not necessary that I should here give an opinion; but it is impossible to read the text

in connection with the Appendix (of which, taken together, it is important to remark, as Mr. D'Israeli in his Commentaries, ii. 242-52, ed. 1851, has pointed out, that they are The King not in any respect irreconcileable), without an inference, amounting almost to certainty, that the King himself was Clarendon's informant. And the explanation of the two accounts may probably be, that, writing while Charles still lived, Clarendon preferred to express the matter in paraphrase; but that, writing of the incident at a later time, after the king's death, he had no helitation in putting it, as he fays

Montrose did the proposal, "frankly."

well knew that this was not so; and that it was less the first excitement attending so start—ling an attempt wherein its troubles and danger consisted, than in its subsequent more enduring effect upon men's modes and ways of regard—itself. ing public affairs. He unconsciously admits as much in another passage of his History, when he remarks that everything formerly said of plots Belief obtand conspiracies against the Parliament, which before had been laughed at, was now thought charges. true and real; and that all which before was merely whispered of Ireland, was now talked aloud and printed.

The various letters of the time are filled with similar indications. "All things are now Captain "in soe great distraction heare," wrote Cap- Carterett's tain Carterett on the day after this fitting of the House, "that there is noe thinking of "doeing anything; but every-body are pro-"viding after their owne safetie as if every-"thing were inclainable to ruine." "By "the next post," writes Mr. Wiseman, "you Mr. Wise-"may expect to heare of greate changes man's. " either for the better or worfe. The times " are dangerous to discourse what I might. "Only if God, in his greate mercie, doe not " fpeedely looke upon us, wee are like to perish. The obedience of his Maties subjects Obedience "hath been poisoned." The incidents of poisoned. the 3rd and 4th of January, in short, had drawn up into hostile forces two powers in the

Powers of State whose agreement was effential to its the State in conflict. welfare, but which never more could act in concert or unison till the struggle between them was over, and a victory won. This was a fact pregnant with general alarm for all men, and most for the thoughtful and reslecting.

Specific causes of alarm.

Neither were reasons wanting for specific and well-grounded alarm as to the actual personal fafety of the accused and other members of both Houses. From the very writer who laughs to fcorn the notion that there was any fort of danger, we may learn what, and how great, the danger was. It is Clarendon, as we have feen, who relates the plan by which his friend Lord Digby, according to him the fole adviser of the attempt, proposed to redeem its failure by feizing himself upon the accused, backed by fufficient numbers to render it certain that they must either be taken or left dead in the place. It is Clarendon who fays, that, if the King had not withheld his confent, without doubt Lord Digby would have done it. It is Clarendon who drily remarks upon that prefumed fuccess to a plan fo atrocious, that it " must have had "a wonderful effect." Above all it is Clarendon who, by way of practical proof of his affertion that no personal danger could possibly have befallen the accused, actually puts forward a plan of his own by which, taking good care

first to secure and lock up separately the per-

fons of the five leaders, he fancies that fuch

Digby's plan for fecuring members.

King withholds confent.

Clarendon's own plan.

To feize and throw them into feparate prisons. a blow might have been struck at what he calls "the high spirit of both Houses" that Charles might have reduced them to treat, and so have forced them to his own terms.\*

## § XXIX. How HISTORY MAY BE WRITTEN.

THE affertion that the Five Members were at no time in any personal danger, admits but of one comment. It is not true. Conclusive Faithlessproof has been given, in a former work,† Clarenof the faithlessness and untrustworthiness of don. Clarendon as any fafe guide to a knowledge Unfafe of the events for which Hume accepted him guide. as the fole and implicit authority, and in which his lead has been more or less followed by every later historian. But if further similar evidence be defired, let me fupply it by fimple comparison of his account of the fitting of the Compari-House of Commons of Wednesday the 5th of fon with D'Ewes: January, with that which I have above derived from the manuscript of Sir Simonds D'Ewes, and from other contemporary fources. Until now, Clarendon's was the only account preferved to us of that fitting, except a memorandum of eight lines by Sir Ralph Verney, verney and another by Rushworth of exactly the same and Rushworth.

+ Essay on the Grand Remonstrance. See Hist. and Biog. .

Essays, i. 1-175.

<sup>\*</sup> See ante, pp. 143, 149, and 153, where the authorities are given for these various affertions.

extent.\* The record by D'Ewes was made on the day to which it refers; it is confirmed by Verney's and by Rushworth's notes; and its veraciousness is beyond question.

Statement by Clarendon.

"When the House of Commons next met," fays Clarendon in his History, † " none of the " accused members appearing, they had friends

Alleged tone of members' friends.

" enough, who were well instructed to aggravate "the late proceedings, and to put the House "into a thousand jealousies and apprehensions,

" and every flight circumstance carried weight

Verney's fitting of 5th.

\* Sir Ralph Verney fays: "Wednesday, 5th Jany. 1641. account of "The House ordered a Comittee to sit at Guildhall in London, " and all that would come had voyces. This was to confider "and advise how to right the House in point of privilege, "broken by the King's coming yeafterday, with a force, to "take members out of our House. They alowed the Irish "Comittees to fit, but would meddle with noe other bufinesse "till this were ended. They acquainted the Lords in a "meffage with what they had donn, and then they adjorned "the House till Tuesday next." (Verney's Notes, 139-40). Rushworth fays (part III. vol. i. 478-9): "The Commons

Rufhworth's account.

"fent Mr. Fiennes with a message to the Lords to give them "notice of the King's coming yesterday, & that they conceived it a high & great breach of privilege: & to repeat their desires that their Lops would join them in a " petition to the King that the Parliament may have a Guard "to secure them as shall be approved of by his Majesty, and "both Houses; and also to let them know, that they have "appointed a Committee to fit at Guildhall London, and " have also appointed the Committee for Irish affairs to meet

Adjournment to City.

to the City, on the ground "they cannot with the fafety of "their own persons, or indemnity of the rights & Privileges " of Parliament, fit here any longer without a full vindication " of so high a breach, & sufficient Guard wherein they may

"there." Then he quotes the order passed for adjournment

"confide:" to which, after appending the names of the Committee, and that all who will come are to have voices, he adds: "and then the House adjourned till Tuesday the 11th " of January at one in ye afternoon, according to the faid "Order."

+ Hist. ii. 132, 133.

```
"enough in it to disturb their minds. . . .
"They who spake most passionately, and
" probably meant as maliciously, behaved
"themselves with modesty, and seemed only
" concerned in what concerned them all: and
"concluded, after many lamentations, that they Affected fears and did not think themselves safe in that House, griefs.
"till the minds of men were better composed;
"that the City was full of apprehensions, and
"was very zealous for their fecurity; and
"therefore wished that they might adjourn the Proposal
"Parliament to meet in fome place in the City. to adjourn Parlia-
"But that was found not practicable; fince ment.
"it was not in their own power to do it, with-
" out the confent of the Peers and the concur-
" rence of the King; who were both like King's
"rather to choose a place more distant from Wish to get Parlia-
" the City. And, with more reason, in the end ment
"they concluded, that the House should London.
" adjourn itself for two or three days, and
" name a committee who should sit both Appoint-
"morning and afternoon in the City; and Commit-
" all who came to have voices: and Mer-tee.
"chant Tailors' Hall was appointed for the
" place of their meeting, they who ferved
" for London undertaking that it should be
" ready against the next morning: no man
" opposing or contradicting anything that was
" faid; they who formerly used to appear for Royalists
" all the rights and authority which belonged filent.
"to the King, not knowing what to fay,
```

Three King's advisers: "between grief and anger that the violent " party had, by these late unskilful actions

" of the Court, gotten great advantage, and

" recovered new spirits: and the three persons

"before named" (himfelf, Culpeper, and Falkland), "without whose privity the King " had promifed that he would enter upon no

"new counsel, were so much displeased and " dejected, that they were inclined never more

" to take upon them the care of anything to

" be transacted in the House."

Clarendon's account fummed up.

too dejected to

. fpeak.

This account contains five alleged facts. 1. That the popular party went down to the House with a proposal for the adjournment of Parliament. 2. That the proposal substituted was an adjournment of the House itself for two or three days. 3. That Merchant Tailors' Hall was appointed as the place of meeting for a Committee named to fit in the interval, the members for London undertaking to have it ready the next morning. 4. That no man belonging to the King's party opposed or contradicted anything that Five speci- was said. 5. That Hyde, Culpeper, and Falkland, were too much displeased and dejected to show any present inclination to take upon them the care of anything to be transacted in the House.

fic statements, all untrue.

Confront- On the other hand, the account preserved by D'Ewes, and confirmed in every respect by the brief notes of Verney and Rushworth, as

ed with D'Ewes, Verney, and Rushworth.

well as by the unpublished contemporary letters here adduced, furnishes a counterstatement to every one of these averments. 1. There Never pronever was mooted fo abfurd a proposition as posed to to adjourn Parliament. The course had doubt- Parlialess been concerted, as D'Ewes somewhat pet-ment. tishly intimates, with the absent leaders; and the Declaratory Refolution was proposed and carried, as, prepared and ready written, it had been brought to the House. 2. The Limit of limit of adjournment was at once distinctly city specispecified as Tuesday the 11th January, and fied. it will be feen hereafter that the historian was not without a motive in substituting the loose and undetermined "two or three days." 3. Guildhall was from the first named and appointed, and not Merchant Tailors' Hall, Merchant Tailors' as to which, therefore, the question of getting Hall not it ready could hardly have arisen. 4. So named. far from no man belonging to the King's party contradicting or opposing anything that was faid, Sir Ralph Hopton (the King's fervant, Royalifts as Rushworth calls him) contradicted every-not filent. thing that was faid without scruple; and the opposition was so determined that the Royalists divided 87 against the proposal of Glyn, which was four more than the division of the 15th of December against the printing of the Remonstrance. 5. Hyde undoubtedly took no part, Culpeper and Falkand was probably not in the House; but land on Commit-Culpeper and Falkland were named for the tee.

Committee to fit during the recess, and served upon it.

## § XXX. ADJOURNMENT AND SUSPENSE.

Mafterftroke of meeting in the City.

Necessity of suspending Westminster sittings.

Policy of appealing to Citizens.

Alleged absence of danger.

THE adjournment into the City was undoubtedly a master stroke of policy. act of violence committed, the continued presence of the Court of Guard at Whitehall, the refusal of its officers to disband upon a message sent specially from the Commons on the morning of the 5th, the petition to the King for a Guard still uncomplied with, were all manifest and unanswerable grounds for fuspending temporarily the sittings at Westminster. But the House could not afford that its visible action and influence should be withdrawn, even for an hour; and to fit by Committee in Guildhall, was not merely to make instant appeal, in the least resistible form, to the fympathy and support of the Citizens, but at once to cast in the fortunes of the House with the fate of the five accused, who had taken refuge in a house in Coleman Street. Clarendon laughs at the notion of any member of the Commons conceiving for a moment that his accused colleagues were in the least danger. Not that the Five durst not, he avers, venture themselves at their old lodgings, for no man would have prefumed to trouble them; but that the City might fee that they relied upon that place for a fanctuary of their privi-

leges against violence and oppression.\* He Fears prefays, as in a passage formerly quoted we have tended: feen, that all cause for apprehension ceased upon the failure of the outrage of the 4th; and that nothing could equal the contempt the accused themselves felt for the power, of which they yet affected to put on a confiderable show of dread. This last was merely "to to get "keep up the apprehension of danger and the "darling". " esteem of their darling the City." † But let City. us observe what tone, on the other hand, is taken by Admiral Pennington's well informed correspondents; men not alone inti-But what mately acquainted with all the movements of fay private the Court, but the most important of them him- State Pafelf in office, and enjoying the confidence of per Office? the principal Secretary of State. It never once occurred to these men, at least until the shout of Privilege of Parliament was become universal, and the King had fled before it, that his impeachment of Pym and Hampden would Serious be, or was meant to be, a mere dead and alarm at impeachempty letter. For feveral days after the articles ment. of accufation were published, the accused are spoken of everywhere, in each and all these Fate of letters, as men whose fate absolutely is hanging in balance. in the balance.

Mr. Wiseman, four days after the outrage, Wisefears it to be impossible but that the affair man's will have bloody issue, because the House is

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. ii. 130.

the Under-Secretary's:

Captain Carterett's: 7th January. S.P.O.

Gives no opinion, but states the fact.

not more determined than the King still appears to be. The Under Secretary of State writes in doubt, on the third day after the failure of Charles's attempt at the House, whether the accused are not actually fled. And, on that fame day, Captain Carterett describes his apprehension that there must be ferious disturbance before all things could be rightly understood, for that many would have the accused members to be brought to their trial, and others not, faying it was against the privileges and liberties of the Parliament. " am not wife enough," continues the honest feaman, "to distinguish the Right of it, but " this I am certaine, that our good King is "much abused. On Tuesday hee went to the " House of Comons to demand those men weh "were acused, but noe answer was given him. "Yesterday hee went into the Citty, and after " he had spent some tyme in Guyldhall (to give " fatisfaction of his good meaning towards his " people), he went to one of the Sheriffs to "dinner. The two Houses have adjorned " untill Tuesday nexte; and this day there was " a Comittee of both the Houses in Guyldhall, " where they have voted that those men accused " shall not be apprehended nor detained, foe "that I feare very much that this will increase

Vote of House for the accufed.

" the disturbances of the tyme. This day, one Serjeant

Dandie "Serjant Dandie went into London to take gone to feize them. " the accused men to aprehend them, where "hee was much abused by the worse fort of Attacked by the people. My wife is yr humble servant and by the people. "wishes you a mery new yeare, and soe doth "G. CARTERETT."

Strange, if what Clarendon fays be true, that the King should have laboured so hard to bring upon himself the quite needless and gratuitous fuspicion, and upon his agents and officers the abuse and hatred, of even the "worse fort" of his people! I have shown that with his Obstinate own hand, on the evening of his return from King. the City, Charles had drawn up the proclamation against such as should continue to harbour the traitors; and on the following morning, it is placed beyond doubt by Captain Carterett's statement, one of the Royal serjeants was dispatched into the City to endeavour again to complete the arrest. To what extent moreover, in the City itself, all this was thought to favour of an actual and present danger, I am further able to show on the testimony of a friend of the Earl of Northumberland's. "My noble Thomas "Compeer," writes on the 7th of January the Smith to Penningfecretary of the Lord Admiral to the Admiral ton: commanding in the Downs: "Though I writt ary. "to you soe lately, yet I cannot choose but S.P.O.

<sup>&</sup>quot;give you ye occurrences of ye time. They

<sup>&</sup>quot; being of fuch importance. The fix Delin-

<sup>&</sup>quot;quents continue in ye Citty, and are there pro-Protection tected against ye King's mind. This breeds of accused against displeasure in him, feare in all. Some have King.

King will "perfuaded ye K. to raife force to fetch ym use force. " out. This made ye Cittie last nighte to bee " all in armes, and ye gates and Portcullifes to " bee shutt; and for ought I heare, are so yet. "The Cittizns delivered a Petition yesterday, " humbly befeeching his Matie that those men " might be proceeded agt in a Parliamentary "way: no answer yet. 'Tis beleeved ye Cittie City refolved to " is resolved to protect y". Some well affected refift. " Nobles to both fides do labor to pacifie the K. "Some ill affected labor as much to bring all. "to confusion with false tales. Wee knowe " God " both. God help us! Your true Friend and help us!" " humble fervant, THOMAS SMITH," This letter outruns by a day the point at which our narrative had arrived, but another remains to be cited which will take us back to that rifing of the House at Westminster on the 5th January, preparatory to the fittings in Guildhall. "The House yesterday," wrote Slingsby to Pen-Captain Slingsby on the 6th, "were very high nington: "againe, and, I perceive, not refolved to 6th January. S.P.O. "deliver the men in that are impeacht: they " adjorned the House till Tuesday nexte, before " weh time the King shall have no answere: " but in the meantime a Comittee of the whole M.P.s discours-" house to meete at Guyldhall. This day, being ing of adjournment " in the Privy Chamber, I heard some Parliato City. " ment men discoursing of it. Some sayd they " would not go to Guyldhall, because the men Many refuse to go. " impeacht wold be there: and, fince the rest

"would not deliver them, they might be all Fear to be " accessories.\* The House is yett very thinne; " accessories. "as I am tould, above 200 of them in the fories." " country, who can not come up according to "the Proclamation, by reason of the greate "floodes; many in the towne forbearing to " come there. There is no other discourse Threats if "but of open armes, if those men be not accused not given " brought to tryall. The ill affected Partie up. "(we'h are those yt follow the Courte) doe Royalists begin to now speake very favourably of the Irish; favour " as those whose grievances were greate, there Irish. "demaunds moderate, and may stand the Kinge " in much stead: many libells printed against

" the King."

No printed libel, however, it is much to be feared, could possibly have been worse than this written one, of which Captain Slingsby is here unwittingly the author. It has been always one of the gravest of the Royalist charges Pym's against Pym, that in his famous speech before heaviest charge the Upper House delivered in a week from proved this date (wherein he warned the Lords of the danger it might prove to themselves if they left the great task of saving the liberties of the kingdom to the House of Commons alone), he advanced a charge, unsupported by any kind

<sup>\*</sup> Precifely the argument used in the House of Commons Holitels by Hyde's friend and fellow "rat," Holborne (Hist. and borne's Biog. Essays, i. 170), famous once for his splendid argument argument. against ship-money, delivered amid clapping of hands and shouts of popular delight which the judges found it impossible to restrain.

of proof, against the King and the King's friends, that so far from entertaining any laudable eagerness to bring to condign punishment the leaders of the cruel massacre and rebellion in Ireland, they had given the Houses too much reason to suppose that they felt towards them sympathy and savour. Can it be said, after reading what is written by Captain Slingsby, that Pym had not good authority for the charge he made?

Sympathy with Irish rebellion.

## § XXXI. Commons' Committee at Guildhall.

Thursday morning, 6th January. Meanwhile the Committee at Guildhall, doubtless not greatly caring whether Captain Slingsby's friends may please to join them this day or not, have punctually affembled at the Guildhall on the morning of the 6th of January, and are now awaiting us.

No existing report of proceedings.

Of the proceedings of that Committee, beyond the fact that they took evidence as to the incidents of the 3rd and 4th which were fubsequently reported, no account exists except in these valuable notes of D'Ewes. The Journals of the House are entirely silent during the interval from the 5th, the day of adjournment, to the 11th, that of reassembling. Rushworth devotes to those days only a few lines.

Slight no- worth devotes to those days only a few lines, tices in Rush- in which he makes brief allusion to the worth and evidence which was taken in the course of the Verney. Sir Ralph Verney mentions but the

fix refolutions\* that were passed, on the days when the Committee sat at Grocers' Hall, in reference to the breach of privilege committed. Clarendon, not affecting to Consusons give particular account of anything, confuses of Clarendon. everything. D'Ewes alone, who attended the Committee each day at Guildhall and at Grocers' Hall, has preserved anything like a regular record of its proceedings. And this is here A regular given to the world as D'Ewes set it down record by D'Ewes. each day.

He begins his journal of Thursday the 6th of January, by stating that a great number of the House met at the Committee at the Guildhall, in London, that forenoon about ten of the clock. "I came thither about eleven of the clock. We sate in the room within the Where court into which the juries do ordinarily the Committee fat." withdraw."

They had been greeted, on arrival at the Welcome committee room, by a deputation of the lead-of the Citizens. ing members of the Common Council, in their robes and chains; and a military guard composed of some of the wealthiest of the citizens, every man having his footman in suit and cassock with ribbons of the colours of his company, was in close attendance during all Military their sittings. Nor were the good old hospitaltend talities of the City wanting; and D'Ewes has ance. more than once to suspend his report that he

<sup>\*</sup> See Notes, 140-141.

City hofpitalities.

may inform us, that about one of the clock he withdrew out, intending to go away, but coming into the Hall he found a feast prepared for the entertainment of the members, whereat he dined before he departed, and they had "great cheere."

" Great cheer."

> The first matter they fell upon at the Guildhall, D'Ewes proceeds to tell us, was the unjust and illegal proceedings against Pym and the other members, instituted by the King's Attorney in the Lords' House on the previous Monday. What Grimston had treated generally in his very able address, was now to be handled in detail. "It was first debated and resolved "that the faid impeachment there was illegal

First matter debated.

Searching lodgings, and fealing up papers.

Isluing

illegal

warrants.

" and a breach of the privilege of Parliament. "Then they fell in debate, which continued "when I came in, that the fealing up of the "doors of the chambers and studies of the " faid Mr. Pym and Mr. Hollis, on Monday "morning last, was a breach of the liberty of "the fubject and of the privilege of Parlia-

"ment; and this was also voted upon the

"question. Then we fell in debate concern-" ing the King's issuing out warrants, figned " with his own hand, to Mr. Francis and others

"his Serjeants-at-Arms, to attach their "bodies: that they were illegal, and against

"the liberty of the subject and the privilege

" of Parliament." \*

\* Harl. MSS. 162, f. 309 a.

The Committee thus wifely began at the Attorneybeginning, questioning the Attorney-General's General's proceed-proceeding by impeachment before discussing ings first questioned. The solitary argu-tioned. ment of any weight that is used by Clarendon in palliation of the conduct of the King, affumes that the popular leaders claimed their privilege of Parliament as an immunity even from the charge of treason: we shall now fee on what foundation this rests, and with how much truth any argument based thereon could be urged. Upon the last proposition as Motion to to the warrants of arrest, a debate arose, in send for warrants. which Nathaniel Fiennes and one or two more took part; and in the course of it a suggestion was made that the Committee should fend to Mr. Brown, the Clerk of the House of Lords, for a copy of the proceedings in that House against the five members of the Lower House. Upon this D'Ewes arose, and made certainly Resisted the most able speech, most serviceable in know-by D'Ewes, ledge and illustration, and going most directly to the points in iffue, of any from himself that he has recorded in his Journal. Its reception by the Committee generally, is honourable evidence of their temper and spirit.

"I did desire," he says, "that we might speech by not send for the copies of any proceedings D'Ewes. which had been there printed against the said members of our House. We were not

"truly to take notice of fuch, because these

Explains " proceedings against our own members are privileges "first to begin in our own House. For there against arrest. " is a double privilege we have in Parliament: "the one final, the other temporary. Our " final privilege extends to all civil causes, and " fuits in law: and this continues during the Final, and " Parliament. The other privilege, which is tempo-"temporary, extends to all capital causes, as rary. "Treason or the like, in which the persons " and goods of the members of both Houses " are only freed from seizure till the Houses " be first satisfied of their crimes, and so do "deliver their bodies up to be committed to Why fuch " fafe custody. And the reason of this is distinc-" evident, because their crime must either be tion. " committed within the same Houses, or with-" out them. As for example. If any mem-"ber of the House of Commons be accused " for treasonable actions or words, committed " or spoken within the walls of the same "House, then there is a necessity that not only "the matter of fact, but the matter of crime " also, must be adjudged by that House; for "it can appear to no other court what was When the House to "there done, in respect that it were the highest judge as to " treachery and breach of privilege for any fact and penalty: " member of that House to witness or reveal " what was done or spoken therein, without "the leave and direction of the same House. " And if it be for treason committed out of "the House, yet still the House must be

- " first satisfied with the matter of fact, before When as "they part with their members; for, elfe, all to fact
- " privilege of Parliament must, of necessity,
- "be destroyed. For, by the same reason that
- "they accuse one of the said members, they
- " may accuse forty or fifty upon imaginary and Otherwise
- " false treasons, and so commit them to custody House might be " and deprive the House of their members. thinned
- Whereas, on the contrary side, the House at plea-
- " of Commons hath ever been so just as to
- " part with fuch members when they have Yet mem-
- been discovered. As in the Parliament de bers guilty to be sur-
- "A° 27° of Queen Elizabeth, Doctor Parry, rendered.
- "being a member of the House, was first "delivered up by them to fafe custody, and
- " afterwards arraigned and condemned of high
- "treason, and executed for it. And so like-
- "wife in Mr. Coppley's cafe. In the Parlia- Examples
- "ment in the last year of Queen Mary, he given.
- " fpake very dangerous words against the said
- "Queen; yet it was tried in the House of
- "Commons, as appears in the original journal-
- "book of the same House, and the said
- "Queen, at their intreaty, did afterwards
- " remit it."

Cries of "well moved," now rewarded "Well the firm yet moderate reasoning,\* and the apt moved."

<sup>\*</sup> Substantially this argument does not differ from that which Clarendon says he took occasion to urge upon the House in pointing out to them (Hist. ii. 139) that privilege Why apof parliament did not run in cases of treason, selony, or plaud breach of the peace: but how is it that what was heard from D'Ewes?

Fair and just temper of Committee.

constitutional learning, of the logical and wellread member for Sudbury: but these cries, grateful as he tells us they were to him, are to us the still more valuable testimony of a fair and just temper in the Committee itself, upon a question where Clarendon would have us believe the repeated affeverations he makes, that no man was for a moment listened to who attempted to explain what the law really was, or who afferted that a member of Parliament might have his responsibilities like any other citizen.

No defire to be irresponsible.

Answer

and object D'Ewes with fuch approving cries, should have been received to Hyde? from the lips of Hyde with, as he is anxious to have us believe, noise and clamour, with wonderful evidence of dislike, and with some faint contradictions that no such thing ought to be done whilft a parliament was sitting? (See ante, 212-16.) The folution of this, as already I have ventured to fuggest, fuggested. appears to be that Hyde made no fuch speech; and that the affertion is a mere confusion of his memory between what he did or did not say, and what he had afterwards felt that he might have faid. The charge he brings both in his History and his Memoir, as though the House claimed in these transactions to override both the judges and the law itself, is but another form of the doggrel Five Members' March, of which two or three out of the score of stanzas may amuse the reader.

Doggrel " Five Members' March."

" And let no wights henceforth prefume To hold it rime or reason, That judges shall determine what Is Felony or Treason.

But what the Worthies fay is so Is Treason to award, Albeit in Council only spoke And at the Council-Board.

And for this Sea of Liberty, Wherein we yet do fwim, Gramercy Kimbolton and Strode fay I, Hafelrig, Hollis, Hampden, Pyin."

"But," proceeded D'Ewes, "for the case of D'Ewes " these gentlemen that are now in question, it resumes. "doth not yet appear to us whether it be for " a crime done within the walls of the House " of Commons or without: fo that, for aught " we know, the whole judicature thereof must " first pass with us. For the Lords did make an "Act Declaratory, in the Parliament Roll de "A° 4° Ed. III. N° 6°, that the judgment of Asto cases "Peers only did properly belong to them; fo Lords " as I hold it somewhat clear that these gentle- join. "men cannot be condemned, but by fuch a "judgment only as wherein the Lords may "join with the Commons, and that must be "by Bill. And the fame privilege is to the Privileges members of the Lords' House. For we both " must not think that if a private person Houses. " should come there and accuse any of them " of treason, that they will at all part with "that member, or commit him to fafe custody, "till the matter of fact be first proved before "them. 'Tis true indeed, that, upon the Impeach-"impeachment of the House of Commons Lower " for Treason or any other Capital Crimes, House: "they do immediately commit their members " to fafe custody: because it is, first, admitted compels "that we accuse not till we are satisfied in the furrender of the "matter of fact; and, fecondly, it is also person. " fupposed in law that such an aggregate body " as the House of Commons is, will do Malice not "nothing ex livore vel ex odio, feeing they are prefum" entrusted by the whole Commons of Eng-"land with their estates and fortunes."

Conclufion by D'Ewes.

Sir Simonds closed his calm and temperate exposition with a decisive affertion of opinion. "So as upon the whole matter," he faid, "I

" conclude that the proceedings against these " five gentlemen have been hitherto illegal;

"and that we ought to demand fafety for

"their persons to come and fit amongst us,

"till their crime shall be proved before us."

Loud acclamation.

Then, as he refumed his feat, he proceeds to tell us with pardonable complacency, "there " followed a loud acclamation of Well moved, " and Mr. Glyn spake after me, and faid that "I had abundantly and very well cleared this " point both with authority and reason."

Glyn's fpeech:

aimed at fuch

counfels

But Glyn's speech was remarkable for more than this. Some passages of it were hardly less folid and weighty than Grimston's. Speaking from the question of the Warrants to the general confideration of breach of their prias Hyde's. vileges, he struck more nearly and directly than Grimston had done at the evil councillors, by whom misunderstandings had been for a long period affiduoufly raifed and encouraged between his Majesty and that House. These

Private in men, he faid, and fuch as these, had been, formers of the King. and were still, casting aspersions, and spreading abroad evil reports, not only of the members, but of the proceedings of the House of Commons against them and others of their

favorites. For himself he would say that, of all breaches of the privileges of Parliament, none more grave could be committed than to inform his Majesty of any proceedings in the Spies in House of Commons, upon any business what- the House. foever, before they had concluded, finished, and made ready the same, to present to his Majesty for his royal assent thereunto. Further, he faid, it was in his view a breach of Parliamentary privilege to misinform his Majesty contrary to the proceedings in Parliament, thereby to incense and provoke him against the same. And to all men it was visibly a Manifest most manifest breach of privilege, to come breach of privilege. to the Commons House sitting in free consultation, and there, affisted and guarded with armed men, to demand as it were vi et armis any members fingled out and accused, without the knowledge or consent of that House.

Mr. Glyn had evidently, in the absence of the Glyn has member for Tavistock, assumed in the Com-taken leadership. mittee the place of leader to the popular party; and, quietly taking their places by his fide, as of right entitled to claim the next rank to that which all feem at once to have conceded to Glyn's distinction as a lawyer and his position as member for Westminster, we find, among the most active and influential, young Chiefs Sir Harry Vane, Nathaniel Fiennes, Grimston, him. Maynard, Alderman Pennington, Stapleton the member for Boroughbridge, and Wilde

the member for Worcestershire, who occupied the chair of the Committee more frequently than any other member.

D'Ewes's argument on privilege.

Glyn had spoken truly in the compliment he offered to the learning and discrimination of the member for Sudbury. D'Ewes had argued the matter of privilege, taking the King's proceeding as the basis or starting point, upon incontrovertible grounds. He had anticipated and repelled the false infinuations of Clarendon, and now, covered by Glyn's authority against fuch further objections as were made, he carried the committee with him to a position from which their right to resist was unassailable. Without minutely discussing a question which can no longer, with our fettled and afcertained rules of procedure, be viewed exactly as it presented itself in those days, it is clear that the mere breach of privilege, gross as it was, was not the King's worst More than offence on that miserable day. Whatever, affuming that a case existed on which to take proceedings at all, the form of those proceedings should strictly have been, whether by impeachment of the Commons themselves, or by indictment preferred to a grand jury, the method taken by the King leaves quite immaterial. When Clarendon afferts that "if the "judges had been compelled to deliver their " opinions in point of law, which they ought "to have been, they could not have avoided

A firm position.

tion at iffue.

one quef-

Clarendon's evation.

"the declaring, that by the known law, which " had been confessed in all times and ages, no " privilege of Parliament could extend in the " case of treason," \* he knows perfectly well that he is not raising the real issue.† There Not one but many were a dozen violations of the known and breaches fettled law to be dealt with, before that could of law. even come to be considered. Each step had been an outrage. Hyde was too good a lawyer not to be perfectly aware, that, fo far from the King's having anything like the power he had King assumed to exercise in this case, even an ordi-powerless to arrest. nary magistrate or justice of peace had a power fuperior to the fovereign's. The King was in

\* Hist. ii. 193.

† I find remarkable evidence, in a letter written the morning Just opi-after the King's attempt, of how clearly, in opposition to all nions as t these false statements and reasonings of Clarendon, the nature of the outrage which had been committed was discriminated arrest. by impartial bystanders, and how accurate and unexaggerated was the measure taken of the breach of privilege involved. was the measure taken of the breach of privilege involved.

Mr. Thomas Smith writes from York House (built for Buckingham when Lord-Admiral, and fince occupied by holders of that high office), on the 5th January, to his "true friend" Admiral Pennington. "Since the im-Smith to "peachmt and sending of the Bpps. to the Tower, His Penning-"Matie hath sent ye Attourney Genle to ye Upper House to ton: "accuse my Lo. Mandeville, Mr. Pym, Mr. Hollis, Mr. 5th Janu-"Strode, Mr. Hampden, and Sir Arthur Hasserig, to bee ary. "guilty of High Treason. This was don on the 3d of "January. The Houses are much displeased at this manner of "proceeding because say they. Kings ought not to be the "January. The Houses are much displeated at this mainler of proceeding because, say they, Kings ought not to be the accusers of their subjects; and they complaine that in ye King not manner of managing this businesse ye King hath done to accuse many things tending to breach of Priviledge. As Sealing Subjects. In the House of Commons to attack ye persons of some who are supposed to be delinquents, &c. The Lords gave "answer that if a Parliamentary Charge were given in against "those Delinquents, they would be Comitted to custody, but till y" they would not. The Kynge, offended that they were

Each step an outrage.

reality powerlefs. He could not draw up the impeachment. He could not carry it to the Lords by his Attorney. He could not ferve it in the Commons by his Serjeant-at-arms. He could not in person arrest under it. And for the manifest reason that, presuming a wrong to be done by fuch means, the fubject would be left without a remedy. "A fubject," faid Chief Justice Markham to Edward IV, \* "may

Subject may do what King cannot.

"arrest for treason; the King cannot; for, "if the arrest be illegal, the party has no " remedy against the King."

Shame of General.

So strongly did the Attorney General, Attorney-indeed, afterwards feel the humiliation in which confiderations of this kind involved him, that upon the proceedings subsequently taken against him, he requested the Lord Keeper to interest himself with one of his friends who fat in the lower House for Nottingham, Mr. Francis Pierpoint, third fon of Lord Kingston, to offer an apology for his breach of the law. This curious passage, also revealed to us by D'Ewes, has already been quoted in a note; but it feems impossible to understand, if

Makes apology through a friend.

> "not restrayned, came the next day himself in person well "guarded into ye Commons' House (a thing never heard of "before) to demand y pions; but they were at that tyme absent, and do still absent themselves. The King much "displeased departed, and is this day gone himselfe into London to have ym pclaimed Traytors. These violent " proceedings of the King's give much discontent everywhere, "and we are daily in feare of uproares; yet all care is taken " to prevent mischiefe."

Discontent with the King.

\* Quoted by Lord Macaulay in his Essays, i. 67. + Ante, 128. My late extracts from the D'Ewes Journal will be found in Harl. MSS. 162, ff. 308 a and b, and 309 a and b.

Herbert really felt the "trouble" of mind al- Apology leged, and faw before him fo clearly the confe- not bequences of his act, how an officer of fo much experience should have suffered himself to be overborne in a matter where he was certain himfelf to be the first victim. One is rather disposed to conclude with Mr. Strode, in the pregnant Mr. remark he threw out on the occasion of Pier-Strode's point's intercession, that he believed Mr. Attor-thereon. ney did not only contrive the same, but knew of the defign itself also; for he was a man of great parts, and well skilled in state matters. The incredulity was at least pardonable.

But we left the debate of the 6th of January Debate as before it closed, amid the cries of approval to warwhich followed the speeches of D'Ewes and tinued. Glyn. Divers, D'Ewes proceeds to tell us, afterwards spoke respecting the warrants which purported to have been issued out under the King's hand, and no one ventured to affert their legality. The speeches all went to Sound one refult. That fuch warrants could not be frated. good: that the fovereign was himself a party against all capital offenders: that, being entitled on conviction to have their lands and goods, he could therefore be neither judge nor accuser in their trial: that his warrants were to be No diffeissued forth by his ministers, who were by opinion. the law appointed thereunto: "with much " other matter to that effect."

A characteristic incident then occurred, which

Dispute of D'Ewes with Wilde.

further shows how clearly D'Ewes kept before himself, and how steadily before the Committee, the point it most behoved them to rest their case upon. Mr. Serjeant Wilde, speaking from the Chair, and taking advantage of exciting expressions thrown out in discussing these warrants of the King, would have had the Com-

Wrong issue suggested.

Corrected by D'Ewes.

Lords to iffue war-

How to make a right thing wrong. mittee affirm that the mere charge of treason in the abstract, no matter how instituted, was, as against a member of the House of Commons, a breach of privilege; but the member for Sudbury wifely substituted a resolution against the mode of instituting such a charge which lately had been taken, and denouncing the issue of any additional warrants, as not only a violation of the privilege of parliament, but a breach of the liberty of the subject: and this the Committee adopted. The wisdom of such a course was manifest. Even supposing that the view could be supported, of a right in the Lords to entertain the accusation of treason at the instance of the Attorney-General, it was the Lords, and not the King, who should have issued the warrants: and D'Ewes was right to continue to fix the attention of the Committee upon the mode of procedure. Had the very right itself existed, the method would have turned it into wrong. "At length," he fays, "Mr. "Serjeant Wilde propounded a question to be

" put concerning the arresting of Mr. Denzil Hollis, or any of the other four members

" accused of high treason, that it was a breach D'Ewes's of privilege: but I moved that the first over " question might be put touching the issuing Wilde. " forth of any fresh warrants; that the same " was a breach of the liberty of the subject, "and a violation of the privilege of Parlia-" ment : which motion of mine was approved Good fense "by the Committee, and the same was resolved of Committee." upon the question, and ordered by the Com-" mittee accordingly." There was no further objection to the refo- Resolulutions fubmitted. "We proceeded," fays tions voted. D'Ewes, "to vote it a breach of privilege of " Parliament, and of the liberty of the subject, "for any person to arrest any of the said "members by colour of fuch warrants; and Against "we declared them public enemies of the warrants. "Commonwealth. It was also further resolved " upon the question, and ordered by the Com-" mittee, that to arrest any member of either Against "House without consent of that House whereof persons arresting " fuch person was a member, was against the under " liberty of the subject, and a breach of the " privilege of Parliament, and that any person " who should so arrest such member should be

"wealth. Which votes being put and ordered, "it was moved that a fub-Committee might " be appointed to go out, and to draw out a " Declaration to this purpose."

" declared a public enemy of the Common-

Then rose the younger Sir Henry Vane Young Vane rises:

cers' Hall.

Offers wife with a proposition, as the sequel to what the fuggeflearned member skilled in precedents had so tion. well moved, which he offered to the Committee as very necessary to be included in the Declaration, and which was eminently characteristic of his own sense of justice. "He did "move," fays D'Ewes, "that we might make " fome short declaration that we did not intend "to protect these five gentlemen, or any other Guard against "member of our House, in any crime; but claiming privilege " should be most ready to bring them to confor crime. " dign punishment, if they should be proceeded "against in a legal way." The Committee affented; and young Vane, Glyn, Grimston, Sub-Com- Nathaniel Fiennes, and Sir Philip Stapleton, havmittee to ing been named as the fub-Committee to draw draw the declaration, left the chamber for that purprovifo. pose. While they were absent, "I departed," fays D'Ewes, "from the Committee, between "two and three of the clock in the afternoon; " but the Declaration was afterwards brought "in by the faid Committee, and allowed and Vane's clause "voted by the Committee, and printed." He voted and printed. adds, that as the Common Council required the Guildhall Chamber for City uses, and it was moreover in itself somewhat inconvenient, Adjourn to Grothe Committee adjourned itself to meet next

§ XXXII. FACTS AND FICTIONS.

morning in Grocers' Hall.

THE elaborate particularity with which the

good Sir Simonds D'Ewes thus records in detail the proceedings of the Select Committee of the Commons, feems as though specially pro-Clarendon vided for refutation of the studied mif-fistions. representations and disingenuous artifices of Clarendon. Speaking generally of the proceedings of the Committee described in the foregoing fection, that writer deliberately states: 1. That all the resolutions voted Alleged were in support of, and simple corollaries from, of votes. the broad and unrestricted affertion, "that "the arresting, or endeavouring to arrest, any "member of Parliament, was a high breach "of their privilege." 2. That the House itself held short sittings, concurrently with Concurthe fittings of the Committee, for the mere fittings of purpose of confirming the votes so passed. House. 3. That when the votes in question were proposed for confirmation, he (Mr. Hyde) Hyde's took part in the debate, and was received with fpeech. noise and clamour, and with wonderful evidence of dislike, merely for stating what was a known truth to any one who knew anything of the law, namely, that where persons were arrested for treason, or felony, or breach of the peace, there could be no privilege of Parliament. And, 4. That after this debate "the House Pretended " confirmed all that the Committee had voted, references to House " and then adjourned again for some days, and itself. " ordered the Committee to meet again in the "City. . . . the House itself meeting and

House confirming votes of Committee.

All done during

Members' absence.

Five

" fitting only to confirm the votes which were passed by the Committee, and to prosecute

"fuch matters as were by concert brought to them, by petition from the City, which was

" ready to advance anything they were directed:
" and fo, while the members yet kept them-

"felves concealed, many particulars of great importance were transacted in those short

" fittings of the House. \* "

Reply.

Votes not fo reftricted.

House itself not sitting.

Hyde not fpeaking.

To which elaborate mifftatement, the reply which D'Ewes enables us to make is very fimple. It is: 1. That the votes of the Committee distinctly limited and defined the breach of privilege as confisting, not in the accusation or the arrest, but in the means and process employed therein, whereby the law of the land and the liberty of the subject, not less than the privileges of Parliament, were violated. 2. That the House held no fuch fittings, the Committee having in the first instance received full powers, and exercifing an entire jurisdiction over the matters referred to them. 3. That it is therefore impossible that Mr. Hyde can have addressed the House; that there is no evidence of his having ever attended the Committee;† and that, assuming him nevertheless to have spoken at the Committee as alleged, what we have feen of their reception of D'Ewes's temperate speech renders it extremely improbable

<sup>\*</sup> Hist. ii. 138-140.

that Mr. Hyde's very innocent remark should have been hooted down. And 4. That there was only one adjournment of the House between the 5th and the 11th January, 1641-2; and that there were no short sittings whatever while the Five Members yet kept themselves concealed. Even if D'Ewes had not revealed this, the evidence of the Commons' Journals support would have been decisive. They are a total D'Ewes. blank between the two days named.

Happily, too, the Declaration remains, which Evidence embodied the conflitutional fuggestions of of published De-D'Ewes and the manly proposition of Vane; claration. and it needs but to quote a few of its noble sentences to dissipate these sictions of Clarendon. After stating the high breach committed against the rights and privileges of Parliament, and the liberties and freedom thereof, by the King's attempt to arrest the members, it proceeded:

attempt to arrest the members, it proceeded:

"And whereas his Majesty did issue forth As to warrants, under his own hand, for the representation of the persons of the said members, which by law he cannot do; there being King not all this time any legal charge or accusate to issue tion, or due process of law, issued against them, or any pretence of charge made known to the House; all which are against the fundamental liberties of the subject, and the rights of Parliament: whereupon, we are necessitated according to our duty to

"declare, and we do hereby declare, that any As to arrest:

King dif-" person that shall arrest Mr. Hollis, Sir " Arthur Haselrig, Mr. Pym, Mr. Hampden, effecting it. "and Mr. Strode, or any of them, by pre-"tence or colour of any warrant issuing out " from the King only, is guilty of a breach of "the liberties of the subject, and of the " privileges of Parliament, and a public enemy As to claim of " to the Commonwealth . . . Notwithstanding privilege: " all which, we think fit further to declare, that "we are so far from any endeavour to protect "any of our members that shall be in que " manner profecuted (according to the laws of "the kingdom, and the rights and privileges not defired to " of Parliament) for treason, or any other misbar a just "demeanor, that none shall be more ready charge. " and willing than we ourselves to bring them "to a speedy and due trial: being sensible Readiness to bring "that it equally imports us, as well to fee guilty to trial. "justice done against them that are criminal, " as to defend the just rights and liberties of "the subjects and Parliament of England."

## § XXXIII. AGITATION IN THE CITY.

Thursday night, 6th January.

THE Declaration of the Commons on the Breach of their Privilege was printed and in circulation in the City, on the night of that first meeting at Guildhall. Agitation and excitement had continued to increase out of doors. Clarendon is no mean or incredible witness where his passions or interest do not deceive or mislead him to perversion of the truth,

and he fays that it cannot be expressed how A change great a change there appeared to be in the people. countenance and minds of all forts of people, upon those late proceedings of the King.\*

The shops of the City, while the members remained therein, were generally shut up, as if an enemy were at their gates ready to enter and to plunder them; the people in all places, he adds, were at a gaze, as if, disposed to any undertaking, they looked Disposed only for directions; and the wildest reports underwere speedily accepted and believed. D'Ewes taking for once confirms Clarendon. On this Thursday night, he tells us in a note appended to his Journal of the 6th January, the watch at

\* The passage is curious and valuable, though in its aim Evidence and object the reverse of candid. "It cannot be expressed," of Clarenhe says (Hist. ii. 159), "how great a change there appeared don." to be in the countenance and minds of all sorts of people, "in town and country, upon these late proceedings of the King." He afferts (with what likelihood I have attempted to show in my Essay on the Great Remonstrance) that the popular leaders had of late been losing their spirits, so that some of them were even resuming their old resolutions of leaving the kingdom; but that "now again they recovered greater Tribunes" courage than ever, and quickly found that their credit and exalted. " reputation was as great as ever it had been : the Court being " reduced to a lower condition, and to more difesteem and Court "neglect, than ever it had undergone. All that they had reduced. formerly faid of plots and confpiracies against the Parliament, which had before been laughed at, were now thought "true and real; and all their fears and jealousies looked upon All "as the effects of their great wisdom and forethought. All slanders "that had been whispered of Ireland was now talked aloud believed. "and printed; as all other feditious pamphlets and libels "were." These remarks are so coloured as to give a false expression to the facts they embody, but the facts themselves are confirmed by what already has been quoted from private letters.

Sudden alarm at Ludgate.

Threatened

attack on

Coleman Street.

Ludgate was alarmed fuddenly, between 9 and 10 o'clock, by information that the same band of desperadoes who had accompanied the King to the House on Tuesday, had a similar design to be executed in the City that night. The news spread simultaneously from several quarters, and the reported plan was that of an attack upon the house in Coleman Street, where the accused members were. The rumour had in all probability arisen from some oozing out of the project of Digby, as to which Clarendon, in the character he has left of that reckless perfonage\* in the supplement to the third volume of his State Papers, gives us the particular information, that it was conceived immediately upon the Citizens declaring absolutely for the members, and rejecting, as they had done the day before this to which D'Ewes refers, the King's personal overtures for affistance. Further he tells us, as we have feen, that Digby counted upon a select number of a dozen Gentlemen, who he prefumed would flick to him (his friend Lunfford was one +), to help him out with this project, by feizing on the Five Members dead or alive; and he pro-

The Digby plot.

Lunfford in it.

\* State Papers, iii. lv. lvi. See ante, 205.

the week after the present; describing Lunssord, "this "Colonel" as he calls him, not content, under the influence of Lunssord's the King's unmerited favour, "but imitating the water-toad, bragging. "and, seeing the shadow of a horse seem bigger than itself, " fwelling itself straightway to rival the same, and so bursting."

Speech of + Stapleton made rather a good speech when the Digby Stapleton. plot, and Lumbord's connection with it, became notorious

tests that without doubt he would have done it, and that it must have had a wonderful effect. A wonderful effect, even the rumour of it

appears to have had.

The City and the fuburbs, fays D'Ewes, The City were almost wholly raised, so that within little in arms. more than an hour's space there were forty 140,000 thousand men in complete arms, and near a weapons. hundred thousand more that had halberds, fwords, clubs, and the like. Such was the military organisation of the City Train Bands in those days. Notwithstanding this, however, Panic the panic ran its course, as it is in the nature continues. of all panics to do. "Yet," D'Ewes tells us, in a fentence which exhibits not a little of the nervous derangement it commemorates, "the "general cry of the City, Arm! Arm! was " with fo much vehemency, and knocking at "men's doors was with fo much violence, "that fome women being with child were Womenin " fo much affrighted therewith that they terror. " miscarried." However, the Lord Mayor Exertions played his part of pater patriæ within the of Lord Mayor. City walls with all necessary promptitude and vigour, and put a timely check to these domestic inconveniences. He had tried, but vainly, to prevent the Trained Bands from getting under arms; but he afterwards fent to Whitehall, and, in every direction where authentic intelligence was procurable, he dispersed it on all fides in place of the exaggerated rumours

flying about; and he took finally fuch skilful Streets cleared. measures for clearance of the streets, that in little more than an hour from his first inter-City again ference, the City was again quiet, and "every quiet. " man retired to his house." Two days later, he Thanks of was specially thanked by an order of the Council Council Board, at which the King was present and the to Lord new Ministers of State; and at which demand Mayor. was made, under their hands, for delivery up of the names of the persons who had "importuned "him to put the Trained Bands in arms." Yet

Order from Council, Saturday 8th Jan.

Members for City odious to Court.

Swearing in of Falkland.

Notices tumult of Thurfday.

\* A copy of this Order from the Council-Board addressed to the "Lord Mayor &c. of London," and dated Saturday the 8th, exists in the State Paper Office, and furnishes remarkable evidence of the tone and spirit which must have animated the Council in discussing the incidents of the preceding Thursday, the 6th of January. It is to be borne in mind, in reading it, that the members for the City were notoriously those who had overruled the Lord Mayor as to the affembling of the Trained Bands, and that the Committee of the Commons, fitting in the City, held the step to have been essential to the safety of the citizens. The insertions within brackets are in the handwriting of Nicholas; and the intimations with which the Order concludes as to the swearing in of Lord Falkland at the Board that day, may perhaps be taken as an evidence of Nicholas's anxiety that the fact should be known in the City, and his own responsibility so far lightened by participation with one so recently engaged and trusted on the popular side in the House of Commons, "Hearty commendations to your Lp and " the reft. Whereas the King's May hath taken notice of a " great disorder & tumult within the Cittie of London &

"Liberties thereof where many thousands of men as well of the Trayned Bands as others were in arms on Thursday night last [without any lawfull authority, as his May is informed] to the great disturbance & affrightm of all the inhabitants: for which neither his Matte, nor this Board, doth [find] believe any cause given at all, nor the least danger to have been intended to the said Citty, or inhabitants thereof, they are pressed whatever. West being of so danger course.

authors must be punished.

The

"by any person whatever. Weh being of so dangerous consequence, as the same may no way be connived at: but is most requisite that the authors of the alarme be enquired after, exame, and punished according to Law: that others the right so challenged had never until now been Ill-timed questioned; and the time appropriately selected defiance. for this note of defiance, was when bands of armed men were being organised, as well by the King as by his followers, without any warrant from the law. D'Ewes concludes the very note I have quoted, by saying that the alarm in the City had been greatly increased by the circumstance of a troop of horse, raised by a Royalist Troop raised by Squire of Essex, having been billeted at Bar-Royalist net, and reported, "upon what misinformation Squire.

"may both hereafter be deterred from the like feditious "attempts, & his Matie good fubjects better fecured in the " peaceable quiet & enjoying of what is theirs. And whereas Certain "his Matie hath been informed that before the alarme, certaine persons " persons were earnest wth yor Lop to put the Trayned Bands (M.P.s)
of the Cittie in armes; weh you refusing to doe because [you over " faid] you knew no cause of feare, yet the same was after-earnest. "wards done without yor commands & agt yor will [and " without any authority]. His Majer, having duly confidered " of the premisses, hath thought fitt by advice of this Board "hereby to pray and require you, together with yr Brethren "the Aldermen and the Recorder of the faid Cittie, forthwith "to meete & to use all diligence for the enquiring and finding Find out out, by what meanes and by whose endeave foe great a authors of "disorder did happen; who were the authors of the alarme alarm. "[by what & whose order the trayned bands were raised]
and upon what pretexte; and such as you shall discover to " be guilty of this so great offence, that you take a fitting course that they may be forthcoming: and further that you " certifie this Board with speed of yor proceedings therein, "and what you finde [as also the names of those who at first Give up "importuned you to put the Trayned Bands in armes]. To their "the end some further course may thereupon be directed for names. "fettling the peace & quietnesse of the Citty, & for " punishmt of the offenders according to the Laws & Statutes Must be "of the Realme. Wherein not doubting of y care, we bid punished. 
"you very heartily farewell. From Whytehall the 8 of 
"January 1641. Y very loving friends.—This day, his 
"Maty present in Counsell, and by his royall comand, the "Visct Faulkland was sworne one of H. M. principal " Secretaries of State."

"I know not, to be but the fore-runners of "five hundred horse that were last night to " come into the City of London."

Tendency to undue fears.

The universal tendency of communities and bodies of men to undue and exaggerated fears is well understood, and the present naturalness of fuch fudden fears and panics has been shown; nor was the character of the disclosures made at the reassembling of the Committee at Grocers' Hall the next morning, of a kind to discontinue or abate them.

## § XXXIV. FIRST SITTING AT GROCERS' HALL

Friday, 7th Jan.

On the day of the first sitting at Grocers' Hall, Friday the 7th, it had been appointed to take evidence as to the circumstances of the King's attempt of the previous Tuefday, and the character and conduct of the armed men who accompanied him. "bufiness was entered into," says D'Ewes, Witnesses "before I came in, and divers witnesses were " examined in my hearing." Of the statements made by those witnesses he proceeds to give an abstract, confirming in all material points the account already given, and fupplying some additional particulars not without interest.

the 4th. Abstract of their

evidence.

as to out-

rage of

It feems certain, from the great mass of the evidence adduced, and supported even by witnesses opposed to the majority in the Com-

mons, that, while the King was in the House, a Concerted word or fignal was expected to be given. It plan. was diffinctly deposed by several, that, when his Majesty was coming out of the House, divers officers of the late army (in the North "and other desperate ruffians" called out Signal to for the word, but, when they faw no word be given. given, they "bade make a lane and fo de-Difap-" parted." One of the witnesses, a Captain ment. Ogle, deposed that while speaking, on the morning after the attempt, with one of the officers who came with the King, this person did not scruple to avow that he and others accompanied his Majesty to be his guard in consequence of having heard that the House of Com- Necessity of forcing mons would not obey the King, and that there- Commons fore it was necessary to force them to it. "And to obey King. " he believed that if, in the posture that they "were fet, the word had been given, they Only the fignal hould certainly have fallen upon the House wanting. " of Commons." Another witness swore to having heard "one of the desperadoes" cry out, as he held up his piftol ready cocked, "I will " warrant you I am a good marksman, I will "hit fure." Another, Mr. John Chambers, deposed to the forcible keeping open of the Forcibly Commons' door; to the violence used against keeping open the fervants of members of the House; to the door of House. firearms with which the King's party had come prepared; and to the interchange of questions he had overheard among them, as to what might

Counting numbers.

be the exact number of members mustered in the House that day. A similar piece of evidence must be given in the words of D'Ewes: "That when the King entered the House, and "it appeared that neither Mr. Pym, nor any

" of the other four were there, one of these Ingenuous " bloody ruffians faid ' Zounds! there are

confession. " none of them here, and we are never the

" better for our coming!"

An important witness.

The most notable piece of evidence, however, was given by Captain Hercule Langres, who played fo important a part on the memorable day; and D'Ewes enables us first to publish it. Dwelling in Covent Garden, he faid, he AtWhite- had occasion to be in Whitehall on the last day of December, the Friday preceding the King's

hall the previous Friday.

What Lieut.Jenkin faid.

there understood from Lieutenant Jenkin, who had command of a company of the Trained Bands at Whitehall, that he was then under

endeavour to arrest the members. That he

orders to obey one Sir William Fleming. That he was with that officer again on the following Tuesday, having heard from a noble gentleman

Again at Whitehall on the 4th. who wished well to this nation (doubtless the French ambassador, Montreuil) of the design

Previous intelligence of King's design.

of the King's going to the House to be, to take out those five members by violence which were accused of treason, if he found them there.

That, feeing his Majesty was to be accompanied to that end with divers officers and foldiers armed with halberds, fwords,

pistols, among whom were divers Frenchmen, namely Monsieur Fleury and others, he passed through the roof, got to the House of Com- Passes over mons before his Majesty could come, and escape acquainted Mr. Nathaniel Fiennes therewith. crowds. Further, that the faid Monsieur Fleury had told him, as long ago as fome three weeks, Knew of that there would be troubles shortly here in trouble England, that he had guessed so before, but three weeksago. that now he was fure of it.

After this evidence had been taken, D'Ewes Impression himself rose to state to the Committee the im- D'Ewes. pression it had produced upon him, and to fuggest a resolution in accordance therewith. "I moved," he fays, "that feeing we had all "the material passages of this design proved " unto us by feveral witnesses, I was in mine " own conscience fully satisfied, that if God had Satisfied as "not in a wonderful manner prevented it by aimed at. "the absence of those our five members, we " had been all in very great danger of having " been destroyed. And therefore I did desire "that we might refolve the same upon the " question. Others seconded me; and after To find "a pretty while, the question ensuing was excuse for "agreed upon. That the coming of the conflict " foldiers to the House of Commons with his House, " Majesty, on Tuesday last, was a design to

" take some members out of the said House, Moves " and, in case they should find any opposition and carries vote to " or denial, then to fall in an hostile manner that effect.

"upon the House of Commons; which was a " traitorous design against the King and Parlia-" ment."

Meanwhile Serjeant Wilde, reviving the

Sheriffs of London in attendance.

question on which D'Ewes had outvoted him on the previous day, had fucceeded in obtaining orders from the Committee for the attendance of the two Sheriffs of London, with the warrants they had received under the hand of the King for the apprehension of the five members; and now their arrival was announced. They were called in, and asked by Mr. Serjeant Wilde whether they had brought with them Sheriff Garrett, who had the warrants. entertained the King two days before, and whose sympathies were with the popular party, answered that he had; the other declined to answer, on the ground that the duty of his place enjoined fecrecy.

as to warrants.

Asked

One replies, the other refuses.

between D'Ewes.

Don't shout "aye" or reflect and confider.

At this point D'Ewes interposed, and upon his motion the Sheriffs withdrew. Serjeant Wilde then started up, from the Chair, to ask whether Difference the Committee did not mean to require them to Wilde and deliver in the warrants: to which some having cried Aye, and more No, D'Ewes took upon himself bluntly to inform the Committee that the question would not be determined by their confused crying Aye and No, but by their "no," but consideration and debate what course was best to be taken. Suppose the Sheriffs did deliver up the warrants upon demand, what did they

propose to do with them? Unless they in-Against tended to keep them, they were better not to warrants. demand them; and, as the case then stood, it was his clear opinion that they should not keep them, and therefore not demand them. Because, he proceeded to argue (with that guarded moderation of tone in reference to Difereet the King, and that defire to avoid any personal tone as to questioning of his prerogatives, by which the testimony he has just borne to the character of the attempt of the 4th of January is rendered greatly more valuable), though his Majesty, being misled by evil counsel, had in many particulars violated their privileges, yet they still owed him so much respect as not to assume Respect authority to take from his ministers, to whom still due. he had fent them, even these manifestly illegal warrants. "Neither do I doubt," he continued, with a touch of the humour Touch of wherewith he occasionally relieved the grave humour. precision of his oratory, "but they shall sleep "as quietly in the Sheriffs' hands as in our " custody, who, I believe intend to make but " little use of them. And indeed the City of "London in general, and those gentlemen in " particular have deserved so well of us, as I " desire not that we should put them upon " that strait as either to offend his Majesty, or An ill "disobey us. One of them, you see, pretends choice. "fecrecy, and the other would gladly be ex-" cufed; and therefore I defire that they may

Call in "be called in, and be informed of the good the Sheriffs opinion we have of them, and so be dismiss them." missed. Some," D'Ewes adds, "feconded

"me, and others spake contrary; but it was overruled that they should be called in and

Suggestion "dismissed, as I had moved: which was done adopted." accordingly."

Motion that Five Members attend Committee.

The next refolution, however, moved in discharge of a duty which the circumstances unavoidably forced upon them, was in effect a direct challenge to the fovereign. that the five members accused might and ought to come to attend that Committee, notwithstanding any warrant issued out, or other matter or accufation, against them. It was opposed by some very strongly, and the discussion was still proceeding, when, at 4 o'clock, D'Ewes quitted Grocers' Hall. His opinion was, that this open defiance should not have been reforted to, until a direct demand for fafety to the persons of the accused should have been refused by the King; and apparently he wished to avoid supporting a resolution which yet he could not conscientiously have opposed. It was carried, and the members invited to

Disliked by D'Ewes.

Carried. It was carried, and the members invited to attend Grocers' Hall publicly on the following Monday.

King meets the challenge.

The King meanwhile had met, more than half way, the challenge of the Commons, and early on the morning following this vote, the very day when Falkland received the feals,

there came forth a fresh Proclamation, reiterat-Fresh proing against the Five Members the accusation of against High Treason, and commanding all magistrates accused. and officers to seize and convey them to the Tower. A letter from the Council Board also reached the Chief Magistrate, of which the object was to make the City members responsible for measures taken by them on the night of the alarm to protect the Citizens. It was impossible but that the course thus adopted should precipitate every danger, weaken what Unwise chances were left to Charles the First, and course give unexpected opportunities and power to his antagonists.

## § XXXV. Second Sitting at Grocers' Hall.

Within one hour after appearance of the Saturday, King's proclamation on Saturday the 8th of Sth January, commanding all loyal men throughout the kingdom to apprehend the Five Members of the Commons whom he had accused of treason, the Committee of the Commons had assembled in Grocers' Hall; and, after renewing the Reply of order for the public appearance of the accused to King's members on Monday, preparatory to the return proclamation. Westminster on the following day, they passed two resolutions. The first: that a printed paper in the form of a proclamation issued out for the apprehending five gentlemen, members of the House of Commons,

Open defiance of the

was false, scandalous, and illegal. The second: that all acts of the Citizens of London, or Sovereign. of any other person whatsoever, for the defence of the Parliament and the privileges thereof, or the preservation of the same, were according to their duty, and the late protestation, and the laws of the kingdom, and that if any person should arrest or trouble them for fo doing, he was declared an enemy of the Commonwealth. Then were tidings brought, Alarming while these votes were in progress, of a ship from Berwick laden with arms having neared the Tower; and this led to the most important step yet taken by the Committee. Sir John Byron, Lieutenant of the Tower, and Captain

thereon.

news.

A Guard ordered for the Tower.

Step taken been summoned and examined, it was resolved that measures should be adopted with all dispatch for the fetting of a Guard upon that great fortress (the only security in those days for even the fanctity of commercial dealings),\*

Coningsley, Lieutenant of the Ordnance, having

Importance of the Tower.

\* Clarendon admits how vitally important it was to obtain fecurity for the safe keeping of the Tower, even in the very language of cavil with which he complains of "the petition" brought and delivered in the names of feveral merchants " who used to trade to the Mint; in which they desired that " there might be fuch a person made lieutenant of the Tower

Security to "as they could confide in (an expression that grew from merchants." that time to be much used), without which no man would "venture bullion into the Mint, and by consequence no "merchant would bring it into the kingdom."—Hist. ii. 154.

In that noble speech (one of the greatest monuments of eloquence, at once massive and persuasive, that exists in the great English language) delivered by Pym before the Upper House speech to the Lords, days subsequent to the present date, when the leader of the

under command of an officer having equally the confidence of the City and the Parliament, and Selection irremovable "without the King's command fig-of commanding "nified by both Houses." The officer selected officer: was the Captain of the Artillery Garden, Skippon; "a faithful and able foldier," fays Whitelock; a man, fays Clarendon, who had ferved very long in Holland, and from a common foldier had raifed himfelf to the degree of a Major-Captain, and to the reputation of a good Skippon. officer; "a man of order and fobriety, and " untainted with any of those vices which the " officers of that army were exercised in: " a Character man, let me add, very notable in the coming and fervices. years, and whose part in our English history dates from this day.\*

in faving the kingdom, but told them that their refusal would not discourage the Commons in saving it without such aid, he Effect of also adverts to the evil influences upon trade arising from the political insecurity of the Tower. "But I must protest," he said, troubles "the House of Commons hath given no cause to these on trade. "obstructions. We have eas'd Trade of many burdens and "heavy taxes; we have freed it from many hard restraints by the contraction of the protection of th " patents and monopolies; we have been willing to part with "our own privileges, to give it encouragement; and we have fought to put the merchants into security and confidence in " respect of the Tower of London, that so they might be " invited to bring in their Bullion to the Mint as heretofore "they have done. We are no way guilty of the troubles, Defence the fears, the public dangers, which make men withdraw of the "their stocks, and keep their money by them, to be ready for Commons. " fuch fudden exigents as in these great distractions we have "too much cause to expect. I must clear the Commons. "We are in no part guilty of this. Whatsoever mischief "these obstructions in trade shall produce, we are free from it. "We may have our part in the misery, we can have no part " in the guilt or dishonour." \* Whitelock (i. 191), has preserved for us a specimen of

Lower House invited the concurrence and help of the Lords

Named ·Chief of the City Militia.

How authority

being:

Captain Skippon was named, before the Committee arose, Major-General of the Militia of the City of London. It was an office never before heard of, Clarendon fays afterwards in his History, nor imagined that they had authority to constitute. Their authority, it might have been replied, fprang into life with comes into the proclamation issued on this 8th of January 1641-2, and the letter of that morning's date from the Council Board. It had become necessary that the Trained Bands of London should be under the command of a person fit to lead them, and authority waits upon necessity. A Sub-Committee was also appointed to confer and arrange, as to the Military arrangements for Tuesday, with the Common Council of London: order having been at the same time iffued, to the Sheriffs of London and Middlesex, for the raifing of the posse comitatus "for the "Guard of the King and Parliament" on the

Attends upon necessity.

posse comitatus.

No fuch guard needed.

Skippon and his foldiers.

what he calls those short and encouraging speeches to his foldiers which induced the City Bands, all through the Civil War, to march forth under his command with the utmost cheerfulness. "Come, my boys, my brave boys, let us pray " heartily and fight heartily. I will share the same fortunes "and hazards with you. Remember the cause is for God, and for the desence of yourselves, your wives, and children. Come, my honest brave boys, pray heartily and fight heartily. and God will bless us!" Thus would he go all along with the foldiers, adds the grave Mr. Whitelock; talking to

occasion of the return to Westminster. Little was that precaution needed. But even the men

Liking for them, fometimes to one company, and fometimes to another; and the foldiers feemed to be more taken with it than with a fet formal oration. speeches.

who fat at Grocers' Hall at the close of this Comeventful week of January, could not gauge the ignorant depth or force of the feeling, which, fince its of their commencement, had stirred London and its adjacent counties to their depths, and already had determined finally the question of the safety of Parliament against the King. Though the Committee made arrangements and issued orders as having no longer any fear, they Triumph could have formed but little notion as yet preparing. of the character and kind of triumph wherewith the great mass of the people were preparing, against the day of the proposed return Members to Westminster, to celebrate and glorify the borne men whom the King fo recently had denounced back by as traitors, and on that very day had again the people. publicly outlawed and profcribed.

A very striking incident occurred before the Committee, on this 8th of January, adjourned. Word was brought to them that the King, Propofal attended by certain members of the House of King Lords, proposed to come in person on Monday Committee. next to the Committee. It was probably a mere threat, thrown out in the hope that it might compel abandonment of the proposed public appearance of the accused members on that day. But, whether really or only colourably entertained, the Committee, with confummate calmness and good taste, intimated their readiness to give dutiful welcome to such a visit, Its recepby the degree of preparation they would make tion.

for it. "Thereupon they ordered the Captains Due respect to be " of the Trained Bands that attended them as a paid. "Guard should take especial care that his "Majesty and the English nobility have way Way to be made " made for them to come in; and Sir Ralph for King " Hopton and Mr. Charles Price, who were and Nobles. "the King's fervants, were defired to ftand by " the Officers of the Guard to see the same " performed, and to shew them such persons "as are of the English nobility." Of course

## § XXXVI. SUNDAY THE NINTH OF JANUARY.

nothing more was heard of a visit from the King.

SUNDAY, the 9th of January, saw groups of Visitors in City streets strange visitors in the London streets, churches, and and chapels. The City had become fuddenly chapels. and filently filled with other than the familiar faces of her Citizens. Men not known to each other but by the purpose that lighted up each countenance as they met, men who were complete strangers, says Lilly, grasped hands Strangers meeting as firmly, and passed on without uttering a word.

friends.

for Pym.

showed itself. Large numbers had poured Petitioners into London that morning with a petition, figned by feveral thousands for protection of Mr. Pym. They were chiefly of the citizen and merchant class, but in attendance upon them were thickly gathering crowds of apprentices and artizans. Four thousand squires and

A fettled and quiet determination everywhere

freeholders had ridden up yesterday from Petitioners Buckinghamshire to protect their beloved re-den. presentative: substantial farmers and sturdy yeomen, born and bred within the shadow of Hampden's beeches; gentlemen of landed estate, who had selected him to obtain redress for their wrongs: the fame, who, but a few weeks before the affembling of this parliament, had in great numbers preferred imprisonment to a timorous compliance with unjust levies of coat and conduct money in their feveral shires. They are here now to live or die with Mr. Hampden; to offer fervice to the Commons; respectfully to petition the King. And from many a pulpit issued forth, on this memorable Sunday, the folemn greeting of the great city to her welcome vifitors. "We did " hear feveral most favoury discourses out of Savoury "the hundred and twenty-fecond Pfalm." discourses. The noble old words bring back the fervour of the true faith, the belief in God and His word, the stern and indomitable resolution, which characterised this grand time. "Our 122nd " feet shall stand within thy gates, O Jerusalem! Pfalm. " Jerusalem is builded as a city that is compact " together: whither the tribes go up, the tribes

" of the Lord unto the testimony of Israel, to igive thanks unto the name of the Lord. . . . Text

<sup>&</sup>quot;Pray for the peace of Jerusalem: they shall preached from." prosper that love thee! Peace be within thy

<sup>&</sup>quot; walls, and prosperity within thy palaces!"

## § XXXVII. PREPARATIONS FOR THE TRIUMPH.

It was nearly ten on the following morning Monday 10th Jany: when the proceedings of the Committee were refumed. The Committee men had found it Last fitting no easy matter to get to their places; so in Gro-cers' Hall. thronged were the narrow ways of the Poultry, and fo difficult the approach to the magnificent old Hall which the wealthy Company of Grocers had placed at their disposal. For, this was the day when the accused members were publicly to resume their seats by the side of their colleagues, and dense crowds of the Crowds assembled. people had affembled to give them welcome as they passed in from Coleman Street. D'Ewes entered, Glyn had been explaining the conduct of the Roman Catholic Lord Herbert, in a matter which showed his loyalty to the House; and this elicited from all sides (the Puritan Sir Simonds himfelf chiming Speeches of Glyn heartily in with it) an expression of gratitude and Penand respect. Alderman Pennington then nington. rose to make a communication respecting the Tower; and what he had to relate confirmed the alarms of the week preceding, and established the fact of interferences with Suspected tamperings at the the guard and defence of that all-important Tower. fortress, in direct opposition to the orders of the two Houses. The hamleteers, who acted ordinarily as warders, had been discharged, and

were not fuffered to re-enter; while others had been introduced in their place. The body of canoneers, upwards of forty in number, whose residence was outside the walls, had been ordered to take up residence within; a company of carbineers had joined them; and, acting with Evidence these, there were now some forty or fifty retainers of the accused Bishops: all disaffected to the House. Several of the old hamleteers, being called in, deposed also to acts of the new Governor having a drift entirely opposed to the resolutions of Parliament. The carbineers had been introduced fecretly; within the past two days, confiderable numbers of "cavaliers" "Cavahad been permitted to pass in and out; unusual liers." quantities of ammunition were in store; and the flood was kept in the moat. A fub-com-sub-committee was appointed, therefore, to examine mittee appointed, further; and direction was issued for the attendand Byron ance of Sir John Byron.

Then rose Sir Henry Ludlow, the member for Wiltshire, father of the more famous Edmund (who upon Sir Henry's death in 1644 fucceeded him in the representation of his county), and submitted a vote to be passed by the Committee, and reported to the House, declaring it to have been a traitorous confpiracy in Sir William Killegrew and Sir Motion William Fleming to publish to the Four against Killegrew Inns of Court a scandalous paper against and Fleming Five Members of the Commons. But this

Moderation of Committee.

Violent language disliked.

refolution, fays D'Ewes, in a passage that exhibits characteristically the prevailing desire to avoid all intemperance of expression, had to be "referred to Mr. Glyn and some others to " put into form, because it was very long, and "[contained] too high expressions of some " cruel and bloody intentions in the faid Sir "William Killegrew and Sir William Fleming." Soon the fub-committee returned, and the fubjoined resolutions were put. The wish seems to have been that all the votes having direct personal reference to the outrage committed on the Five Members, should be taken before their appearance among the Committee; and that what was referved for fettlement on their arrival should be simply the order of procedure for the Return to Westminster next day.

Refolutions modified and paffed.

Against agents on the 3rd and 4th.

The Chairman rose, and read from the paper handed to him: That the publishing of several articles purporting to form a charge of High Treason against certain Gentlemen, members of this House, by Sir William Killegrew, Sir William Fleming, and others (in the Inns of Court and elsewhere, were afterwards inserted), was a high breach of the privilege of Parliament, a seditious act maliciously (so written in mistake for manifestly) tending to the subversion of the peace of the kingdom, and an injury and dishonour to the said members, there being no legal charge or accusation against them.

Further, the Chairman read: That the pri-Against vileges of Parliament, and liberties of the sub-fellors. ject, so broken, could not be fully vindicated unless the King would discover who advised him to the fealing up of chambers, studies, and trunks of faid members, the fending a ferjeant to the House to demand them, and coming in his own person to Parliament to apprehend them, to the end that fuch evil counsellors might receive exemplary punishment.—But as these words were read, several Against members suggested the necessity of allusion to tions the warrants under the King's hand; and the iffued. fact of the appearance of Serjeant Dandie and his company in the City, for the declared purpose of seizing the accused, together with the fimultaneous appearance of the Proclamation threatening penalties of the law against all who should be discovered entertaining, lodging, harbouring, or converfing with them, became the subject of excited conversation and dispute. In the end, the words "and to iffue feveral Against "warrants under his Majesty's own hand to warrants. "apprehend the faid members" were inferted King's hand. in the first resolution, and the vote was made to comprise this addition: And that it was lawful for all persons whatever to entertain, lodge, harbour, or converse with, those five gentlemen, and that whofoever should be

<sup>\*</sup> Interlineations of the votes as originally put, appear in Sir Ralph Verney's Notes, 141, 142.

questioned for the same was, and should be, under the protection and privilege of Parliament.

Speech by Maynard.

Before the votes finally passed, a somewhat remarkable speech was made by Maynard, who fat for Totness. This was the same able and unfcrupulous lawyer who, acting closely by the Hisfellow-fide of Glyn throughout this great business, as a stickler for the rights of Parliament and the people, confented afterwards, with Glyn, to do

ship with Glyn.

Remembered at the Restoration.

the dirty work of the Restoration; had the inexpressible baseness to join with him in conducting the profecution against Vane; and most justly drew down upon himself and his affociate, even during the orgies of the opening of Charles the Second's reign, contempt and hatred from the common people and citizens, who had not, through all that interval of nearly twenty years, forgotten these their old highflying efforts in behalf of popular rights against Court and King.\*

For the prefent, however, it is to be admitted, in justice to the member for Totness,

Mr.Pepys' political rogues.

\* "Bleffed be God," fays Pepys, devoutly, at the close of the long entry in his *Diary* (i. 179, 180, ed. 1854) of the 23rd April, 1661, in which he has been describing Charles the Second's Coronation, "I have not heard of any mischance "to anybody thro' it all, but only to Serjeant Glyn, whose " horse fell upon him yesterday, and is like to kill him, which "people do please themselves to see how just God is to punish the rogue at such a time as this: he being now one " of the King's Serjeants, and rode in the Cavalcade with "Maynard, to whom people wish the same fortune." And who will not remember Butler's immortal couplet?

Popular view of them.

> "Did not the learned Glyn and Maynard To make good fubjects traitors, strain hard?"

that he fpoke forcibly, and drove the particular questions home. After enlarging, in the Hispresent view of manner of the time, upon the nature of a parlia-Parliament, and its fovereignty in discovering ments: and curing all difeases in a Commonwealth; after avowing his confident belief that the long intermission of those assemblies had been the fole cause of all the evils and troubles that had happened to his Majesty's kingdoms; he said that the worthy gentleman below him, indicating the member for Colchester, had, on a previous day, expressed in very pregnant terms the one great privilege of Parliament to which every other subserved. This was, Not their to be questioned or accused, for or concerning privileges: any vote, argument, or dispute, during free fitting as the people's representatives, either in the continuance of a Parliament, or after the same might be dissolved or broken off, either legally or illegally. Applying which to the transactions of the 3rd and 4th, he would fay that no the greater breach could be committed than to attempted arrest: accuse of High Treason five members of that House during the continuance of its sittings, for and on account of matters debated on and done in the House, in their character of members thereof; and then, upon such accusation, to proceed to break open their chambers, and the trunks, and studies, and seize upon their books seizures. and writings.

For if, faid this skilful and popular speaker,

All public if to be questioned for free debating or argubusiness in ing in Parliament were no breach of privilege, peril. then could they not fafely intermeddle with or

agitate any business whatsoever, concerning either Church or State, but what should be appointed and nominated by his Majesty and his Privy Council. And further, if, for things done in the House, if, repeated Maynard, amid cries of "very well moved," for things ex-

preffly done therein, freely chosen members of

"Well moved."

that House might be accused of treason, then would it be dangerous longer to fit in Parliament upon any business of disorders in the State and grievances to the fubject, committed or done by great personages, such as Lords and Bishops; seeing that these might at any Lords and time, by their fubtle inventions, induce his Majesty to favour their actions, by merely pretending to uphold his honour, maintain his pre-

rogative, support his royal power, and the like.

Bishops. uncontrolled.

> And finally he had to fay that if upon any fuch accufation, the chambers, trunks, and studies of fuch accused members might be broken open, and their writings feized upon, then would it altogether discourage any man to undertake any fervice for the good of his country, who should so perceive that he might at pleasure be bereaved of such means and helps as alone enabled and rendered him fit for duties to the Commonwealth. He was for those reasons, therefore, favorable to the

Men of fpirit difabled.

votes then submitted, and to a declaration to be drawn up from the same for the information and encouragement of all loyal subjects.

The refolutions had fcarcely been voted, when a commotion outfide the Hall gave notice Agitation of fome fresh excitement, and it was announced outside. that a very numerous deputation of failors and mariners, mafters and officers of ships, bringing with them a petition figned on the fudden Petition of by more than a thousand hands, had come to failors. proffer their fervices, in D'Ewes's phrase, "to " be with us tomorrow, to defend the Parlia-"ment by water with muskets and other "amunition in feveral vessels; which was " accepted by us," and all needful orders made Services of in relation thereto.\* Permission was given, for mariners accepted. example, that all the vessels should be fitted with artillery, proviso being made that no command for firing, fave in the way of falute, should be given that day, unless "the King "and Parliament" should be first affailed. Order was also drawn up for the place of ren- To meet dezvous. To take advantage of the tide, and at 3 next morning: that the whole fleet might come through bridge together, they were "to meet at the Hermi- at the "tage at 3 next morning." All which being Hermitage.

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 309 b. Rushworth, in his brief D'Ewes allusion to these occurrences (Coll. III. i. 433), says that it more corwas on Saturday both the seamen and the apprentices attended rect than to proffer their service: but D'Ewes, who reports all the Rushdetails, is of course to be preferred as a witness, and he is worth. entirely supported by Sir Ralph Verney's brief record, Notes, 141-2.

The " waterrats."

fettled, away went the "water-rats," as the King bitterly called them, when, hearing this day of their proffer so to guard the Commons back to their home at Westminster, he felt himself weaker by one desertion more, and saw that his mariners and feamen had gone over to his enemies.

The Five Members approach.

But now came shouts from without far exceeding any that had yet been heard, and the Five Members were known to be approaching.

Enter and They entered amid what D'Ewes calls the take seats. "welcome of many," and took their places "in " among us." He remarks in what order they entered, Hollis and Hafelrig, Pym, Hampden, and Strode; and the imagination

fupplies all that his fimple expression includes, Greeting. of the heartfelt sympathy that greeted them, and of the determination of the Committee to make common cause with colleagues branded as traitors, whose only title to that vengeance of the Court had been the extent of their fervice to the House of Commons and the people. When they had taken their feats, it was found that cries and pressure still so increased from without that it was expedient to call in a certain number as spokesmen for the great mass of the common people and apprentices, who were faid to be thronging round the doors. They entered accordingly, and, fays D'Ewes, "in

"their own names and in the names of all the

"rest desired to guard the Parliament to-

Offers from the common people.

"morrow. Whereto Serjeant Wilde, by order from and in the name of the Committee, and former care and readiness to guard the horder. Thanked and former care and readiness to guard the horder. Parliament, wherein many of them had been wounded. For this the Committee hoped to see them have redress in due time; but desired them to keep at home to-morrow for the guard of the City, whilst their masters did guard up at the Parliament: and that whensoever we had occasion to use them,

"they should have notice from us. One of them answered for the rest that they would

" obey our command, and fo departed."

Still another group from those eager crowds offers without, however, had by this time forced its southway into the outer passages of the Hall, and a wark pause had to be made for its reception in the Bands. committee room. "Divers," says D'Ewes, "of the borough of Southwark then came "and offered the assistance of their Trained "Bands to us to-morrow, to come and be our "guard at Westminster. We told them that "we hoped the City of London would take

" care for our guard: but accepted their offer Accepted, with thanks and defired them to be in the and told

"with thanks, and defired them to be in the and told to be in fields about Lambeth and in Southwark in arms.

" their arms."\*

Sir John Clotworthy now rose, and per-

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 313 b. I may take this opportunity of faying that the entire proceedings of this Monday the 10th January are comprised within ff. 312 a, and 313 b.

of Sub-Committee.

Protection formed the great service of the day. He reported the heads of the various resolutions which the Sub-Committee named at the preceding fitting had fettled with the Committee of the Common Council of London appointed to confer with them, for provision of the

Arrangements for Tuefday's guard.

Irrevoca-

ble step.

Raising troops without commiffion.

Military Guard to accompany the Five Members on their return to Westminster on the morrow. This was the true pledge of welcome which the House and the City had been all these days preparing, and by which they became bound, in penalties of treason they would hardly themselves have questioned, never to recede from the conflict now provoked until a victory was won. Each article of the resolutions was put separately, and a vote taken upon it: not without resistance from some who were present (among them Hopton and Price, and Sir Edward Dering; what tone was taken either by Falkland or Culpeper is not ascertainable), but with a quiet and stern determination on the part of the great majority, as fully conscious of the responsibilities incurred. "It was really trea-"fon," exclaimed Philip Warwick, " "for them "to march without the King's commission." If it were in strictness so, then so let it be: they believed indeed otherwife, and that, even by royalist theories of the constitution, to secure the fafety of the Parliament and Kingdom was to provide for the fafety of the King: but to the course they were now taking, whatever it might involve, they had been driven in sheer Resolutions felf-defence by their assailant.

The first resolution\* was, that it had become First. necessary to have a sufficient guard provided for the fafety of the King, Kingdom, and Parliament. The fecond, that fuch guard Second. should be raised out of the City and the parts adjacent. The third, that eight companies Third. should be appointed for to-morrow's guard, to affemble at eight o'clock, under the command of Captain Skippon. The fourth, that Skippon Fourth. should receive rank as Serjeant Major General of the City Forces, until the City ordered it otherwise; and that all the officers and men who should be of the Guard serving under him, were to take the Protestation + before they marched. The fifth, that eight pieces of ord-Fifth. nance, with all accoutrements belonging thereto,

" and liberties of the fubjects."

<sup>\*</sup> These all important votes are now for the first time set down as they were passed. A copy of them is in Verney's Verney's Notes (142-3), but less correct than that of D'Ewes; and so mistakes. unfamiliar still was the name very famous afterwards, that "Skipworth" is written in every instance by Verney, instead of Skippon. D'Ewes gives the right name.

+ For the terms of the Protestation, see Rushworth, III. i.

<sup>241.</sup> And for the names subscribed to it of the members of the Commons (between 4 and 500) and the Lords (numbering with the judges and lawyers 106), Ibid. 244-8. The oath The Protaken included a solemn profession of determination to maintestain "the true Reformed Protestant Religion, expressed in the "Dostrine of the Church of England, against all popery "and popish innovation within this realm, and also the "power and privilege of parliaments, and the lawful rights

should accompany the Guard; and that all the Trained Bands were to be at their colours, under Skippon's command. The fixth, that Serjeant Sixth. Major General Skippon should not fail to perform what was ordered that day; and that, until fuch fervices were ended, he was not to ftir upon any command or countermand whatever, without confent and direction from parliament. The feventh, that Skippon Seventh. and his force were declared to have power, fhould violence be offered, to offend and defend. The eighth, that all Captains were Eighth. to receive order to beat drum, de die in diem, from Skippon himself; and that all soldiers should repair to their colours in arms. ninth, that all citizens who might be disposed Ninth. to mount themselves should likewise be commanded by Skippon, and that fuch would be held as a most acceptable service. The tenth, Tenth. that all ammunition necessary should be provided out of the Chamber of London. The Eleventh, eleventh, that the Common Council Committee were to be confidered free from all commands and arrests, and that they should not, until further leave obtained from the House of Twelfth. Commons, stir out of the City. The twelfth, and last Resolution, declared that all this service in general, as well as in every particular, should be held good and acceptable fervice, and legal; and that it should be accounted to be for the fafety of the King, Kingdom, and Parliament.

These votes having been taken separately, Hampden was the first to break the silence Hampden which the Five Members had observed since speaks. they refumed their feats. He thanked the Committee for his friends and himfelf, craving their good counsel as to a matter it behoved him to lay before them. "Divers thousands will you "were coming out of Buckinghamshire with receive my constitu-"a petition. The petition was to declare ents? "their readiness to live and die with the Par-" liament, and in defence of the rights of the " House of Commons. He had to state that "they came in a peaceable manner, and that " he thought it his duty to acquaint the Com- 4000 from " mittee therewith." Upon this, however, the Bucks. Royalist members present appear to have offered a resistance hardier than any by which the Resolutions were met. Very many, D'Ewes informs us, spoke to what Mr. Hampden had faid; and feveral would have had the men coming out of Buckinghamshire sent unto to Better go have returned thither. But this of course was back?

<sup>\*</sup> The numbers of Hampden's petitioners are very variously What stated. "As soon," says Clarendon, speaking of the day number following the present, "as the citizens and mariners were from discharged, some Buckinghamshire men, who were said to Bucks?" be at door with a petition, and had indeed waited upon "the triumph with a train of four thousand men, were called "in: who delivered their petition in the name of the inhabi-Hyde." tants of the County of Buckingham, and said it was Dering. "brought to the town by about six thousand men." ii. 166. Dering, in the same letter to his wise in which he states the number at five thousand, puts in a parenthesis his belief that Rushthey were not more than two thousand. Rushworth (111. i. worth and 486) reckons them at four thousand; D'Ewes, at five or six D'Ewes.

No: we will hear them.

War beginning.

over-ruled. "The greater fense of the Com-"mittee," fays D'Ewes, "being to let them "alone, because we did not know fully the "intent of their coming." It was afterwards faid by Clarendon that only Mr. Hampden fully knew that; that the levying of war in England dated from the day when those thousands out of Buckinghamshire were invited to tender their petition; and that whatfoever afterwards was done, was but the superstructure upon the foundations which that day were laid.\* The remark is at least rendered more intelligible by the picture D'Ewes has given us of Hampden on the eventful day. In the very moment of the passing of resolutions claiming rights of the executive for the Commons' House alone, to rise and direct attention to "thousands" of his constituents who had ridden up from their county to show readiness, if need were, to die for that House, displayed

Hampden's attitude and

bearing.

Last acts of Committee.

Only two more acts of the Committee are recorded by D'Ewes. The first was a report made from the Irish Committee by Sir Robert Harley, to the effect that the Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland would, at their fuggestion, disable

at least the collected and determined spirit of

the member for Buckinghamshire.+

<sup>\*</sup> Hist. ii. 170. † Whitelock, in mentioning the arrival of these troops of Buckinghamshire yeomen (1-156), says that they brought up a petition on behalf of their knight of the shire, "whereof "probably he was not altogether ignorant beforehand."

from his command Captain Hide,\* notorious Captain for his infolent demeanour on the day of the Hide difattempted arrest. The second was their answer to a message from the Lieutenant of the Tower. "A meffage," fays D'Ewes, "came " from Sir John Byron, declaring that he " heard there were fome complaints here Refusal to " against him: and that he defired to know receive Sir John "them, that so he might make answer to Byron's messenger.
"them. We refused to give his messenger any " answer, because he took notice of what had " been acted here, and did not apply himself "to answer by petition." † With which cha-

Why should he have been? The same imputation is repeated Hampwith addition, in a Royalist Satire (speech against Peace at den's share in Bucks the Close Committee). petition.

Did I for this my county bring To help their knight against their king, And raise the first sedition? Though I the business did decline, Yet I contrived the whole defign, And fent them their Petition.

A passage from the Petition will be quoted shortly, and it certainly bears throughout the Hampden mark very visibly stamped upon it. But the charge implied is, that though he False appeared to "decline" the services of his friends, he had charge really in secret "contrived" them. It is the old accusation: and I name it here that the reader may see, by Hampden's open and frank avowal before the Committee itself, how groundless it is.

\* See Ante 185. Harl. MSS. 162, f. 313 b. D'Ewes's Captain exact expression is: "that the Lord Lieutenant would put Hide. "out Capt. Hide as we had defired, and that he would fend

"fuch lifts of the officers as we had defired."

+ Harl. MSS. 162, f. 313 b. The refult finally was, that New lieu-Sir John Byron was displaced, and Sir John Coniers, the same tenant of who was selected by Strafford for the defence of Berwick, and the Tower. whom Clarendon (in a passage of his History, ii. 172, suppressed by his fons) admits the King had no other exception to than

3 p.m.
10th January.
Close of
Committee.

racteristic affertion of having maintained unimpaired the full plenitude of power with which the House had invested them, this samous Commitee brought its sittings to a close. D'Ewes shut up his note book and quitted the Hall a little after 3 o'clock.

## § XXXVIII. FLIGHT OF THE KING.

3 p.m.
10th January. Proposed
flight of
King.

AT almost the same hour when the member for Sudbury was leaving the Committee room in the afternoon of Monday the 10th of January, Charles the First had formed the determination to quit Whitehall.

As the incidents of that last sitting of the Committee were communicated to him, by messengers who passed to and fro between the City and the Palace, in vain he had attempted to suppress his agitation. To an obstinate incredulity had succeeded a dismay and bewilderment the most extreme, and long did his partisans remember the forrowful humiliations of this day. It was, says Clarendon, the trouble and agony which usually attend gene-

Acts of Committee told to Charles.

Confessed usurpations. that he was recommended by them, was named Lieutenant in his stead. The House did not affect to disguise from themselves the real drift and tendency of these interferences with the executive. Clarendon characterises their orders as to the Tower as "an act of sovereignty even of as high a nature "as any they have since ventured upon." ii. 173. And substantially they did not themselves deny this: but, according to D'Ewes, it was rendered absolutely necessary "in regard of the great jealousses and distractions of London, the citizens "everywhere shutting up their shops and giving over trade" in consequence of the insecurity of the Tower.

Why neceffary. rous and magnanimous minds upon their having committed errors. It was, fays a lefs His troupartial critic, the despicable repentance which ble and dismay. attends the man, who, having attempted to commit a crime, finds that he has only committed a folly.

His refolve at last was taken suddenly. He Takes might have listened, comparatively unmoved, fudden refolve. to the intelligence that the streets of his city were crowded with freeholders and yeomen of Bucks, who had ridden up by "thousands" to Crowds defend their representative Mr. Hampden. for Hampden. He might have heard in sullen silence, if not indifference, that such a gathering of the common people as had not been witnessed since the day of Strafford's execution, were about to surround Whitehall with a petition to defend For Pym. Mr. Pym.\* It would have mattered little to

<sup>\*</sup> As the copies of this petition, afterwards presented to the King at Windsor, are extremely rare (it is not among the Popular King's Pamphlets, and I have indeed never seen but the Petition. single copy in my own possession which was obtained for me by the late Mr. Rodd), a few lines may be here taken from it. It deals with each article of treason separately; and thus comments upon that which charged the endeavour to subvert the fundamental laws: "This seems contrary, in regard that Pym's sup-"hee hath laboured rather to ratise and confirm the fundaport of mental lawes; in his diurnal speeches ever specifying his law. "reall intent, as the institution and not the diminution or subversion of law." As to the alleged traitorous endeavour to subvert the rights and very being of parliaments, this is the remarkable and emphatic comment: "To this we may answer with great facility, Hee was the chiefe cause that Author of this parliament was assembled, and it seems very incongruous the Long that he should subvert the same. Moreover he is the sole Parliament, and it seems a stupendous thing that he should assail "the same." While on this subject I am tempted to add,

defections.

Alarming him that contemptuous cries and hooting from the populace were audible at the very gates of his palace. But when it was told him that fections of every class of his subjects had offered allegiance and fervice to the men whom he had publicly branded as traitors; that his

Attacks on Pym.

before the D'Ewes Journal is finally closed, some evidence of the abuse, not less than the praise, of which the great leader had so truly portentous a share as well now as to the end of the struggle. While, from this period to the outbreak of the war, his vast influence within the House renders poor D'Ewes himself, as his diffatisfaction with public affairs increases, daily more and more peevish and unhappy, in the Journal we also find almost daily evidence of assaults to which he was subjected out of doors. Now (to take a few instances from amid the events we have been describing) it is the "Examination of Jno. Sampson a mean fellow who faid the "kingdom would never be in quiet till Mr. Pym & fuch "others as he was were hanged. His excuse, that he was "in drink. Sent to House of Correction. Sir A. Brown " showed that Mr. Nelson, a scandalous Minister in Surrey, "had faid Mr. Pym was neither a gentleman nor a "fcholar." Harl. MSS. 163, 377 b, 385 a. On another day it is an "Information given against two men who "had faid the King was no King because he did not "take up arms against the Scots, & that Pym was King "Pym, and that that rogue would fet all the kingdom together " by the ears."-Ib. 163, ff. 322 a, 331 a. On a third day it is a "Report from the Committee of information of one "Thomas Shawberie, a graduate of Emanuel College about to " proceed a Doctor of Physic this commencement, who had " yester night at the Cross Keys in Gratious Street called Mr. "Pym, a Member of this House, 'King Pym' & 'Rascal' " & that he would cut him in pieces if he had him."-Ib. 163, f. 424 a. Let me add, that out of numberless similar testimonies to Pym's unexampled influence in the State, and to the royalist hatred it inspired in a measure almost equal to the popular idolatry, one of the most remarkable will be found in a long poem in Mr. Wright's Political Ballads of the Commonwealth (pp. 30-38, Percy Society), which bears for its " Penitent title, " The Penitent Traytor; or the Humble Confession of "a Devonshire gentleman who was Condemned for High "Treason, and Executed at Tyborne for the same, in the raigne

" of King Henry the Third, the nineteenth of July 1267." Pym was of Somersetshire, but he sat for Tavistock in Devon.

" Not a gentleman or scholar."

" Rogue and Rafcal."

Traitor."

mariners and feamen, "the water rats," had "Waterdeferted him; that the Trained Bands of rats." London and Southwark were in arms against Trained him; that, for the men whom he would have Bands. fent to a public scaffold, such a public triumph was preparing as only waits upon Conquerors Triumph and Deliverers; and that, finally, to protect for "Traitors." and consolidate their triumph, and in his despite to "guard the Parliament, the King-"dom, and the King," a military force had been created, and military rank bestowed-he A sudden appears to have yielded all at once to what fense of danger. is known to have been the counsel of the Queen, and to have given fudden directions for the flight.

"The iffue is," wrote Sir Edward to Lady SirEdward Dering,\* "that the King went suddenly out Dering to his wife.

" of town with the Queen and Prince, angered

" and feared with the preparation of armes to " attend us the next day. Nor can I wonder

" at his purpose therein; but approve it. ...

"The Commons go high: and not only the Commons

"House, but a Committee of the House, soing high.

" have armed and imbanded the King's subjects,

" not only without his leave asked, but have

"made a Serjeant Major General to the

"King's terror. For thereupon he went out of King's towne, and not till then.... Jealousies are "terror."

"high, and my heart pitys a King so fleeting Pity for and so friendless, yett without one noted the King."

<sup>\*</sup> MS. Letter (13th Jan. 1641-2) already quoted: ante 48.

Noted vices less than fecret.

quitting London.

Hope of **fupport** 

It is not the "noted" vices which "vice."

dangerous are most dangerous in kings.

There was doubtless much, in the "noted" reasons for this flight of a king from the capital of his kingdom, to awaken fympathy from such minds as Dering's: but more fecret reasons and purposes betrayed themselves too soon, to permit the most ardent of the gentlemen who remained loyal to the fovereign to deceive Reason for themselves as to the temper in which London had been abandoned. It was not the fear of being deferted by friends, but the mortification of being disabled from striking further at enemies. For Charles the First, the hope of so striking effectively existed now only in the proelsewhere. vinces of his kingdom. Away from London, he might pursue his fecret levies; and, while the actual outbreak of war was delayed, his abfence could not but diforganife the operations of Parliament. The Queen had now refolved, moreover, if she could but screw her husband's

A project of the Queen.

Vigilance of Commons.

first stage. The watchful vigilance of the Commons compelled the detention of the princes; but, in little more than three weeks from this

day, she had succeeded in that most material part of her defign which fecured freedom of action and fafety to herfelf, until the war should

courage to the sticking place, to carry herself

and her children for the present out of England, taking with her the Jewels of the Crown: and to leave London was to accomplish the really begin, and to her husband the means Secret serose waging it when once his troops were in vice by Penningthe field. "By yours of this week," wrote ton. Sidney Bere to Admiral Pennington, "I "perceive you are ready to sett saile upon "fome service, wherein I pray God to blesse "you wth good successe." That was on the 13th of January; and the service for which the Admiral so held himself thus early in readiness, was undoubtedly that which on the 23rd of February he performed, of conveying Conveys to the coast of Holland the Queen and her Queen to daughter, and the Crown jewels of England. In little more than two months she had raised two millions sterling.

The fame letter of the under-fecretary tells Under Secretary us further what it well imports us to know to the Adof the circumstances of the King's departure.

After mentioning the triumph of the Comary.

Mons in their return to Westminster, he continues: "The King and Queene toke the day Reports King's before a resolution to leave this towne, slight, who was also foe suddaine that they could not have that acomodation besitted their Maties. They went to Hampton Court that night, next day to Windsor, whence its considered they will also departe as this day, but whither is uncertaine. The Prince and Pr. Elector is with them, but few Lords.

"Effex and Holland being here, who offered Effex and up both their places before his going, but Holland.

"His Majtie would not accept y' furrender.\* Secretary Nicholas. " Mr. Secretary Nicholas is likewise gone, and

Refusals to accompany the King.

\* Essex, it will be remembered, was Lord Chamberlain of the Household, and Holland Groom of the Stole. The fact mentioned by Bere confirms a portion of the statement of Clarendon (Hift. ii. 163) that these officers of the King's House had been asked, and had refused, to quit London with him. It was not, however, until the 15th they applied to the Lords, and received order that "to attend the high affairs of " the realme as required by their writs was truer fervice to His " Majesty than any they could do him at Hampton Court." Clarendon fays it was Holland who perfuaded Effex not to go: but I can find no evidence in support of what he adds, that, after leaving the King to his small retinue in a most disconsolate perplexed condition, and in more need of comfort and counsel than they had ever known him, "instead " of attending their master in that exigent, they went together " into the City where the Committee sat, and where they were " not the less welcome for being known to have been invited " to have waited upon their Majeffies." Holland was capable of the act, but of Essex it is not to be believed. I may add, as the point assumed afterwards some importance, that one of the most curious of many fimilar entries in D'Ewes's Journal of this date is one which marks the period of the final and complete desertion of the King by Holland and Warwick, when, caring no longer to resort to the excuse for non-attendance out of town, which their parliamentary obligations fairly supplied them with, they ceased to keep even a fair face to the King. On the day when the House voted judgment against the Attorney-General Herbert for having preferred the articles of impeachment, D'Ewes himself handed in a flip of paper purporting to contain the declaration of Walter Lumley, clothier of Lavenham, Suffolk; fubscribed feemingly in Lumley's own hand. He stated that he was fitting in the house of Mr. Ferdinando Poulton, with two others; and that, they converfing together, the faid Poulton faid there were fome verses made about the Parliament, namely-

Waiting on Committee.

Final defertions.

A libel upon

> "One cuckold, two bastards, and a pack of knaves, Strive now to make subjects Princes, and Princes flaves."

Effex, Holland, and Pym.

Who are these three, asked Lumley, the declarant, for he protested he knew not of what was meant. To which Poulton Warwick, faid all the world knew Essex to be a cuckold, and Warwick and Holland to be bastards, and that they would make Pym prince. Having duly informed the House of these facts, and put it in possession of the document establishing " hath lefte mee here to attend fuch fervices Small " as shall occurre, we, if the Kinge shall per-work left for Under-" fift in his resolution to retire, \* will not be Secretary. "much. Howsoever I will expect the issue, "and if I bee not fent for, thinke myselfe "not unhappy in my stay to be freed of an " expencefull and troublesome journey. My "Lady Nicholas is much afflitted, and I believe, Grief of a Secretary as well as hee, would for a good round summe of State's "hee had never had the seales. My Lord wife. "Keeper refusing to put the greate seale to the "King's proclamation agt the persons accused, Lord " did alsoe make tender of his charge, but how- Keeper offers to " foever remaines still wth it. And thus, Sir, refign.

" you fee to what heighth of distempers thinges " are come." † In this fad condition, exclaims

the fame, D'Ewes goes on to remark that he took an oppor- D'Ewes tunity of telling the Earl of Holland what he had done: and Lord "who very well approved the fame with very fair expressions Holland. "to me for it."—Harl. MSS. 163, f. 462 b. I need hardly add that Lord Essex is by no means to be put in the same category with fuch men as Lord Holland. Effex had been confistent throughout, and never concealed his popular views and wishes.

\* This expression (by which the Under Secretary means persisting in the determination to retire from Windsor and Hampton Court as well as Whitehall) shows that the real design of the King, not simply to escape the sight or neigh- King's bourhood of the Triumph of the Five Members on the 11th, flight not but actually and wholly to quit London and its vicinity until temporary. he could return its mafter, had been discussed at Court, and was already known in the Secretary's offices. The certain effect of fuch entire withdrawal, it is also obvious from the remark of Bere, was well understood as an abdication of the functions of the fovereign. It will leave us little to do here, fays the Under Secretary to his friend the Admiral,

† MS. State Paper Office. Bere to Pennington: 13 Jany. Union in 1641-2. In the same letter the Under Secretary adds: "In Houses. "the mean time they are united in the Houses, and the

Royal re- Clarendon, was the King fallen in ten days,\* verfes. from a height and greatness that his enemies

"accorde between the Upper House and Commons grows dayly more easy.... I send you herewth divers printed bookes of severall stiles, all went I leave for yor entertaynmt Literary "att spare howers. Sir John Byron, Lieut of the Tower, entertain-"it's thought will yett be displaced: the Parliamt not being ment. " fatisfied wth his carriage, and having, as I am told, voted " him a delinquent . . The Parliamt, it seemes, having [have] "taken into confideration the small Gard is att present att sea,

Defolate

court at

fafe.

" and soe have voted 30 saile to be sett out forthwth. This is Letters not " all I shall trouble you wth att present, in a time soe distracted, "and wherein is foe little affurance into what handes letters "may fall. Yours I humbly kisse and rest, &c. &c."

\* Hist. ii. 182. On that "tenth" day the King had gone to Windsor, and D'Ewes's journal gives us a glimpse of the interior of the palace, from the reported speech of a member of the House who had accompanied a deputation with a message, which seems to bear out what is said by Clarendon. "They found," faid Sir John Holland, "a defolate Court, "and faw not any noblemen, and scarce thirty gentlemen."

(Harl. MSS. 162, f. 359 b.) A few days later, when the absence of Endymion Porter from his seat (he represented Windfor. Droitwich) was matter of remark, the same Sir John Holland, D'Ewes tells us (Ib. 162, f. 386 b.) "showed that when he was "at Windsor with his message, the said Mr. Porter informed "him that he was at that time the only man attending upon "his Majesty in his Bed-chamber to dress and undress him: "which was the chief cause that he could not attend the

" fervice of the House: and desired him to move the House "in his behalf if anything should be said against him." To which I am fortunately able to add, out of the rich unpub-Endymion lished stores of the State Paper Office, a letter from Endymion Porter to Porter himself to his "deare wyfe Olive Porter," dated from his wife: Windsor on the 14th January, that very "tenth" day from 14th Janu- the arrest to which Clarendon refers. It presents a picture of the straits of a married courtier during inauspicious times, ary. which is pleasing as well as highly characteristic; and very curious is the view that is given us at its close, of the jealous

care with which the King and Queen were now guarding their children.

"MY DEAREST LOVE,—As for monnies I wonder you " can imagin that I should helpe you, but you allwayes looke "for impossibilities from mee, and I wish it were a tyme of " mirrackles, for then wee might hope for a Good Success in " everie thing. Whither wee goe, and what wee are to dooe, "I knowe not, for I am none of the Councell: My dutie &

Very old story.

feared, to fuch a lowness that his own servants Gloomy durst hardly avow the waiting on him!

To the gloomy picture another touch is added by a letter of Captain Slingsby \* to his

```
"loyaltie have tought mee to followe my King and Master,
"and by the Grace of God nothing shall divert mee from Troubles
"it: I could wish you and your Children in a safe place, but of a "why Woodhall should not bee soe I cannot yet tell. I could courtier.
" likewife wish my cabinetts and all my other thinges were at
"Mr. Courteenes-but if a verrie discreete man bee not there,
"and take the advise of the joyner to convaye them thither,
"theye will bee as much spoilde in the carridge as wth the Fear of
"rabble. Dearest love, to ferue God well is the waye in "rabble." eueriething that will leade us to a happie end, for then
" hee will blefs, and deliver us owt of all troubles: I praye
"you have a care of your felfe, and make much of your
"children, and I prefume wee shall bee merrie and enioye
"one another long. I writt to you and fent the letters by
"Nick on tuesdaye, but that rogue is drunke, and I heare
"not of him. If you remember my fervice to Mrs Eures, and tell her that I am her faithefull Servant, I will give you King and
"leaue to kiss Mrs Marie for mee: I wish fweete Tom wh mee, Queen for the King and Queene are forced to lie wh theire children lying with
"nowe and I enuie their happines. I praye you lett this their chil-
berer cum to me againe, when you heare where wee rest: dren.
" and foe Godnighte, fweete Noll.
```

"Yr true frend and most loving husband, "ENDYMION PORTER.

"Windsor this 14th of Januarie 1641."

I may add a further very notable illustration, from an unpublished letter of Dering's, of the difficulties and hardships now incident to the courtier's trade. "The times," he writes Desperate to his wife, "are desperate, and £100 in hand may quickly times. "be worth £100 per annum. Will. Gibbes wrote yester-"night for my advice. He would faigne attend the King

"with his person, as other Cavaliers do: but his purse is "empty, and the King soe poore that he cannot feed them King's "that follow him. I was told that the prince one night poverty. "wanted wine, and another candles." By the Prince must

be intended the Prince Elector.

\* As this is probably the last time I shall have to refer to Captain Slingsby, I may mention that on the Restoration he Slingsby was made a Baronet and Comptroller of the Navy; that he is and Pepys. frequently referred to in Pepys's Diary; and that, in recording his death at the close of October 1661, Pepys speaks of him as "a man that loved me, and had many qualitys that made me

Slingfby to Pennington: ary.

Admiral one day later, on the 14th of January, which reveals fomewhat more of the alarm and 14th Janu-danger of the time. He describes what had happened fince the famous day at Guildhall; and how that he, and all who accompanied the King on the 4th, were now fet apart and Unexpect- "efteemed criminals," while the gentlemen ac-

ed change of position. cused of treason passed with greater honour and applause than ever, having been brought back magnificently guarded to their feats at Westminster. "The King the day before," he continues (I omit his allusion to the Buckinghamshire horsemen who had ridden up to town to offer their fervice to the Parliament), "wth "the Queene and all their children, went "away discontentedly, attended not with " many lords or old courtiers, but with the " officers of the late army in good numbers.

Officers following the King.

"Windfor: this day removed from thence, "whither I knowe not: but some say to "Portfmouth, others to Woodstocke, and "from thence to Yorke. There was yester-"day a great feare in the Cittie by reason it

"He went first to Hampton Court, then to

Lunfford at Kingfton.

" was reported that Coll. Lunfford had made " proclamation in Kingstone for all of the

"Kinge's party to come to him. If any fuch

Carterett.

" to love him, above all the officers and commissioners in the "Navy." Diary (ed. 1854) i. 229. Captain Carterett, though an older man, survived Slingsby eighteen years. He did important Royalist service during the Civil War, and obtained high rank as well as several lucrative employments at the Reftoration.

"thinges were, I believe it was but fome "Drunken "drunken flourish of some of those souldiers flourish."

"that followed the King: yett the House

" hath fent order to the Sheriffs to apprehend

"them, and have, as I heare, fent likewise to

" Portsmouth to forbid the admittance of any

" fuch into the towne, as may breed tumult " there."\*

Capt. Slingsby makes light of the Lunsford Suspicious proclamation as a "drunken flourish," but he affociayet connects it with the foldiers who were following the court,† and we have feen with what defigns at this time, at least not unknown to the King, Clarendon couples Lunfford's and Digby's names. ‡ Except for Charles the First's Digby and express disapproval on the scheme being sub-Lunfford. mitted to him, he tells us that the accused members would either have been feized and taken

\*MS. State Paper Office. Slingsby to Pennington: 14 Jany. 1641-2. The close of the letter is very characteristic. "All Agree-"thinges go now currantly on in the Parlament with out any ment in "apparent opposition: the malignant partie having all left Houses. the towne: only the Tower doth yett breede some jealousies. "The Left' refuseing to come to the house, being sent for: "and refusing to take the Protestation weh was sent to him. One ex-"Some Victuals going to the Tower were stopped, and this ception. day I heare it is absolutely blockt up: the seamen have " offerd their service to batter it. A day or two since it was " foe dangerous faying anything, yt a man could not be affured of his life in speaking anything. Factions were so "hott. But now the Language of the Par: is only currant. Factions "I pray God fend us better unitie, but I can hardly expect fubfiding. it: though I thinke there are twice as many plotts dif"covered and printed than are really contrived."

+ Clarendon also states (ii. 163) that besides his own gentlemen, "thirty or forty" of the officers of the Whitehall Guard also attended him.

<sup>1</sup> Ante, 205, 288, 322.

Rejected plan against Five Members. to prison, or left dead in Coleman Street; and it is certain that the King's rejection of either this, or some other plan, which he had been disposed to entertain on the first failure of the arrest, was made matter of warning to him in later years. "You see," wrote the Queen, urging him afterwards to as rash an enterprise, "what has happened from not having sollowed

Queen's reproach to King for its rejection. "what has happened from not having followed your first resolutions when you declared the Five Members traitors. Let that serve you for an example, and dally no longer with consultations."\*

Charles I. quits London.

Under such advice is the ill-fated King abandoning the metropolis of his Kingdom. He considently believed that he should soon return to it as its master, but he never again saw Whitehall until he was led through it to the scaffold. Before 4 in the afternoon he stepped into his coach with the Queen and their children, called to the window the Captain of the Trained Bands who had been in attendance at the palace during the last two eventful months, thanked him for what he had done, and drove off to Hampton Court.†

Never to return as King.

\* Harl. MSS. 7379. Quoted in the Fairfax Corre-

spondence, ii. 335.

Guizot's History. † Let me refer the reader who is not acquainted with the book to M. Guizot's lately revised and enlarged edition of his Histoire de la Révolution d'Angleterre. I know of no narrative of the incidents of Charles the First's reign, within the same compass, at all comparable to it for fulness, accuracy, and picturesqueness. The account of the incidents under notice is a delightful specimen of narration, close and spirited; the observations are always thoughtful, considerate, and tem-

And now, to adopt the expression of Cla-The Five rendon, it only remained to place the Five placed on their thrones." "thrones."

## § XXXIX. RETURN OF THE FIVE MEMBERS.

Tuesday the eleventh of January, 1641-2, Tuesday, was a clear bright winter day, and never had 11th January. the great river, or either of its shores, prefented fuch a scene as had there been visible fince day break, from London Bridge to West-March of minster stairs. By land, the City Trained City by Bands on the one shore, and on the other the Trained Bands of Southwark, lined the road up to the very avenues of the Commons' House; and by water, guarding that filent Guard by highway through which the members were to water. pass, appeared on either side, connecting both the bridges in two compact and glittering lines, a fleet of vessels and long boats, armed with ordnance, and "dressed up with waist-" clothes and streamers as ready for fight."\* On all sides the aspect of a festival; eager Great animation, movement, light, and colour: but festival. no mere holiday gaiety. Blending with whatever could give brilliancy to the scene, were signs everywhere of the folemn and earnest work in No mere hand. The men who ferved the ordnance on holiday. board the vessels stood with their matches

perately just; and the style throughout is charming. This enlarged edition has been fairly translated by Mr. Scoble (Ed. Bentley: 2 vol.8 8vo. 1854).

\* Clarendon, Hist. ii. 164.

Soldiers' pikes and muskets:

lighted; and, fixed upon the pikes of the foldiers, attached to their muskets, flapping round their enfigns and colours, looped in their hats, or fastened on their breasts, were printed copies of the folemn Protestation, which bound all who took it to the rendering up life itself on behalf of the liberties of Parliament and the maintenance of the Protestant religion.\* Manned by officers and feamen of

the navy who had volunteered this fervice, one of the largest and richest of the City Companies' Barges had been provided and fitted for the

embarked "from the Three Cranes," † and fo

carrying printed votes of Houses.

Embarka- Five Members; and in this, at midday, they tion at " Three Cranes."

Underaccount.

returned to the feats from which their fovereign had vainly hoped to banish them for ever. "They returned," wrote the Under-Secretary's Secretary to Pennington, "with fuch multitudes as had "far more of Triumph than "Guard; and the feamen made fleetes of boates " all armed with musquetts and murdering "pieces, weh gave vollees all the way they

What Clarendon faw.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;There was one circumstance," fays Clarendon, "not to be forgotten in the march of the City that day, when "the show by water was little inferior to the other by land, "that the pikemen had fastened to the tops of their pikes, and "the rest in their hats, or their bosoms, printed papers of the Protestation which had been taken and enjoined by the " House of Commons, the year before, for the defence of the " privilege of Parliament; and many of them had the Printed
"Votes of the King's breaking their privileges in his coming "to the House and demanding their members." ii. 166. D'Ewes will be found to notice this also, post, 364. + Rushworth, III. i. 484.

"went."\* Arrived at Westminster, the en-Welcome thusiastic applauses of the people who had at Westminster. crowded to give them welcome, outrang even the clattering discharges of ordnance which saluted them as they landed. They passed up the stairs, and into the lobby of the House.

The Speaker and the members stood up Entrance as the Five entered and took their accustomed into House. places. The inftant after, all the Five arose, and while Hampden, Hollis, Haselrig, and Strode stood silent and uncovered, Pym ten- Pym dered in the most earnest language their hearty thanks the thanks to the citizens of London. He said that he could not but refer to the unexampled scene they had that day witnessed. Such had been the kindness, the affection, they had found in the City, that if the mode of expressing it, on this extraordinary occasion, had been somewhat unufual, the honour of the House was Striking expressions nevertheless engaged to protect and defend the used. citizens against all possible consequences thereof. The words (reported by Clarendon) + are extremely striking; and most fignificant was the appeal they involved from one fupreme power

<sup>\*</sup> MS. State Paper Office. Sidney Bere to Pennington, 13th Bere to January, 1641-2. The title begins: "The last weeke I Penning- told you but the beginning of those bad ensuing newes wee ton: "must now dayly expect, unlesse to please God to give a strange, 13th Janu- if not miraculous change, whereby to settle the distraction of ary. "affaires. The Committee sitting all last weeke in ye City,

<sup>&</sup>quot;returned againe to Parliament on Tuesday, and the persons accused with them, for whom both citty and country have shown soe much affection!"

<sup>+</sup> Hist. ii. 165.

Impression in the State, to another which was to assume made on Royalist from that day a more than equal sovereignty.

Some idea of the impression made upon even

a member of the House who sympathised with the King, appears in what Sir Edward Dering now wrote to his wife. "If I could be Pym

Would now wrote to his wife. "If I could be Pym King "with honesty, I had rather be Pym than King Charles or "Charles."\*

King Charles.

In the fame letter, written the next day but one after the great festival, the member for Kent, after telling his wife that "heere have been five

Letter of Sir Edward Dering.

Pym?

"thousand petitioners out of Buckingham"fhire to offer their lives to execute our com"mands," proceeds to tell her further, that by
the help of God she was not to fear for his
personal safety, for that many thousands had
guarded them on the Tuesday, and that each
day now the House itself was provided with a
sufficient Guard "against no enemy." But some
members of the House had been in danger,
and how could any single member in suture be
reckoned safe? In vain did even this loyal
knight of the shire for Kent, notorious for his
resistance to the Remonstrance, assure and re-

against no enemy.

Guard

Members thought still in danger. "Mr. Bullock came and offered," he writes, with his friends, to be my perfonal Guard. I refused itt, but could not persuade him from my

assure his friends down in his native county.

" side, from morning to night, unless in the very House." The incident better explains

<sup>\*</sup> MS. Letter before referred to, 48, and 358.

what the feeling was, which had brought thou-Why fands out of Buckinghamshire to the side of Buckinghamshire.

Mr. Hampden.

When Pym had ceased speaking, and when Thanks there had been called in, successively, the by Mr. Speaker. Sheriffs of London, the Masters and Officers of ships, and Serjeant Major-General Skippon, to receive thanks from Mr. Speaker, Hampden's colleague in the representation of Buckinghamshire (Mr. A. Goodwin) arose, and begged of speech by the House that such of the gentry of that county as had been appointed to bear their petition \* might be called in to deliver it.

\* The opening sentences of this petition, which, if not written Bucks by Hampden, may be safely taken as the exact expression of his petition to views, are characteristic and worth quoting: "That whereas, House. " many years past, we have been under very great pressures, for "which are clearly set forth in the late Remonstrance of the "House of Commons; the Redress whereof hath for a long "time been by you endeavoured with unwearied pains, tho "not with answerable success; having still your endeavours " frustrated or retarded, and we deprived of the fruit thereof, " by a malignant faction of Popish Lords, Bishops, & others; Viewsheld "and now, of late, to take from us all that little hope which by Hamp-"was left of a future Reformation, the very Being of the den. "Parliament shaken; and, by the mischievous practices of "most wicked counsellors, the privileges thereof broken in " an unexampled manner, and the members thereof unaffured " of their lives, in whose safety the safety of us and our "Posterity is involved: We hold it our duty, according to our late protestation, to defend and maintain the same " Persons and Privileges, to the uttermost expense of our lives "and estates." The last sentence is also remarkable. After stating such measures against evil counsellors as they believe to be called for, they close thus: "Without all which, your " Petitioners have not the least hope of the kingdom's peace, "or to reap those glorious advantages, which the fourteen Petition to months Seed-time of your unparallelled endeavours have King. "given to their unsatisfied expectations." A similar peti-

tion was taken to the King at Windfor two days after this was delivered to the Commons. Nor was it the Bucks Bucks petition brought Whereupon, the same being affented to, the petition was brought in, and they who bore it informed the House that it had been accompanied to the town by above fix thousand men, not one of whom but was ready with their lives and fortunes to defend them, the honorable members of the Commons, or, if need were, against whomsoever should in any fort

Its guard of 6000.

" out of the House: but they were so many, " and the press was so great in the Lobby and Crowdand " room next without the door, that they were "a good while before they could get out."\*

D'Ewes followed them, and went to walk

illegally attempt upon them, to die at their feet. "And then," fays D'Ewes, "they withdrew

preffure in lobby.

D'Ewes in West-

minster Hall.

a while in Westminster Hall. There, clustered in various groups, stood citizens of the Trained Bands belonging to the eight companies who had guarded the Members that day. And D'Ewes noted upon the tops of their pikes, hanging like little fquare banners in the now still and quiet air, copies of the Protestation

" Little fquare

banners."

religion.+

men alone who thus followed the King to his retirement. Others, according to Clarendon, promptly followed the example: "Though the King had removed himself out of "the noise of Westminster, yet the effects of it followed him "very close; for besides the Buckinghamshire petitioners, who "alarumed him the fame or the next day after he came to " Hampton Court, feveral of the same nature were every "day presented to him, in the name of other counties of the " kingdom."—Hift. ii. 176.

+ Ib. 162, f. 318 a.

\* Harl. MSS. 162, f. 317 b.

for defence of parliament and maintenance of

Other counties petition the King.

Meanwhile, before the House rose, between 7 and 8 on that "ever to be remembered" Departure day, the departure of the King from London of King noted. had been remarked upon by honorable members, and the matter was referved for debate until the following morning. Accordingly, on Question that Wednesday the 12th, the Chancellor of the peper. Exchequer wished to know if he should move his Majesty to return to London, to come to a proper understanding? But Sir John Culpeper failed to elicit any fatisfactory reply. Again, next morning, Thursday the 13th, the question was renewed; and, says D'Ewes,\* Question by Sir 'Sir Henry Cholmely moved that we should Henry "fend to his Majesty to express our grief Chomley. " for his absenting himself from us, and to "defire him to return, and to conceive that "we are his best and surest guard. But Mr. Answered "Denzil Hollis stood up, and said, that till Hollis." " himself and the other members of this House " accused of High Treason were cleared, and the " violation of the privileges of this House in their " persons were redressed --- "

My Narrative closes here. The blank left is Close of D'Ewes's own; and what yet there might have remained to tell, is better expressed in that eloquent silence. Of one of the most memorable incidents in our English history, more than enough will perhaps be thought to have been said in these pages. But it had consequences which

<sup>\*</sup> Harl. MSS. 162, f. 329 b.

Question not fettled in one generation.

were not determined even when the struggle of that generation ceased, and its actors, noble and ignoble, were also passed into silence. Every popular privilege won by the Commons in the long subsequent struggle with the Crown, owed fomething to this first grand conflict: and if their rights and powers are at last harmoniously adjusted, it is because, in the momentous scenes which have been here described, violence in the Chief of the State was at once met by prompt refistance; and allegiance to a fovereign who had broken the laws, was held of less account than that higher

Struggle of Commons against Crown.

Why fucceffful.

## & XL. CONCLUSION.

allegiance which all good men owe to their

country and to posterity.

Arrest of members a deliberate act.

In my introductory remarks it was stated that the Arrest of the Five Members was no exceptional act on the part of Charles the First, extreme and violent as it was, but showed a strict agreement with what had gone before it; and, happily for those against whom it was aimed, only baffled its own deliberate and wellplanned defign by betraying it prematurely. The justification of the leaders of the Commons for the course they immediately took, with all its daring responsibilities, consisted Only to be folely in this. Force was to be met by force; and when Charles and his armed attendants passed through the lobby of the House of

How baffled.

met one

way.

Commons on the 4th of January, the Civil The Civil War be-War fubstantially had begun. Clarendon him-gin by it. felf admits as much when he calls it "the most "visible introduction to all the misery that " afterwards befell the King and Kingdom." \* The arrest of the Five Members was the final Its connection

stage of the struggle against the Grand Remon-with Remon-strance. That Appeal to the nation was de-strance. figned to express the danger which had arisen to the popular cause from defections of its former supporters, to exhibit the past as a warning for the future, plainly to fet forth the present in- Design of fecurity of every concession that had been Remonstrance: wrung from the King, and to invoke the People to defend and keep what had been won for them fo hardly. The Arrest was a violent object of effort to reverse the eleven votes by which the

victory was achieved, and to constitute the leaders of the minority, to whom the highest

issue was a plain one, and admitted only of the rity masharsh arbitrament to which finally it was ters of the

If, indeed, it had been possible to believe that it was in the nature of Charles the First to have left it honestly to such men as Falkland, Culpeper, and Hyde to administer the Govern-Improbament subject to such concessions and safeguards ble case. as had been wrested from the prerogative during

brought.

offices in the State had meanwhile been given, masters of the House of Commons.

<sup>\*</sup> State Papers: Supplement to vol. iii. p. lv.

Peculiar opinions of King.

the past year, there might have been a case against the adoption of measures which forbade the possibility of compromise. But a peculiar neceffity was created by the character and opinions of the King. It was not merely that his bad faith was ineradicable; it was not even that he was understood to hold the high monarchical theory Nullity of of the nullity of statutes in direct restraint of

statutes in bar of prerogative.

the prerogative; but that he was known to entertain the belief, that, in reluctantly giving affent to the most important of the measures passed by the Long Parliament, he was giving it under compulsion, and that such assent was All recent therefore ipso facto invalid. With these views, let him once be relieved from pressure and

> everything gained for public liberty was loft. Clarendon himself informs us that his Attorney-General, Herbert, had encouraged him in the notion that the act against the dissolution of the Parliament without its own confent was for fuch reasons void;\* and in mentioning his

acts in peril.

affent to the Bill excluding the Bishops from Parliament, he makes use of these remarkable expressions: + "An opinion that the violence Affent under com- " and force used in procuring it rendered it pulfion void.

" absolutely invalid and void, made the con-"firmation of it less considered, as not being

" of strength to make that act good, which Dangerous " was in itself null. And I doubt this logic had logic.

<sup>\*</sup> Life and Continuation, i. 206-211. + Hist. ii. 252.

" an influence upon other acts of no less moment than these." How was it possible to deal on equal terms with such an antagonist?

Let the position be considered, too, in which Position of a charge of treason specifically made, and which accused. yet the accuser would neither prosecute nor retract, left those who were so accused. That startling remark of Hollis with which my narrative closes, throws considerable light upon this point; and Whitelock has an observation to the effect that the most powerful of the Refusal to members accused (he alludes to Pym and prosecute or with-Hampden) peculiarly resented the King's re-draw fusal specifically to withdraw the charge.\* So charge. much indeed has been frankly avowed by Pym himself. In the Vindication which he published when the war broke out, he does not hesitate to avow that from the hour of that "Vindiunjust impeachment his own conduct was cation" of changed. "When," he fays, "I perceived "my life aimed at, and heard myfelf pro-" scribed as a traitor, merely for my intireness " of heart to the service of my country; when "I was informed that I, with some other "honorable and worthy members of the par-" liament, were, against the privileges thereof, Why he changed in the parliament house by hisconduct "his Majesty, attended by a multitude of after ar-"men-at-arms and malignants, - while for reft.

" my own part I never harboured a thought

<sup>\*</sup> And see Memorials, i. 158 (Ed. 1853).

Parliament his only refuge.

"which tended to any differvice to his Ma-" jesty, nor ever had any intention prejudicial "to the State, -no man will think me blame-"worthy in that I took a care of my own " fafety, and fled for refuge to the protection " of the Parliament." But how much more intolerable fuch conduct to a man who had Traitor or refused, only a few days earlier, one of the

minister?

highest employments in the State, proffered to him by his accuser!

King will do anything but withdraw charge.

ment:

impeach-

hopes Mr. Hampden is innocent:

law:

The dogged obstinacy which was also a most material feature in the character of the King, had been here indeed startlingly displayed. The day after the return of the Five Members, he fent a message to say that he waived Willwaive the impeachment begun on the 3d, and intended to proceed thereupon in an unquestionable way. The next morning, replying at Windfor to the petition of the Freeholders of Bucks, he told Mr. Hampden's constituents, not that the charge was withdrawn, but that he would much rather that worthy gentleman should prove innocent than be found guilty, and that meanwhile he should not consider his crimes as in any fort reflecting upon those good subjects who had elected him as their knight of the shire! Eight days later, the House asked for proofs of the charge: to will indict which after three days he replied, that he could not disclose his proofs, but that no time should be lost in preferring an indictment at common

law in the usual way. Nine days later, the will abandon House demanded once more to be informed, all probefore a special day named, as to the nature ceedings: and proofs of the alleged treason with a view to early and legal trial thereof: to which the King replied by deferting the intended profecution altogether, and by offering a general pardon. will give The House then specifically claimed as their pardon: right, under certain flatutes which they cited, that the King should not only, in addition, clear the members personally, but give up the names of the counsellors under whose advice they had unjustly suffered. Still he was immovable. but A Bill for the acquittal of the Members was nothing else. thereupon passed, and an impeachment of the Attorney-General voted. To fave Herbert from punishment, he would at once have taken Attorneyall responsibility to himself; and he offered the im-House any kind of satisfaction, excepting always Peached: that which they claimed. Immediately before the civil war broke out, the Attorney-General and was disabled from being a member affistant, or punished. pleader, in either House of Parliament, and committed to the Fleet: but still the King King Still remained obdurate and unimpressible as ever. imMay, after the civil war had begun, and when the first attempt was made to mediate at Oxford after the battle of Edgehill, "a bill to "vindicate the 5 members" was among the One of the propositions submitted; when again he refused Oxford it, and angrily interrupted the Commissioners. fitions.

The Earl and the King.

So angrily, adds Whitelock,\* that the Earl of Northumberland, who led upon the Parliament fide, showed a sober and stout carriage, and on being once more interrupted, faid fmartly, "Your Majesty will give me leave to proceed?" " Aye, aye!" replied the King. †

Strong ground for discontent:

It need hardly furprise us, after this recital, to be told by the memorialist that the most moderate members of parliament held it matter of great discontent, that, except by general waiver and withdrawal of further proceedings, the imputation of treason was never removed from men in whom the House

stated by Whitelock.

\* Memorials, i. 196.

† The greater portion of this paper war of petitions and replies which had enfued will be found in Rushworth (Coll. III. Paper war. i. 434-494). Clarendon (Hist. ii. 173-178) has also largely quoted them, and it is manifest that some of them bear the marks of his hand. Nor do I ever read one of Hyde's state papers of this kind without feeling the truth of that old courtier's comment on their new ally which is mentioned by Sir Philip Warwick (Memoirs, 217): "Our good pen will "harm us:" or, as Sir Philip himself puts it, "A blunt "would have served us better than so keen a nib." An Blunt better than keen ivory knife cuts paper better than a steel blade (as Swift had nib. occasion to remind a high-flying Secretary in later time), and it is quite possible, both in the higher and lower departments, to have the work of the State too sharply done. There is a story told, something to the purpose, of Lord Burleigh

Burleigh

and Cecil. and his fon Cecil. Being at Council, and reading an order penned by a new clerk who was reputed a wit and scholar, he flung it downward to the lower end of the table to his son, the Secretary, saying, "Mr. Secretary, you bring in clerks of the council who will corrupt the gravity and dignity of the style of the Board:" to which the Secretary replied: "I pray, my Lord, pardon this. The gentleman " is not warm in his place, and hath had so little to do, that

Too clever Clerk of Council.

"he is wanton with his pen; but I will put so much business " upon him, that he shall be willing to observe your worship's " directions."

reposed its highest confidence. But, in the Clarendon's deface of such facts, what becomes of Clarendon's deferce of affertion that the Arrest was a sudden act as Charles. Suddenly repented of; that no circumstance of deliberation attended it; and that it was followed, not by hardy and obstinate persistence, but by the instant trouble and agony which attends usually the generous mind, upon its having unrestectingly committed what it promptly perceives to be an error.

It feems to me very necessary, in closing The truth this work, to fix attention upon such deliberate misstated: perversions of the truth, because they constitute for the most part, with all writers of a particular class, the sole ground of attack as a against the Commons for having treated the affailing outrage of the 4th of January as a challenge Commons. to civil war. Nothing is more certain than that, even while the outrage itself was still in progress, there was time for reslection presented to its author; and that if this had been properly employed, at least some of the disastrous consequences might have been intercepted. Let me here, therefore, briefly recall in what way it was employed.

Without adopting Whitelock's view that Doubtful if Charles had promptly withdrawn the im-affertion of White-peachment little more trouble might have lock. attended it (a view which makes too small allowance for the settled distrust which his

Probable effect of charge.

previous conduct had inspired), it is yet very withdraw- far from impossible but that, frankly done at the first, it might certainly have recovered fo much ground for the King as not wholly yet to have broken and dispersed his party in the City. Not only, however, did he fullenly leave the charge rankling in the breafts of fuch men all powerful in debate as Hampden and Pym, whom it ever afterwards indisposed to any mediation or compromise; not only did he refuse to withdraw it, as we have feen, when

Effect of King's obstinate refufal.

in the outrage.

finally compelled to withdraw all proceedings; but, up to the day when the storm broke over him under which he had to yield, and which with an obstinate impassiveness he had watched as from day to day it made darker the skies above him, not a word was uttered by him, or an act done, of which the manifest and unmistakeable tendency was not to exaggerate every danger, and to confirm and extend all the fears, generated by his first rash attempt.

Interval for good advice.

There was but an interval of fix days between his entering the House of Commons and his flight from Whitehall; and in that interval, Clarendon tells us, he had renewed his commands to himself, Falkland, and Culpeper, to give him constant advice what he was to do.\* What, then, vifers pro- having the inestimable benefit and advantage of fuch confessed advisers, did he do? In

Good advided.

<sup>\*</sup> Life and Continuation, i. 101-2.

full view of the danger escaped by failure of his instructions on the evening of the 3rd of January for firing on the Citizens, and of the Result mistake committed by failure of his attempt upon the on the morning of the 4th for seizing on the King. Members, what were the steps taken, under such advice as Hyde admits him now to have had the full opportunity to profit by—to express regret or make reparation? What, in a between word, was the course he took at that point of 4th and time which Clarendon fixes beyond question 9th January.

On the night of the 4th, with those ominous founds of Privilege! Privilege! still ringing in his ears which had followed him as he left the House that day, he caused a Proclamation to Proclamabe iffued, declaring that certain members of tion the House of Commons were under accusation Members. of High Treason, and ordering the ports of the kingdom to be closed against any attempt they should make to evade justice. On the 5th: A. M. morning of the 5th, he issued under his own King's hand Warrants for their arrest addressed to the and Visit Sheriffs of London. On that day, also, he went to Guildhimself to the City, and in person demanded that the accused, whom he knew to be concealed therein, should be delivered up to him. On that evening, he drew up with his own 5th: P.M. hand a fecond Proclamation against harbouring Second Proclathe men whom he defignated as traitors. On mation. the morning of the 6th, he dispatched a

6th: A.M. Royal Serjeant into the City with orders to Serjeant effect the arrest. On the 7th, the Common fent to Council voted their petition in behalf of arreft. popular rights; and on the fame day, fuch evidence was taken by the Committee at Grocers' Hall ("upon questions," fays Claren-7th: A. M. don, "whereof many were very imperti-Council "nent and of little respect to the King") Petition. as conclusively established the danger to which the Commons had been exposed. On the 8th: A. M. 8th, the day when Lord Falkland was formally fworn in before the Council as one of His Ministers at Council- Majesty's principal Secretaries of State, and Board. the morning after that vote of the Committee which invited the accused publicly to resume on the following Monday their places and duties as representatives of the people, there came Same day: forth a third Proclamation from the King Proclama- reiterating against the members the accusation of high treason, and commanding all magisagainst Members: trates and officers throughout the kingdom to apprehend them and convey them to the Tower. Moreover, on that same day of the 8th, a private order was fent from the Council and private Board, at which Falkland had taken the oaths order from Council and his feat but an hour or two earlier, Board. giving instructions for proceedings against those (notoriously the members for the City) who, upon the fudden alarm of two nights before, had called out the Train Bands for protection of the Citizens. Was it possible that the House of Commons, how reluctant soever to enter on the struggle, could in such circumstances as these have declined or evaded it?

There was manifestly no alternative left. Such middle course as D'Ewes would have No middle proposed before reforting to an open defi-fible. ance, was fimply hopelefs. It had become clear that the attempt upon the Members could not be defeated without a complete overthrow of the power of the King. He could not remain at Whitehall if they returned to Westminster. Charles raised the issue, the Accept. Commons accepted it, and so began our Great iffue Civil War. The King drew the fword upon raised. the day when he went with his armed followers to arrest the Five Members in their places in the House. The House of Commons unfurled their standard on the day when, declining to furrender their members, they branded Civil with the epithet of a Scandalous Paper the articles of impeachment issued by the King.



## INDEX.

Alison.

Bere.

ALISON, Sir William (York,) speaks against Lunsford, 36.

Argyle, Archibald Marquis of, made Scottish Chancellor, 17. (See Montrose.)

Arrest, privileges of Commons against, explained and afferted,

213·14, 304-5, 307-8. 315. Arrest of the Five Members.

Five Members.

Attorney-Gen. See Herbert, Sir E. Authorities cited or referred to:

MS. See Bere. Carterett. Dering. Dowse. Latche. Marston. Nicholas. Porter. Sling fby. Smith, (Thomas). Windebank. Wiseman, (Thomas).

PRINTED. See Bramston. Bruce. Butler. Clarendon, Echard. Eikon Basilike. Filmer. Forster. Guizot. Hacket. Hall. Hallam. Heath. Heylyn. Hobbes. Howell. Hume. Hutchinson. Lewis. Lilly. Macaulay. Nalson. Napier. Pepys. Rushworth. Russell, (Lord John). Sandford. Verney. Warwick, (Sir P.). Whitelock. Wright. Aylesbury, Mr. writes from Rome

BAAL, or Ball, Peter, Queen's At-

to Hyde, 224, 225 note.

torney, 129 note. Balfour, Sir William, removed from governorship of the Tower, Clarendon's Note thereon, and on his Successor, 35 note. Balgony, Leslie, Field Marshal of,

made an English Earl, 17.

Banks, Sir John, to be Lord Treasurer, 30 note.

Barberino, Cardinal Francesco, makes " particular mention " of Pym and his friends, 225 note.

Barrington, Sir Thomas (Colchester), 37. Named on Com-

mittee of Safety, 280.

Bates, Dr. on Lady Carlifle's connexion with Pym and his friends, 137. On Advisers of the King's Visit to the House, 137. 140. 141.

Bath, Earl of, to be a Privy Coun-

fellor, 58.

Baxter, Richard, on the term "Roundhead", 136-7 notes.

Baynton, Sir Edward (Chippenham), on fecret communications to the King, 210.

Bedford, Earl of, joins in Protest relative to Lunfford's removal,

36 note. 65.

Beedham, Mr. 87 note.

Bellasis, H. (Yorkshire), motion of, relative to the Bishops, 102 note. Suggests attempt at accommodation with the King, 201 note.

Bere, Sidney (Correspondent of Admiral Pennington), appointed Under Secretary, 5. Describes Opposition to printing the Remonstrance, 5, 6. On Charles's Visit to City, 22. Fears and distractions daily increafing, 26. On Secretary Nicholas's worth, 26, 27. notes. Court difinisfals and appointments, 30 note. Dismissal of

Buckingham.

Young Vane, 53. Further on Official changes, King's movements, and his own probable difmissal, 56 and note. On Commotion arising out of the Lunsford affair, 69, 70. On the Bishops and their Protestation, 96 note. Reports their Committal to the Tower, 98. fears and hopes on the occasion, 99 and note. On King's Visit to the House to seize the Five Members, 194, 195, notes. His dread as to ultimate refult: flying rumours, 203, 204. Why he declines a Christmas Invitation, 204 note. Rumours against Lords Briftol and Digby, 206. On Secret Service affigned to the Admiral, 361. King's flight and disquietude of his Counsellors, 361-363. Union between the two Houses, 363, 364 notes. Describes Return of Members, 370. 371 note.

Biron, Sir John, appointed Tower Governor, vice Lunfford, 70. "Little better accepted than the other", 77. Called before Commons' Committee, 334. 341. His Message to them, 355. Supersfeded, 355 note, 364 note.

perfeded, 355 note. 364 note. Bishops, Petition against enforcement of Liturgy by the, 32 note. Course taken by them on account of the Tumults, 89. Purport of their Protestation thereon, 89-91. Real Author of Protestation: object contemplated by him, 91. What might have followed had Protest been admitted, 92. Provocation given, 92, 93. Bishop Hall's account of what led to the Protestation. 93, 94. Clarendon's Account: Course taken by King, 94, 95. Prompt action of Commons, 95. as to Episcopal Cromwell Spirit, 96. Sidney Bere's ftrictures on the protesting Prelates,

ibid. note. Their conduct condemned by Clarendon, 96, 97. His opinion of their Impeachment, 97. View taken by Pennington's Correspondents, 97-100. Real drift of Protest, 100. Glyn fent up to impeach them, Hacket's Lament for them: feelings of the Lords, ibid. note. Tower Gates closed upon them, 102. Civilities exchanged while in durance, 103 note. D'Ewes's comments, 104, 105. Tower preferable to Black Rod's Custody, 105 and note. Delight of Commons at their folly, 105, 106. See also 173. 174 and notes. 341.

Bodvill, Mr. John (Anglesey), and the Clerk's Journals, 232. Bolingbroke, Earl of, 36 note.

Boswell, Sir John, 204 note.
Bramston on Attack on Archbishop Williams, 71, 92. His account compared with Clarendon's and Hacket's, 89 note.

"Bridle" the, for too restless Citizens, 33.

Bristol, John Earl of: to be Chamberlain, 30 note. Commons' Charge against him, 78.82, 83. Spanish Match expedition, 82. Best account of that mad freak, 82 note ‡. Cromwell denounces him, 83. Rumours against him and his son, 206. See Digby.

Brooke, Lord, 36 note. Honour designated for him, 58. Brown, Mr. Clerk of House of

Lords, 303.

Brown, Mr. R. (Romney), brings up Lincoln's Inn reply, 176.

Brown, Sir A. (Surrey), reports flander on Pym, 358 note.

Bruce, John, Esq. Note by, 20 note \*.

Buckingham Freeholders come to London, 338, 339. 357, 373. Their numbers, 339 note. Hampden's share in their petition, 340, 341 notes. 373 note. Debate as to receiving them. 353, 354. 373. Called in, 374. King's reply to their Petition, 380. See Goodwin. Hampden. Buckle, "One Mr."; Threat ut-

Buckle, "One Mr."; Threat u tered by, 169.

Bullock, Mr. 372.

Burleigh, Lord, Anecdote of, 382 note.

Butler, Samuel, couplet quoted from, 344 note.

Byron. See Biron.

CAMDEN SOCIETY BOOKS rich in illustrations of period comprised in this work, 49 note. Quotations therefrom. See Bramston. Verney.

Carew, Alexander (Cornwall) 279. Carlifle, Earl of, 36 note. 37 note.

See Hay, Lord.

Carlisle, Lucy Countess of: has Intercourse with both parties, 15. Communicates Court Secrets to popular Leaders, 16. Causes of her betrayal of the King's party, 133-135. Sir P. Warwick's Scandal about her, 135, 136 and notes. Dr. Bates's more complimentary interpretation, 137. Refult of her closetings with the Queen, 138, 139. Gratitude expressed for her services, 140. Dangers averted by her warning, 144. 145 note. 195. Precise moment of her communication of King's intentions, 175.

Carterett, Captain, Correspondent of Admiral Pennington, 51. Clarendon's testimony to his eminence, 52. Reports dismissal of the two Vanes, ibid. Parliamentary appreciation of his services, ibid. note. Announces the publication of the Remonstrance, 60. His reslections on affairs, 60, 61. Confirms fact of Lunford's knighthood and pension, 70 note. On causes of popular

disquietude, 287. 296, 297. His later career, 366 note.

Cavalier, first use of the epithet, 62. Sense in which it was used: instances cited, 62, 63 notes. William Lilly on the same subject, 64, 65 notes. See 341. See Roundhead.

Cave, Sir R. (Lichfield) named on Committee of Safety, 280.

Cecil's excuse for a Clerk's "wanton pen", 382 note.

Chadwell, William (St. Michaels), munimental trick attempted by, 244, 245. His narrow escape, 245 and note.

Chambers, John, deposes to violence of King's Guard, 327.

Chandois, Lord, 37 note. Charles, Elector Palatine, accompanies the King into the House, 184, 185. Joins him in his flight, 361. A Prince's privations, 365 note.

Charles the First, fatal day in the life of, 1. His attempt on Five Members correctly stated in Eikon Basilike, 2. Services rendered to him by Admiral Pennington, 3. Was Lord Digby fole adviser of the arrest? 10. Charges intended against Pym and Hampden, 12. His ways of dealing with opponents: always too late, 12, 13. Refults of his obstinacy, 14. Clarendon's version of his consultations with Lord Digby and their betrayal, 15 note. Nicholas's communication relative to Lord Kimbolton, 15, 16. His conduct towards avowed Rebels and popular Leaders contrasted, 17, 18. Enlarges scope of his accusation against the latter, 18. "confident and fevere look", 20. His felf-deception on strength of Royalist party in City, 21. Contemporary accounts of his reception there,

Charles I.

21, 22. Confers honours on City Magnates, 22. Adulatory Reports, ibid. note. Probable effect of Lenthal's desire to refign, 22, 23. 25. Instances of his foolhardiness, 29. Asfails privileges of Commons, 30, 31. His double provocation of the Puritans, 31. Consequences of his reprieve of condemned Jefuits 31, 32 note. His Warrant appointing Lunfford Tower Governor, 34 note. Responsibility for that act, 35 note. Alleged reason for dismissal of Lord Newport, 37. Gives Lord Newport the lie and retracts, 38, 39. Endeavours to win Pym to his fide, 42, 43. Why his efforts failed. 42 note. Pym's fecret influence over him, 44-46. Renews offers of place to Pym, 47. Dering on his overture to Pym, 48. Effect on Commons of his difmiffal of young Vane, 53. Proposal of Regency during his fojourn in Scotland, 56-7 note. Negotiations in London with popular leaders, and fudden change in Scotland, 57-8. His ill-advised act on the Fast day, 61. Its fatal confequences, 62. His indifcretion relative to Volunteer Guard, 72. 73-75. How he received Declaration of both Houses, 75. Justifies his acceptance of the Guard, 75, 76. Anticipated refult of his noncompliance with Commons' desires, 80. His conduct on receiving Bishop's Protestation, 95. Commons' Demand for Guard, 109, 110. His expedients pending his answer, 110, 111. His reply and its accompaniment, 112. Impeachment of Five Members laid folely at his door, 113. Answer, in his own hand, to Petition of both Houses for Guard, 114 note. His choice of Commander a proof of

infincerity, ibid. His Interview with the Commons' Deputies, 126. Question of his responsibility further discussed, 127— 129. Pernicious fruits of the Queen's interference, 129-139. (See Henrietta). His abettors in renewed attempt on the Commons, 139-142. Alleged evidence in support of his charge, 142, 143. Clarendon's view of the matter, ibid. notes. Incapable of a wife Fear, 145. Issue raised by his attempt, 145. Its alleged "gentleness," 150 note. His style of writing, 151. His advifers and their share of responsibility, 153, 154. Attempts to induce the citizens to aid him, 155-157. His Warrant for that object, 157. 158. Whitehall clocks too late, 156. 159. Goes to the House to demand the Five Members, 179. Number and equipment of his attendants, 180 -184. Enters "where never King was but once", 184, 185. His reception by and bearing towards the members, 185-187. His Speech to the House, with corrections by his own hand, 188 -190. Lenthal's Reply to his appeal, 191, 192. William Lilly on his manner of Speaking, 192 note. His Speech on finding his "birds flown", 193. His bearing on leaving the House, 193-195. Accounts of the scene by Slingsby and Bere, 194 note. D'Ewes's account of what took place on his departure, 195-200. Mischief let loose by the act, 206. Hyde his private adviser, 208. Closeted with him, 209 note. Lilly's verdict on his "rash action", 217 note. Money folicited for him from Foreign Rulers, 224. How the Commons met his Proclamation against Essex, 240.

Sir Peter Wentworth's plain speaking, 242. Sends for Rush-worth, 251. Their interview, 252. Issues Proclamation against Five Members, 253. His Warrant for feizure of arms in City, 257 note. Announces intention of addressing City Authorities, 258. His reception in Guildhall, and how he fared by the way, 258-263. Wiseman's account of the affair, 264-267. Citizens' apswer to his demand for Five Members, 267. Their advice to him, 268. His first act on return from City, 269. 297. Its responfibility entirely his own, 270, Commons' Proceedings arising out of Arrest, 271-281. Apprehensions natural to the times, 283. Montrose's offer to affaffinate Argyle and Hamilton, 284, 285, 286 notes. Pym's heaviest charge against him proved, 299, 300. Commons' Declaration against his conduct, 319, 320. His Order in Council on position taken up by the City, 324, 325 notes. Evidence as to intended violence by his followers, 326-329. Further proclamation against the Five Members, 333. Threatens a Visit to Commons' Committee, 337. 338. Determines to quit Whitehall, 356, 357. His terror and its causes, 359. His reasons for leaving London, 360. MS. references to his flight, 361-368. (See Bere - Dering - Sling sby.) Off to Hampton Court, 368, 369. Case between him and the Commons fummed up, 376-387. (See Clarendon. Commons. Five Members.) Charles II. Glvn's accident at

Coronation of, 344 note.

Chaucer, Bishop Hacket's estimation of, 91 note.

Chomley, Sir Henry (Northal-

lerton), object of Motion by, 243 note. Question put by him, 375. City; strength of Royalist party in the, 21. Hopes founded by King on his reception there, 21, Honors conferred on City Dignitaries, 22. Reappearance of "factious Citizens" at the Houses "One of of Parliament, 26. the House" catechized by them, ibid. note \*. Their anti-royalist feelings further manifested, 27. Lord Mayor's unpopular acts, 28. Agitation by reason of reprieve of Popish Offenders, 31, 32. Petition against enforcement of Liturgy and offensive proclamation thereon, 32. Refult of Attack on Newgate, ibid. note. Indignation provoked by King's Acts, 32, 33. City 'Prentices attacked by the Soldiery, 68, 69. Citizens affailed by King's Guard, 73, 74. Attitude affumed by them: Slingfby's apprehensions, 80. Solicited by Commons for Military Aid, 124. 155. 157. Efforts of the King to forestal Commons in this matter, 155-159. Five Members' place of Refuge, 253. Character of the City and habits of its Merchants, 253— 254. Its Military Organization: Duties imposed on Aldermen, 254 note. Its fortifications and other defensive appliances, 255 note. Its enrichment by trade: cause of Clarendon's lament, 255, 256. Its adherence and fervices to the popular cause, 256. Comes in for its share of Court Lampoons, 256 note. Scene presented on night of Arrest, 256, 257. Apprehended Seizure of Arms, 257 and note. King's felf-invitation to Lord Mayor, 258. King's progress to and reception in Guildhall, 258 -263. (See Rushworth. Slingsby. Wiseman, T.) Answer to King's demand for the Five Members, 267. Advice tendered to him therein, 268. Meeting of Commons Committee at Guildhall, 300, 301. How the Committee was welcomed and treated, 301, 302. Proceedings of Committee, 302-316. (See Commons.) State of City on Publication of Commons' Declaration, 320, 321. Causes for alarms afloat, 322. Number of armed men within call for defence, 323. Judicious arrangements of Lord Mayor: Proceedings of King and Council, 323, 324. King's Order against those who "put the Trained Band in arms", 324, 325, notes. One cause for increase of Civic alarm, 325, 326, 333. Appearance of City on 9th January, 338. Its march with the Members, 369. Pym's thanks to the Citizens, 371.

Civil War, Great, first blood shed in the, 64. Who were the first aggressors, 66. Aspect of the Elements on its eve, 67, 68. Captain Slingsby's apprehensions 80. Responsibilities incurred by its instigators, 80, 81. Its real beginning, 377, 387.

Clare, Earl of, 36 note, 37 note.
Clarendon, Edward Hyde, Earl
of; mifrepresentations of, relative
to Charles's attempt on Five
Members, 1. His character of
Admiral Pennington, 3, note.
Misstates cause of Palmer's Committal to the Tower, 8. Assert
Lord Digby was sole adviser
of King's attempt, 10—12. His
character of and friendship with
Digby, 11 note. His Opinion of
guilt of the Five Accused,
14. Asserting the Misstate of Lord
Kimbolton's complicity, 14,
15. His version of Charles's

Confultations with Digby, 15 note. Effect on the King of tone adopted by him and his Colleagues, 18. His character of Lenthal, 23 and note. His comments on Windebank's flight a key to his views on the Popish Reprievals, 32, 33 notes. Explains object of Lunfford's appointment, 34, 35. His difingenuous note on Balfour's difmiffal, 35 note. Throws refponsibility of Lunsford's appointment on Digby, ibid. His estimate of Captain Carterett, 52. His opinions and admissions relative to King's Guard, 72, 73. His version of their attacks on the Citizens, 73, 74. On the epithets "Roundhead" and "Cavalier", 74. His account of attack on Archbishop Williams contrasted with others', 89 note. Way in which Bishops' Protest was concocted, 94, 95. His opinion thereon and on their subsequent punishment, 96, 97. His charge against Digby in re Kimbolton's Impeachment, 116, 117. Absent from House during debates on arrest, 121. Queen's part in Impeachment of Five Members, 132, 133. His apology for Lady Carlifle's defection, 134. On the legality of the King's Proceedings, 150 and note. 151, 152. Imputation against him and his friends, 153. Their way of getting out of the dilemma, 153, 154. His charges against and estimate of Hampden, 168-170. Hampden's significant remark to him, 171. Bearing of Pym and Hampden towards him, 172 note. On number and equipment of King's Guards, 181. False issue raised by him on King's failure, 202, 203. Period at which he became King's private Adviser,

208. A double dealer by his own confession, 209 and note. Suspected of Treachery towards the Commons, 210—212. Acculed of advising the Arrest, Reasons for disbelief as to alleged Speech by him, 212 -214. Why Falkland excused his absence from the House, 215 note. No evidence of his presence during debates on Arrest, 215, 216. 293. Letter to him from Rome, 224, 225 notes. Why he laments abforption of Wealth by City, 255. Not named on Committee of Safety, 280. Question raised on his statement of Montrose's murderous offer, 284, 285, 286 notes. Liberties taken by his Sons with his MSS: 1826 Edition, how made up, ibid. His inferences relative to fears excited by King's conduct contrasted with his own admissions, 286, 287. 294. 295. Plans of himself and Digby for seizing Five Members, 288, 289. His faithlessness as an Historian, 280. Comparison of his Statements of Proceedings of 5th January with those of D'Ewes, Verney, and Rushworth, 289-293. His sole Argument of any weight, 303. His infinuations repelled by D'Ewes, 310. Real points at issue evaded by him, 310, 311. Construction put thereon by impartial bystanders, 311 note. Value of D'Ewes's Notes as correctives of his misstatements, Recapitulation of fuch misrepresentations, 317, 318. Answers thereto furnished by D'Ewes, 318, 319. Trustworthy when not misled by his feelings, 320. What he fays of the "great change in all forts of People", 321 and note. Alarms traceable to the threats of his friend Digby, 322. Too keen

a pen, 382. Deliberate perverfion of the Truth, 383. See also 369, 335, 353, 356, 362, 364, 367, 370, 371, 374.

Clarendon, Henry Hyde, Earl of, Liberties taken by him and his brother, Lord Rochester, with the MS. of their Father's History, 284, 285, 286 notes.

Clotworthy, Sir John (Malden), 38, calls attention to Irish Affairs, 276; Persists in his object, 282. Service performed by him, 349, 350.

349, 350. Coke, Sir Edward, 39.

Coke, Sir William, Anecdote told by, 126. 137, 138. Credit given to it by Halelrig, 140, 141.

Commons, House of; growing alarms amongst Members of, 20. Proceedings of the Lord Mayor refented by them, 28. Their diffatisfaction at Young Vane's dismissal from Office, 30 note. 53. Their privileges affailed by the King, 30, 31. House much distracted at "reprieve of the Priests," 32 note. Course taken on Lunfford's appointment, 36. Their supporters and opponents in the Lords, ibid. and note. Address voted for Lunsford's Removal, 37. Their request to Lord Newport to take command of Tower, 37, 38. Their reception of Old Vane on his difmissal, 52. Time supposed ripe for destruction of their Leaders, 67. Their proceedings on Lord Newport's Dismissal, 82. Course taken with reference to Lords Bristol and Digby, 82, 83, 84. Long filences in the House; Officering of the Army debated, 84, 85. Members alarmed by . a suggestion of Pym's, 106. D'Ewes's Proposition, and the Speaker's rider to it, 106, 107. Pyin's remedy for apprehended dangers, 107, 108. D'Ewes's

Gommons. fpeech, 272—275. Refult of

troubles and doubts on the occasion, 108, 109. Demand for Guard for the House, 109. How the King received and answered fuch demand, 110—112. Joined by the Lords in demand for Guard, 115. Refult of Proceedings on feizure of Members' Papers, 120-126. Ald. Pennington and Captain Venn fent to City for Guard, 124. 155. 157. Course taken by King to defeat this step, 155-158. Resolution adopted in confequence of King's tampering with Inns of Court, 161. Refult of Messages sent to the four Inns, 176, 177. Reentrance of the Five Members: King's Secret disclosed to the House, 177. Further disclosures, 178. Five Members depart, 179. King's approach to the House 4th January: his retinue, 179-184. Appearance of House on his entry, 184-187. Speaker Lenthal's memorable Speech, 191, 192. King's Speech and departure, 193-195. Slingsby and Bere's Account of the Transaction, 194 note. Copy Entry of this day's proceeding in Journals of House, 196 note. D'Ewes's minutes of what paffed after the King's departure, 195 -200. Discussion on answers to Royal message, 221-223. Why Sir R. Hopton incurred displeasure of House, 223-227. Proceedings in Sir Edward Dering's Cafe, 228-231. Conflicts between Speaker and Members, 236. 238. 241. Cause of House's laughter " amid sad apprehensions", 247. Refult of attempts to enforce Members' early attendance: The Shilling Fine, 247-249. Precautionary steps taken on reassembling of House on 5th January, 271, 272. Mr. Grimston's telling

motion thereon, 275. Upshot of Discussion of Declaratory Resolution, 275-279. Numbers on two important Divisions, 279, and note. Constitution of Committee then named, 280. Motions by Lord Lifle, Sir P. Stapleton, and N. Fiennes, 281. Cause of House's abrupt rising, 281, 282. Reasons for alarm, 282, 283. Clarendon's report compared with contemporary accounts, 290-293. Point gained by adjourning Sittings to Guildhall, 294. What Slingsby "heard some Parliament men discoursing of", 298, 299. No hitherto known report of Proceedings at Guildhall, 300. Value of D'Ewes's Notes, ibid. Committee at Guildhall, (6th Jan.). Rushworth's and Verney's notices: Clarendon's confusion, 300, 301. Subjects treated of at this Sitting, 302-313. Dispute between D'Ewes and Wilde, 314, 315. Resolutions ultimately adopted, 315, 316. Hyde's afperfions read by the light of D'Ewes's Journals, 317 -319. Declaration of Breach of Privilege, and Publication of same, 319, 320. State of public feeling, 320-326. First Sittingat Grocers' Hall (7th Jan.). Abstract of evidence as to outrage of the 4th, 326-329. Proceedings thereon: another dispute between D'Ewes and Wilde, 330-332. Effect of Refolution to invite return of Five Members, 332. How the King

met that resolution, 332, 333.

Second Sitting at Grocers' Hall,

(8th Jan.) Measures on King's

further Proclamation, 333-336.

King's threat to attend Com-

mittee, 337. Orders issued there-

on: its upshot, 338.

Culpeper.

Last Sitting at Grocers' Hall. Glyn's communication, 340. Alderman Pennington's suspicions relative to the Tower, 340, Resolutions against Killi-341. grew and Fleming, 341, 342. The like against Evil Counsellors, Proclamations, and Warrants, 343. Maynard's effective Speeches, 344-346. Sailor Volunteers and their offers, 347 and Directions given to the " Water Rats", 347, 348. Arrival of the Five Members, 348. Common People's offers at this juncture, 348, 349. Defensive arrangements made for return to Westminster, 350-352. Hampden's 4000 men from Bucks, 353, 354. Last acts of Committee, 354-356.

At Westminster again. Refumption of their seats by the Five Members, 371. Proceedings on the occasion, 371.—373. Bucks Petition and its Guard of 6000, 374. Questions about the King answered, 375. Fruits of Struggle between Commons and Crown, 376. Case between the two parties sumed up, 383—387. See Bishops, Charles I. Clarendon, D'Ewes, Five Members, Lenthal.

Compton, Lord (Warwickshire) communicates King's answer to

House's Message, 210.

Coniers, Sir John, made Lieutenant of the Tower, 355 note. Ground of King's exception to him, ibid. Coningfley, Captain, Lieutenant of Ordnance, examined before Commons' Committee, 334.

Conway, Vifcount, 37 note. Cooke, Sir Robert (Tewkesbury), named on Committee of Safety,

280.

Coppley's Cafe, temp. Q. Mary,

Corbet, Miles (Yarmouth, Nor-

folk), purport of relation made to House by, 79 note.

Cotton, Sir Robert, one of the earliest Martyrs of the Stuarts, 40. His Sufferings at the Seizure of his Books and MSS. ibid. note.

Cromwell, Oliver (Cambridge), addresses House on Lord Newport's dismissal, 82. Grounds of his complaint against Lord Briftol, 82, 83. His advice on the officering of the Army, 85. His complaint relative to Captain O'Connel, ibid. note. What he faid of the Bishops and their Protestation, 95, 96. Reflected on in Dering's Book, 229. Suggests that D'Ewes write an answer to fame, 230. D'Ewes's recommendation, per contra, 231. Not named on Committee of Safety, 280.

Crown Jewels carried across the Channel, and why, 3, 4. 132. Pawned by the Queen, 361.

Culpeper, Sir John (Kent), appointed Chancellor of Exchequer, 11. 48. 49. 111. 267. His obligations to and intimacy with Lord Digby, 11 and note. Suspicions against him and his coadjutors, 12. 111, 112. Influence on Charles of the course taken by him and his Parliamentary affociates, 18. Silent on an important occasion, 121. Has audiences with the King, 126, 140. What he and his friends would have done with the Five Members, 149 note. His confidence to Dering, 152. How he and his affociates endeavoured to evade responsibility, 153, 154. Holds fecret meetings with Hyde and Falkland, 209 note. His horror at Sir Peter Wentworth's plain speaking, 242. Named on Committee of Safety, 215. 279, 280. pleased and dejected", 292, 293.

D'Ewes.

His unanswered question, 375. See also 279 note. 377, 384. Cunningham, Mr. Letter of Marston found by, 87, note.

DANDIE, Serjeant, sent to apprehend the Five Members, 296.
His reception by "the worse fort of people", 297. See 343.

Dering, Sir Edward (Kent), in trouble "for fomething he hath fpoke in the House", 26 note\*. His note on Charles's overture to Pym, 48. His MSS. to be published by Camden Society, 48 note. Source of his Information, 152. Act whereby he incurred House's displeasure, 228. D'Ewes's reasons for voting his expulsion, 228, 229. Sentence passed on him and his Book, 230. Cromwell's fuggestion as to answering same, 230, 231. On Bucks Petitioners, 353 note. King's flight and Commons' Proceedings, 359, 360. Cavaliers' distresses, 365 note. "Rather be Pym than Charles", 372. His friend Bullock, ibid.

Derry Plantation, 217 note. D'Ewes, Sir Simonds (Sudbury), Signs of danger, 19. Notes the King's look, 20. His Character of Lunfford, 34. His misgivings, 36, 37. Recounts Cotton's fufferings at feizure of his Library, 40 note. King's intentions, 79, note. House's proceedings on first day of tumults, 81, 82. Character and condition of his Journal in the Harleian Collection, 81 note. "Long Silences" in the House, 84. French Papistical Threats, 85. Makes merry over Bishops' fall, 103-105. On subsequent Proceedings of Commons, 105, 106. Much troubled by Pym's proposition, 108. His Remarks in opposition, 109, 110. Commons' Proceedings on King's refusal of a Guard, 118, 119. Seizure of impeached Members' Papers, 120. Usages of the House in his day, 129 note. 223 note. Proceedings on the 4th of January, 160. 161. 164. 169. 173. 174. 175. On number of, and terror excited by, the King's Guards, 181.183.184. Charles's Visit to the House, 185, 186. Expressive break in his Narrative, 187, 188. On Charles's Corrections of his Speech, 190, 191. King's aspect as he left the House, 193, 194. Proceedings after King's departure, "Young Man", 198 and note. 279 note. His fense of danger, how marked, 201 and note. Not a mere party man: his ways of life, 202. 219. Light thrown on Hyde's double dealing, 209. Claim of 210. 211. 212. 215. his Journal to be received as authentic, 218. Sir W. Lytton's compliment to him, 219. His Service to Sir William, ibid. note. Epithets bestowed by him on Popular Leaders, 220. Mutual tolerance between him and Pym, ibid. His Position in the House, 221. Debates wherein he acted as moderator, 221 —227. 236. 238. 240. 303—6. Young Lord Strafford, 227 note. His Part in discussion on Dering's conduct, 228, 229. His reply to Cromwell's Suggestion that he answer Dering's Book, 230, 231. Further proof of his accuracy: How he makes up his Journal, 231-233. Stands up for Note-taking, 233. His pofition towards and opinion of Lenthal, 233-235. Rebukes Sir Arthur Haselrig, 236. Avoids Chair of Committee, 239 note. How vote of alle-

Dowfe.

giance to Parliamentary General was carried, 240, 241. His note on Sir Peter Wentworth's "folly", 242. Detects Chadwell's attempt to impose upon the House, 244, 245. His share in efforts to enforce early attendance: how the divers expedients worked, 245-249. Opposes Motion for Call of House, 250. A Stranger in the House, 251. Proceedings on reassembling of House, 5th January, 272. 275 -281. Explains cause of Panic in the House, 282, 283. Sole Recorder of Guildhall Sittings of 6th January, 300, 301. City hospitalities, 302. What was first debated, ibid. His arguments against Motion to send for warrants, 303-305. 307, 308. Cases in point cited by him, 305. Why applaud him and object to Hyde? 305, 306 notes. His Speech commended by the House, 308. Position achieved by his Argument, 310. Issue raised by Serjeant Wilde, 314. 315. Reports House's conclusion and departs, 315, 316. Value of his Journals as Correctives of Clarendon's misstatements, 317. Proofs furnished by him towards that end, 318, 319. Civic Alarms and defensive preparations on 6th January, 321 -323. One cause for increase of Alarm: 325, 326. His abstract of evidence as to Outrage of 4th January, 326-329. Speech and Motion then made by him, 329, 330. Again difcomfits Serjeant Wilde, 330-332. Avoids Voting on Motion for return of Five Members, 332. On number and object of Bucks Petitioners, 353. 353 note. 354. 374. Proceedings of Committee, 354-356. Commons usurpations why necessary, 356 note.

On Pym's traducers, 358 note. Lumley's Story, 362 note. Earns Lord Holland's approval, 363 note. On forlorn aspect of Court, 364 note. Soldiers' Pikes, 374. Abrupt close of his narrative, 375. Hopelessness of middle course, 387. See also 88. 206. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 312.

313. 370 note.

Digby, George, Lord: conveyed out of England, 3. Afferted fole adviser of Charles's Attempt, 10, 11. His Friends and Colleagues: Clarendon's analysis of his Character, 11 note. His intimacy with Lunfford, 34, 35. Extent of his responsibility for Lunsford's appointment, 35 note. Consequence of his Speech on Strafford's Attainder, 54. Employment defigned for him by the King, ibid. Singled out for Royal favour, 60. Hollis's complaint against him, 83. Extent of his complicity in King's obnoxious proceedings, 83. 84. His Impeachment resolved on, 84. How he conducted himself on Kimbolton's Impeachment, 116-118. Further note on the disloyal conduct of the Digbys, 119. Closeted with the King, Not unwilling to push 129. matters to extremities, 205. His offer to take Five Members, dead or alive, 205. 288. 322. Rumours against him and his father, 206. Not the only guilty one among the King's prompters, 208. One probable refult of his intimacy with Hyde, 212. Civic alarm poffibly due to his murderous project, 322. Charges against his father. See Bristol, Earl of.

Dorset, Earl of, on Col. Luniford's antecedents, 34. 34 note.

Dowse, Capt. (Correspondent of Admiral Pennington), folicits

Dungarvon.

a place for the Admiral, 51

Dungarvon, Lord, 38. Dunimore, Lord, 34 note.

Durham, Bishop of, at the door of the House, 102 note. Lodged in "close air," 104, 105.

EARLE, Sir Walter (Weymouth), Service rendered to Mr. Strode by, 179. 200. His motion relative to Sir Ralph Hopton, 226. Why D'Ewes refisted his motion for "calling in Dering's Book", 229. Named on Committee of Safety, 280.

Echard, the Historian, Source of anecdote published by, 126.

Eikon Bafilike, Charles's Attempt on the Five Members correctly interpreted in the, 2.

Eliot, Sir John, 39, 40 note. 147

note. 217 note.

Elizabeth, Queen, 33 note. 305. Ellis, Mr. William (Boston) brings Gray's Inn Reply, 176. Made Chairman of Committee, 239 note.

Eliyng, Henry, Clerk of Commons, who copies from Journals of? 232. His explanation to D'Ewes,

233.

Essex, Robert, Earl of, joins in the Lunfford Protest, 36 note. 65. Military appointment conferred on him, 57. Commons demand Guard under his command, 109. Refused, 112. See also 116 note. His advice to the Five Members and to Kimbolton, 175. 200. Discovers Hyde closeted with the King, 209 note. How Commons acted when he was proclaimed traitor, 240. Refuses to attend King out of London, 361, 362. What Clarendon fays of him, 362 note. Libel upon him, Honester man than Lord Holland, 363 note.

Evelyn, Sir John (Bletchingley),

Fiennes.

84. Proposes Hopton's expulfion, 225. Comes into collision with D'Ewes, 226.

FALKLAND, Lucius Cary, Lord (Newport, Hants): his afferted ignorance of intended Arrest, 11.12. His intimacy with Lord Digby, 11 note. Suspicions against him and his Colleagues, 12. 111. 112. Influence on Charles of tone adopted by them, 18. Appointed Secretary and Privy Counfellor, 27 note. 50. III and notes. 324, 325 Silent on an important notes. occasion, 121. Only Member of Commons Deputation spoken to by the King, 126. Closeted What he with the King, 140. and his Colleagues would have done with the Five Members, 149 note. Reports King's Reply to Commons' Message, 160. Attends private Meeting at Hyde's lodgings, 209 note. Excuses Hyde's absence, 215 note. Copies from the Clerk's Journals nightly, 232. Named on Committee of Safety, 215. 280. As to Clarendon's affertion of his being "displeased and dejected", 292. 293. See also 332. 377 384. 386.

Fane. See Vane.

Fettiplace, John (Berks), over-

awed, 241.

Fiennes, Nathaniel (Banbury), 38. Believed to be "for root and branch", 47 note. Cause of sudden close of his speech, 119. Appointed a manager in Conference with the Lords, 121. Object of another Conference on which he was named, 173. Resolution moved by him, 174. His relation about armed crowds near the House, 177. Communicates Intelligence brought by Langres, 178. 195. 329.

Filmer.

Qualifying epithet bestowed upon him by D'Ewes, 220. Named on Committee of Safety, Purport of Message to Lords proposed by him, 281. Prominent in Guildhall Committee Debates, 303. 309. 316.

Filmer, Sir Robert, and his fol-

lowers, 166.

Five Members, arrest of the, misrepresented by Clarendon, 1. Interpretation put on the act in the Eikon Basilike, 2. Summary of the Seven Articles of Treason against them and Kimbolton, Articles in State Paper Office, 114, 115 notes. Seizure of their papers by King's Warrant, 120. Their persons demanded by King's Serjeant, 122. Course taken by House on this demand, 123. Ordered to attend House daily, 124. Reason why What they withdrew, 145. Charles's new Ministers thought of their guilt, 149. How Falkland, Culpeper, and Hyde would have disposed of them, ibid. note. Views of the arrest held by King's party after its failure, 150-152. Members fuccessively defend themselves, 161 - 168. Impeachment voted a "Scandalous Paper," 172. Lord Eslex's Mesfage and advice to them, 175. Proceedings on their re-entrance, 177, 178. Leave given to them to absent themselves, 179. Digby's offer to feize them dead or alive, 205. 288. 322. What William Lilly thought of their arrest and of the King's intentions, 217 note. Their place of Refuge in the City, 253. City's answer to demand for them, 267, 268. New Proclamation against them, 269-271. Credibility of affertion that they were in no danger, 289. "Five Members'

Fuller.

March", 306 note. Vane's motion with regard to them, 316. Commons' Declaration against their arrest, 319, 320. Exclamation of a King's Guard on not finding them in the House, 328. Purpose aimed at by way in which King came to demand them, 329. Their attendance at Committee resolved on, 332. How the King met this defiance of his threats, 332, 333. Order for their public appearance renewed, 333. Further Proclamation against their condemned by the Commons, 333, 334. London invaded by their Constituents, 338, 339. No greater breach of privilege than their accufation, 345. How greeted on their return, 348, 369-371. Thanked by the Committee, 349. Hampden the first to break filence, 353. See Commons. Hampden. Haselrig. Hollis. Pym. Strode.

Fleming, Sir Win. ordered into Custody, 125. Court Guards put under his command, 147. 177. 328. Delivers Message from King to Inns of Court, 176. Charged with Conspiracy,

341, 342.

Fleury, a Frenchman, nature of warning given by, 86, 329.

Francis, Mr. King's Serjeant-at-Arms; how received by the Commons, 121, 122, 123. 124. 302.

French Interference threatened against English Liberties, 85. Infolence of a French priest, 86. Obligation of the popular Leaders to French Informants, ibid.

Forfter's Hiftorical and Biographical Essays: references to, 1. 8. 20. 23. 63. 88. 92. 198. 208. 219. 230, 235. 284. 289. 299. 321. notes.

Fuller, Dr. Subject of Petition

against, 249.

#### Garrett.

GARRETT, Sheriff Charles's motive in offering to dine with, 262.
Entertains the King, 263. 266.

Gerbier, Sir Balthazar, 56 note. Gerrard, Sir Gilbert (Middlefex), fpeaks against Lunsford, 56.

Gibbes, Will and his empty purse,

355 note.

Glyn, John (Westminster), sent up to impeach Bishops, 101. Watch duty imposed on him, 110. A Manager in conferences with the Lords, 121. 173. Epithet bestowed upon him by D'Ewes, 220. Committees on which he was nominated, 275. 276. 277. 280. 316. His compliment to D'Ewes: 308. 310. Follows D'Ewes: purport of his Speech, 308, 309. Leader in Pym's absence, 309. Reports Lord Herbert's loyalty, 340. His baseness at the restoration, Pepys's glee over his accident, 344, note. See 342.

Goring, George (Portsmouth), object of Conspiracy with Percy,

246.

Goodwin, Arthur (Bucks), appointed a Teller, 279. Moves admission of Bucks Petitioners,

373.

Gourney, Sir Richard, Lord Mayor, made a Baronet, 22. Solicited to fend Military Aid to King, 156. How his Instructions were carried out, 254 note. His extraordinary Powers, 259. Suppresses alarms, 323.

Grays Inn, Copy of Royal Letter to Benchers of, 147. 148. notes. Their Reply to the Commons Message, 176. See Inns of

Court.

Grey Anchetil, 126. 137, 138.

Grey de Werk, Lord, 36 note. Grimston, Harbottle (Colchester) 309. 316. Leads debate on breach of Privilege, 272. Summary of his Speech, 272—275. Named Hampden.

on Committee of Safety, 280. Subject of his Speech handled in detail, 302.

Grocers Hall Sittings. See Com-

mons.

Guildhall. See City.

Guildhall Sittings. See Commons. Guizot's Revolution d'Angleterre, merits of, and of Mr. Scoble's Translation, 368. 369 notes.

HACKET, Bishop, Story told of a Hampshire Vicar by, 63 note. His account of the Westminster Tumults, 89 note. His Scrinia Reserata worth reprinting as a Curiosity of Literature, 90 note. His whimsical vituperation of Milton, ibid. Extent of his acquaintance with English Poets, 91 note. His lament for the Impeached Bishops, 101 note.

Hall, Joseph, Bishop of Norwich, Account by, of what led to the Bishops' Protest, 93—95. Hour at which "we were voted to the Tower", 101 note. Thankful at not being Black Rod's

prisoner, 105 note.

Hallam, Henry, View taken of Charles's conduct by, not confonant with King's Character, 127 and note. Scope of His note on Queen's intended Journey to Spa, 132 note. Inadvertent miliquotation by him, 170 note. His view of Impeachment of Five Members, 216 and note.

Hamilton, Marquis of, "to be displaced", 30 note. Finds Hyde closeted with the King, 209 note. See Montrose.

Hampden, John (Bucks, one of the Five Accused), Clarendon's infinuation regarding, 12. Charles's contemplated charge against him, 12. 14. 15. Clarendon as to result of offer of place to him, 13 note. Songs

Herbert.

and libels on him 16, 17. 119 note, 335 note. State-Offices to which he was defignated, 54, 55. 58. His papers seized by King's Warrant, 120. Justifies resistance to an unconstitutional King, 166. His Confession of Faith, 167, 168. "Acrimonious condition of his blood", 168 and note. His "Serpentine Subtlety": what he really was, 169-171. Clarendon's estimate of his character, 169, 170 and note. Unity of purpose between him and Pym, 171, 172. Their opinion Clarendon: Hampden's "Snappishness", 172 note. Epithet bestowed upon him by D'Ewes, 220. Petitioners for him, 339. First of the Five to break filence after Arrest, 353. Determined spirit, 354. King's hope concerning him, 380. See Buckinghamshire. Five Members. See also 47 note. 177. 178. 182 note. 198. 213. 225 note. 245. 267. 270. 271 note. 281. 295. 311 note. 320. 348. 357.371.373.

Harley, Sir Robert (Herefordshire), reports as to Captain Hide,

354, 355. 355 note.

Haselrig, Sir Arthur (Leicestershire, one of the Five Accused), reports insolence of a French priest, 86. His account as to Lady Carlisle and the Queen, 140. 141. Clarendon's contemptuous allusion to him, Defends 149 note. himfelf against Impeachment, 165. His age at the period, 198 note. Allusions to him in Royalist Songs, 199 note. Epithet bestowed upon him by D'Ewes, 220. Rebuked by D'Ewes for taking the Speaker to task, 236. See Five Members. See also 177, 178, 179. 182 note. 198. 269. 271 note. 311 note. 320. 348. 371.

Hay, Lord, Lady Carlisle's hufband, 136 note.

Heath, Chronicler, on movements of the Five Members, 178 note.

Henrietta Maria, Queen Charles I., by whom conveyed acros's Channel, 3. Windebank's Secret Understanding with her, 49 note. 50 note. William Lilly on Secret Counsels of herself and Party, 65 note. Use made of their knowledge of Court Secrets by French people about her, 86. 88. 130, 131. 138, 139. King's unconstitutional acts, how far due to her influence and intermeddling, 129-131. Her defigns truly suspected by the Commons, 131, 132. Five Members' impeachment traceable to her own fear of accusation, 132, 133. Lady Carlisle's possible motives for betraying her Secrets. (See Carlifle). Words wherewith she is faid to have incited the King, 138. 140. Misleads herself and betrays her Secret, 139. Source of her felfreproach on the King's failure, 146. Accompanies King in his flight, 359. 361. 366. 368. Course resolved on by her, 360. Carries off and pawns Crown Jewels, 361. Lying with her Children, 365 note. Reproaches King for abandoning first resolve against Five Members, 368.

Herbert, Sir Edward (Old Sarum), Attorney-General, delivers Impeachment of Five Members to the Lords, 112. Disclaims having advised such Impeachment, 113. 128. 113. 128. 312. What credit Strode gave to his denial, 128 note. 313. See 348.

371. 379.

Herbert, Sir Henry (Bewdley), fpeaks in mitigation of Hopton's Offence, 225.

Herbert, Lord, a Catholic Peer,

### Hertford.

why complimented by Commons, 340.

Hertford, William Seymour, Marquis of, gives note of alarm to the Bishops, 93. Inference deducible therefrom, 95.

Heylyn, Dr. Peter, characteristic extracts from Laud's Life by,

102, 103 and note.

Hide, Captain David, with his fword upright, 185. His character and career, *ibid. note.*Lord Lieutenant willing to difable him, 354, 355, 355 note.

Hill, Roger (Bridport), brings up Inner Temple Reply, 176.

Hippifley, Sir J. (Cockermouth), named on Committee of Safety, 280.

History, how it may be written,

289-294.

Hobbes, Thomas, on sharers in King's responsibility, 140, 141. On King's resusal to disclose his Advisers' names, 141 note.

Holborne's R. (St. Michael's), Argument for giving weight to a minority, 20. Another argument of his, 299 note.

Holland, Sir John (Castle Rising), in conflict with Speaker Lenthal, 237, 238. Finds desolate Court

at Windsor, 364 note.

Holland, Lord, 36 note. 75. 209 note. In difgrace with the King, 29 note. How "the speech goes" with regard to him and others, 30 note. Offers up his place, 361. Refuses to attend King, 362 note. Libel upon him, ibid. Contrast between him and Essex, 363 note.

Hollis, Denzil (Dorchester, one of the Five Accused), Clarendon's Speculations on possible result of offer of place to, 13 note. Office proposed to be conferred on him, 54. 55, 58. Denounces Lord Digby, 83. Delivers to Charles the Commons' Demand

#### Howard.

for a Guard, 109. His Papers feized by King's Warrant, 119, Defends himself 120. 302. Defends himself against the Impeachment, 165. Inquired for by the King, 191. His age at this period, 198 note. Allusions to him in Royalist Songs, 199 note. How D'Ewes characterized him, 220. His motion in favor of young Lord Strafford, 227 note. His motion for Call of House, 250. Answers Chomley's Question, 375. Five Members. See also 47 note. 177. 178. 179. 182 note. 198. 225 note. 232. 269. 271 note. 279 note. 311 note. 348. 317. 379.

Hopton, Sir Ralph (Wells), 136
note. 215. Incurs censure of the
House, 223, 224. Clarendon's
version of his Charge against the
House, 224 note. His expulsion
moved, 225. D'Ewes speaks in
mitigation, 226. Determination
come to, 226, 227. His reason
for opposing Dering's expulsion,
228. Attempts an Excuse for
the King's conduct, 275. 277.
278. Nominated on Committee
of Safety, 280. Epithet given
to him by Rushworth, 293. Duty
assigned him in anticipation of

fecond Visit from King, 338. Hotham, John (Scarborough), behaves difrespectfully to the

House, 249.

Hotham, Sir John (Beverley), deputed to carry meffage to King, 123. 126. Named a manager of conference with the Lords, 173. His remark on King's Speech in House, 195. Named on Committee of Safety, 280. Charged with Conspiracy, 341, 342.

Houses of Commons and Lords. See Commons. Lords. Parlia-

ment.

Howard de Escricke, Lord, 36 note.

Howell's Letters, best account of the Spanish Match contained in, 82 note.

Hume, David, misled by Claren-

don, 289.

Hundsdon, Lord, 37 note.

Hungerford, Sir Edward (Chippenham), named on Committee of Safety, 280.

Hutchinson, Mrs. on number of

King's Guard, 181.

Hyde, Edward (Saltash). See Clarendon, Edward, Earl of.

IMPEACHMENT of the Bishops. See Bishops.

Impeachment of the Five Members. See Five Members.

Inns of Court, Armed Affistance fought from the, 147. King's Letter in 1628 for Volunteer Guard, 147. 148 notes. Proceedings in House before the arrest, 160. Message resolved on, 161. Anecdote related by Ludlow, 161 note. Answer of each Inn to Commons' Message, 176, 177.

Irish Affairs, references to and motions on, 276. 281. 282. 290 note. 299. 300. 354. 355.

JAMES THE FIRST'S welcome to the "twal Kynges", 40.

Jenkin, Lieutenant, what Captain Langres heard from, 328.

Jesson, Alderman W. (Coventry), called to account for his fierce looks, 239, 240. Incurs Mr. Speaker's anger, 241.

Jesuit Priests reprieved from execution, 31. Commotion excited thereby, 32 and note. for offenders of this class,

Jonson, Ben; Bishop Hacket's estimation of, 91 note.

KILLEGREW, Harry (West Looe), novel doctrine propounded by, Larking.

243, 244. Anecdote of him related by Clarendon, 243 note. Trouble into which his inconsiderateness brought him, 244. Trick attempted by his friend Chadwell, 244, 245. His obligations to D'Ewes, 245. Extent of his punishment, ibid.

Killigrew, Sir William, ordered into custody by Commons, 125. Master Longe's diamond hatband and ring, ibid. Sent round to Inns of Court by the King, 147. 148. 176. Charged with Conspiracy,

341, 342.

Kimbolton, Lord (See also Mandeville, Lord), 36 note. Why charged with Treason, 14, 15. Clarendon's objection to his being included, 15 note. 149 note. His doings watched: His confultations with Pym and others, 15, 16, 37. Warning fent to him by Marston the Dramatist, 87. 117. Copy of Marston's Letter, ibid. note. Source of Marston's information, 88. Articles of treason against him and the Five Members, 113, 114. How he met the charge, 116. Embarrassment and flight of his expected accufer, 116-118. Lady Carlisle's intercourse with him, 133. Lord Effex's warning to him, 200. Omitted from King's Proclamation, 269. See also pp. 205. 269.

Kirton, Mr. (Milborne Port), 279.

LANGRES, Captain, fource of warnings received by, 86. Nature and scope of his evidence, 147. His communication to Fiennes, 178 and note. 197. 200. Fuller report of his Evidence, 328. 329.

Larking, Rev. Lambert; Surrenden Papers to be edited for

the Camden Society by, 48, 49

Latche, John, recounts his failure to enforce obedience to the

King's Warrant, 159.

Laud, William, Archbishop of Canterbury, tyranny of, broken down by Pym, 41. His rule, not the Church itself, obnoxious to Pym, 47. He and his old rival in prison together, 102. Makes merry over a caricature of his rival, 103. Civilities between him and his fellowprisoners, 103 note.

Leicester, Earl of, 37 note. 54.281. Leighton, Dr. relieved by Mem-

bers' Fines, 249.

Lenthal, William (Woodstock), Speaker of the House of Commons, 22. His apprehensions of the refults of his continuing Speaker, 23. Clarendon's portraiture of him, ibid. note. His obsequious Letter to Secretary Nicholas, 24, 25. fecond thoughts on fame fubject, 28. His fecond Letter to Nicholas, ibid. note +. His memorable reply to Charles's demand for the Five Members, 191, 192. Amenities between him and D'Ewes, 229. 231. Impressions of his character as indicated in D'Ewes's Journal, 232. His conduct at the Restoration contrasted with North-234 and note. umberland's, Always a time-ferver, 235. His conflicts with Members of the House, 236. 238. 241. Violates precedent by voting in a divifion, 237. Instances in which D'Ewes fets him right, 238. 239. 247. His deficiencies as Speaker, ibid. Rebuked for coming late to the House, 248. Effect of his example on another Member, 248, 249. 178. 219. 252.

Lords.

Lewis, Lady Therefa; her "Clarendon Gallery", 55 note.

Lichfield, Bishop of, at door of

House, 102 note.

Lilly, William, on outbreak of Westminster tumults, 64 note. Puritans and Courtiers, 64, 65 notes. On the tumults, and on King and Queen's doings, 65 On Charles's manner of Speech, 192 note. On arrest of Members, King's conduct, &c. 217 note. Aspect of London on Sunday, 9th Jan. (1641-2), 338.

Lincoln, Earl of, 37 note.

Lincoln's Inn Reply to Common's Message, 176. See Inns of Court.

Lindsay, Robert Earl of, chosen Commander of Guard to Par-

liament, 116 note.

Lisle, Lord (Yarmouth, Hants), moves resolution on Irish affairs,

281.

Littleton, Sir Edward (Staffordshire), Lord Keeper, receives Bishops' Protestation from the King, 95. His share in impeachment of Five Members, 112, 113. Attorney-General Herbert's request to him, 312.

Liturgy, City Petition against en-

forcement of, 32 note.

London, City of, mulcted of its Plantation of Derry, 217 note. See City.

Long, Mr. Walter (Ludgershall), named on Committee of Safety,

280.

Lords, House of, refuse to join in Petition for Lunfford's removal, 36 note, 65 and note\*. Protesting Peers in this and D. of Richmond's case, 36 note. 37 note. Their prompt action on impeachment of Bishops, 100. Vote come to by them, 100, 101. Bishop Hackett on their "anti-epifcopal fourness", 101 note. Aspect of House after Bishops' Committal, 104. Impeachment of Five Members delivered to House, 112. Join with Commons in demand for Guard, 115. Copy of King's reply, 116 note.

Ludlow, Edmund, anecdote related by, 161 note. On number and equipment of Charles's Guards when he entered the House, 180. Anecdote of Lord Northumberland, 235 note.

Ludlow, Sir Henry (Wiltshire), moves Vote against Killegrew and Fleming, 341. Refult of Discussion thereon, 342.

Lumley, Walter, scurrilities heard

by, 362 note.

Lunfford Sir Thomas, appointed Governor of the Tower, 34. His character and antecedents, 34, 35. Object in appointing him, 35, 36. Clarendon's verfion of his appointment, 35 note. Commons folicit his removal, 37. Day on which his Warrant was figned, 61. His appointment cancelled, 62. Lords decline to petition for his difmissal, 36. 65. and note. Sidney Bere's report thereon, 69. Superseded, knighted, and penfioned, 70 and note. Effect of his difmissal on the people, 71. Captain Slingsby on same subject, 77. Led assault in Westminster Hall, 82. 185 note. Willing to help in any desperate affair, 205. 322. Stapelton's farcastic allusion to him, 322 note. Excites fears in the City, 366, 367. His name and Digby's coupled, 367 note.

Lytton, Sir William (Herts), compliments Sir Simonds D'Ewes, 219. D'Ewes vices to him, ibid. note. D'Ewes's fer-Suggestion to House, 276. Nominated on Committee of Safety,

280.

MACAULAY, Lord, authority cited in Essays of, 312.

Majorities and Minorities, their respective rights, &c. 9. 18. 20. Manchester, Earl of, 16. 34 note.

Mandeville, Lord, puts in his claim for office, 54. Withdraws in favour of Hollis, 55. Impeached with Five Members, 182 note, 311 note. See Kimbolton.

MANUSCRIPT Authorities cited or referred to: See Bere. Carterett. Dering. D'Ewes. Dowfe. Latche. Marston. Nicholas. Porter. Slingsby. Smith (Thos.). Windebank. Wiseman (Thomas).

Markham, John (Chief Justice temp. Edw. IV.) on King's

right of arrest, 312.

Mariton, John, warns Lord Kimbolton, 87. 117. Copy of his Letter, ibid. note. His fources of information, 88.

Marten, Harry (Berkshire), carries House's Message to Lord Newport, 37. How D'Ewes characterised him, 220.

Mary, Queen, 305.

Masham, Sir W. (Essex), opposes Lunsford's appointment, 36.

Maxwell, James, Usher of Black Rod and his Episcopal prisoners, 105 and note. Sent by the King

for Rushworth, 251.

May, Thomas, on King's Vifit to City, 130, 131 notes. On King's right to withhold names of his advisers, 141 note. On number and equipment of King's Guards on entering House, 180, 181. Mistakes made by him, 198 note.

Maynard, John (Totness), active in debate, 309. Able Speech by, 344-347. His baseness at

the Restoration, 344.

Merchants of London in Charles's time, 253, 254.

Milton, John, vituperated Bishop Hacket, 90, 91 notes. EE 2

Mildmay.

Mildmay, Sir Henry (Malden), complains of Mr. Jesson's fierce look, 239, 240. Rebukes Speaker Lenthal, 248.

Montreuil, French Ambassador, warns popular Leaders, 86. 131.

328.

Montrose, James Graham, Lord, made a Marquis, 17. His offer to kill Argyle and Hamilton, 284, 285, 286 notes.

Moore, Mr. and the Clerk's Jour-

nals, 232.

Morton, Father, has a great mind to accuse Secretary Windebank,

224, 225 notes.

Motteville, Madame de, a sufpected Betrayer of Court Secrets, Incidents stated in her Memoirs, 130. 138. 139. 146.

Murray, William, suspected of betraying Court Secrets, 15 note. Closeted with the King and Queen, 139. Queen's defignation of him, ibid. note.

Murrayes, the, 27 note.

NALSON, JOHN, on the cause of the Westminster tumults, 65 note.\* Nelson, Rev. Mr. sneers at Pym's

Scholarship, 358 note. Napier, Mr. on Montrose's murderous offer, 284, 285, 286

notes.

Newburgh, Lord, 34, note. be Master of the Wards, 58.

Newgate, attacked by the Citizens, 32 and note.

Newport, Lord, 36 note. 37 note. Requested to take Command of Tower, 37. Difmiffed by the King, ibid. Nature of Charge against him: Charles's demeanour towardshim, 37-39. His dismisfal debated in the Commons, 82.

Nicholas, Sir Edward, Secretary of State; appoints Sidney Bere Under-Secretary, 5. Communicates Lord Kimbolton's doings to the King, 15, 16. Vengeful

O'Connel.

purport of the King's letters, 17, 18. Speaker Lenthal's obsequiousness, 24, 25. 28 note +. Sidney Bere's testimony to his worth, 26, 27 note +. "Sworne Secretary of State and knighted", 28 note. 49. Communicates Court Gossip to Admiral Pennington, 54, 55. King's letters to him from Scotland, 57. Further news on Official changes, ibid. Why he objects to Ecclesiastical Reform, 58. His lift of Popular Leaders defignated for office, ibid. Premature in his anticipations of Dismissal, 59. Issues new Proclamation against Five Members, 269. His Instructions, 269, 270. His precaution in taking King's Orders, 271. 271 note. His connexion with Order relative to Trained Bands, 324 note. Griefs of felf and wife, 362, 363. See also 49 note. 140. 155. 257 note.

North, Lord, 36 note, 37 note. Northcote, Sir John (Ashburton), bold avowal by, 242, 243. Occasion on which same was made,

243 note.

Northumberland, Algernon Percy, Earl of, Lord Admiral: Intended fuccessor to, 4. Joins in Protest relative to Lunsford's appointment, 36 note. 65. Dowse's Visits to him on Pennington's behalf, 51 note. Leads the Lords in the Bishops' case, 100. His change to the popular fide, 135. His conduct contrasted with Lenthal's, 234, 235 notes. Retorts on the King, 382. See also 37 note. 76 note. 100. 297.

Note-taking, D'Ewes's comment on proposal for preventing,

233.

O'CONNEL, Captain Owen, Cromwell's complaint relative to, 85 note.

Ogle.

Ogle, Captain, deposes to hostile intention of King's Guard, 327. Oudart, Mr. 204 note.

Owen, Captain, 76 note.

PAGET, Lord, 37 note.

Palmer's, Geoffrey (Stamford), Protest against the Remonstrance, and its Refult, 7, 8. Effect on Charles of course taken by him and his affociates, 18.

Palmes, Sir Guy (Rutland), on proposal to alter a message, 232. Awed into a Vote, 241.

Paris, fierce frost in Paris (1641-2).

67 note.

Parliament, First great Divisions Result of first Party in, 7. Struggle, 10. The People's only hope, 65 note. Foreign aid against it solicited Charles I. 224. Exposition of its powers, 273. See Commons. Lords.

Parry's Treason, temp. Q. Eliz.

Party. See Parliament.

Peard, George (Barnstable), nature of errand confided to, 174. Reproves members for interrupting D'Ewes, 222.

Pemberton, Substance of Exami-

nation of, 79 note.

Pembroke, Earl of, joins in the Lunfford Protest, 36 note. 65. How he bore his loss of Office, 57.

Penningman. See Pennyman.

Pennington, Admiral Sir John. Value, for purposes of this Narrative, of Letters addressed to, 3. Services rendered by him to the King and his party, 3, 4. Clarendon's character of him, 3 note. Fate of his appointment as Lord Admiral, 4. Declines to act on Bere's hint, 30 note. Further on same topic, from Captain Dowfe, 51 note. Makes Secretary Nicholas's Wife a Priests.

"Proude Woman", 57. Secret fervice undertaken by him, His Correspondents: See Bere. Carterett. Dowfe. Nicholas. Sling sby. Smith (Thomas). Wife-

man (Thomas).

Pennington, Alderman Isaac (London), charged with important duty by the Commons, 124-155. 157. 174. Prominent in debate under Glyn, 309. Sufpects tamperings with Town Guards, 340, 341.

Pennyman, Sir William (Richmond), designated Successor to Vane the younger, 30 note. 51

note. 52

Pepys's glee over Glyn's accident, 344 note. His tribute to Slingfby's memory, 365 note. Percy, Henry (Northumberland),

object of Conspiracy of, with

Goring, 246.

Pierrepoint, Francis (Nottingham), endeavours to exculpate Attorney-General Herbert, 128 note, 312, 313.

Pierrepoint, William (Great Wenlock), named on Committee of Safety, 280.

Pope, Foreign aid folicited by the,

for Charles I. 224. Porter, Endymion (Droitwich), why absent from Parliamentary duties, 364 note. His characteristic Letter to his wife, 364, 365 notes.

Poulton, Ferdinando, repeats a Scurrilous Couplet, 358 note.

Prentices of London attacked by the Soldiers, 68. Exasperation of the people thereat, 69.

Price, Charles (Radnorshire), duty affigned to by Commons in expectation of Second Visit from the King, 338.

Price, Herbert (Brecon), Teller in Divisions, 279 and note. Named on Committee of Safety, 215, 280. Priests condemned, commotion

caused by Reprieve of, 31. 32. and note.

Prince Elector. See Charles, Elector Palatine.

Privilege not claimed by Commons to bar a just Charge, 320. See Commons.

Pye, Sir Robert (Woodstock), duty imposed upon, 110. Wishes for some way of accommodation with the King, 201 note.

Pye, Sir Walter: Subject of his difcourse with the Pope's nephew,

225 note.

Pym, John (Tavistock: one of the Five Accused), result of Court Offers of Place to, 9. Clarendon's infinuations respecting him, 12. Offence intended to be charged on him by Charles, 12. 14. 15. Clarendon's regret at his non-acceptance of office, 13 note. 42. Object of Confultations at his Chelfea Lodgings, 16. His practical reply to parallel between him and Strafford, 19. Suggests existence of Conspiracy to get up charges of treason, ibid. Causes of his great popularity; his earlier fervices and endurances, 39, 40. His rife to the Leadership, and qualifications for same, 41. Clarendon's tribute to his popu-Why Charles's larity, *ibid*. efforts to win him over failed, 42 note. Specimens of Royalist Lampoons on him, 43-46 notes. 199 note. His fecret influence over the King, 45-46. made of his Speeches by the King after his death, 46. His last Resting-place, ibid, note. Renewed offers of Place made to him, 47. Points wherein he was less extreme than Hampden, ibid. Clarendon's testimony on this head, ibid. note. Why Charles's Offers came too late: Sir Edward Dering's Pym.

Minute on the subject, 48. 152. Proximate date of the King's Offer to him, 49. His reception of old Vane on the latter's difmissal, 52. Former offers of place to him and his party further discussed, 53-58. Charles's possible motive in his later offer of Place to Pym alone, 59, 60. Had timely Information of King's Intent against him, 88. Passage on this topic from one of his Speeches, ibid. note. Members alarmed by a fuggestion of his, 106. Character and object of his Speech, 107. His fources of information, 108. His Plan: how received by the House, 108, 109. On King's refusal of Guard: fragments of his Speech, 118, 119. Seizure of his Papers by King's Warrant, 119, 120. 302. His connection with Lady Carlisle, 133. Scandal and Libels to which this Connection gave rife, 135, 136 notes. Queen's Question about" that roundheaded man", 136, 137 notes. Defends himfelf against the Impeachment, 161-165. Away to the City by Water, 179. Looked for in the House by Charles, 186. 189 note. 190. 191. His rejoinder to King's Complaint against him, Communicates to the House anonymous warning of Treachery received by him, 210, 211. Tolerant feeling between him and D'Ewes, 220. Objection taken to Answer to Royal Meffage drawn by him, 221. His "discretion and modefty" commended by D'Ewes, 222. His heaviest charge against the King proved, 299, 300. Thousands of Petitioners for him, 338. 357. Justificatory paragraphs from Petition, 357 note. Sample of attacks upon

Reformadoes.

him, 358 note. Thanks City for protection, 371. Dering's characteriftic expression, 372. Avowal made in his "Vindication", 379, 380. See also 13 note. 37. 177. 178. 182 note. 198. 213. 225 note. 233. 245. 246. 267. 269. 271 note. 272. 295. 311 note. 320. 328. 348.

REFORMADOES, what they were, 180 note.

Remonstrance, Debates on the, 4, 5, 6, 7. Palmer's Protest, 8. Tactics of the Minority, 9, 10. Its publication, 60. Its object, 377. Referred to, 113, 154, 163, 253, 274.

Richardson, junior, and John Walker find anonymous letter addressed to Pym, 210.

Richmond, James Stuart, Duke of, appointed Lord Steward, 30 note. His fally: Protest of Peers on the occasion, 36 note. 279 note. Windebank's liking for him, 50 note\*.

Rigby, Alexander (Wigan), purport of Motion made in Commons House by, 160, 161.

Robartes, Lord, 36 note.

Rochester, Earl of. See Clarendon, Henry, Earl of.

Rolle, Sir Samuel (Devon), named on Committee of Safety, 280. Rome, letter on English politics

at, 224, 225 notes.

Romilly, Sir John, Master of the Rolls: Services rendered to English History by, 3 note.

Roundheads and Cavaliers, first use of the epithets, 62, 63. Hampshire Vicar's antipathy, how expressed, 63 note. William Lilly on this topic, 64 note. Clarendon on origin of the two epithets, 74. Baxter's anecdote of the "roundheaded man", 136—7 notes. Rushworth

Sandford.

on the "first miniting" of "Roundheads", 185 note.

Rous, F. (Truro), moves prefentation of Members' Fines to Dr. Leighton, 249.

Rowley's Evidence as to threats of French interference, 85.

Roxborough, Earl of, keeps the Commons' door open, 185.

Rudyard, Sir B. (Wilton), named on Committee of Safety, 280.

Rupert, Prince, 136 note. 185. Rushworth, John, as to Guard accompanying King to House, 180. On the term Roundhead, 185 note. Takes down Charles's Speech, 187, 188. Charles's corrections and erafures therein verbatim, 188, 189. Sent for by the King, 251. King's re-joinder to his excuses, 252. What took place after he quitted the King, 253. His account of Charles's reception in Guildhall, 258, 259 notes. His state-ment of House's Proceedings on 5th January, 290 note. Extent of his notes of Guildhall Sitting on 6th January, 300. On number of Bucks Petitioners, 353 note. 347 note. 351 note. See also 289. 290. 292. 293.

Ruffell, Lord John, quoted, 40

Russell, Sir William, Joint Treafurer of the Navy, 51. Made Sole Treasurer, 52.

SAILOR VOLUNTEERS, Services of accepted by Commons, 347 and note. 348. Epithets bestowed on them by the King, 348. 359. Saint John, Lord, 36 note.

Saint John, Oliver (Totness); Clarendon's Speculations on posfible result of offer of place to, 13 note. Not on Committee of Safety, 280.

Sandford, Mr. J. L. argument of,

as to Strode's identity canvassed, 198'note.

Savile, Thomas, Lord, appointed Treasurer of Household, 30 note.

Saye and Seale, William, Lord (Old Subtlety), 36 note. 37 note. 38. Office proposed to be given to him, 55. 58.

to him, 55. 58. Scot the Regicide and Speaker Lenthal, 234.

Scottish Covenant and City of London, 256.

Selden, John (Oxford University), 40 note. 147 note.

Shakespeare, William, unnoticed and unknown, 91 note.

Shawberie, Thomas, asperses Pym, 358 note.
Shepherd, one Mr. in the wrong

place, 251. Simmons, S. Publisher of Paradise

Lost, 91 note.

Skippon, Major, and his Trained Bands, 256. Invefted with Command of Tower, 335. His character and subsequent eminence, ibid. Anecdote told by Whitelock, 334, 335 notes. Office created for him: its necessity, 336. Made Sergeant-Major-General of City forces, 351. Duties assigned to him, 351, 352.

Slingsby, Captain Robert (Correspondent of Admiral Pennington), prefumed defign of, in coming to London, 4. Letters on the Remonstrance Debate, 4, 7. Anticipates great things from King's Visit, 21, 22. Change wrought in his views, 25, 26. News of the King, the Houses, and the Citizens, 26 note. On altered aspect of affairs, 27. 28. On Commotion excited by reprieve of condemned Priests, 32 note. Animus of "fome of the Parliament" towards himfelf, 76 note. His account of Songs.

the Westminster tumults, 77. On charge against Earl of Bristol, 78. Issue predicted if the King yield not, 80. His account confirmed by D'Ewes, 81. His apprehensions as to the Bishops' Protestation, 97, 98. "Extreame tempestuous weather", 99 note. On number and equipment of King's Guard, 181-183. Describes Impeachment of Five Members, 182 note. How the King came into the House, 184. What the King did and faid, 194 note. Charles' reception at Guildhall and how he fared by the way, 260-263. Curious incident related by him, 268 note. Further on position of Affairs between King and Parliament, 298, 299. His words a confirmation of Pym's Charge, 300. On Return of Five Members and King's flight, 366, 367. Close of his letter, 367 note. His after career: Pepys' tribute to his memory, 365, 366 notes.

Smith, Mr. Philip (Marlborough), brings up Middle Temple Reply,

177.

Smith, Thomas (Correspondent of Admiral Pennington): On differences between King and Commons, 61, 62. Attack of Soldiers on 'Prentices, 68, 69. On "the last plott of the Bishopps", 99. Compares Archbishop Williams to Achitophel, 100. Troubles consequent on the King's Attempt, 206—208. How matters stand between King and City, 297, 298. His View of King's Stretch of power, 311, 312 notes.

Soame, Alderman Sir Thomas (London) joined with Venn and Pennington in deputation to

City, 174.

Songs and Libels on the Popular Leaders, and their friends, no-

Vane.

ticed, 17. 43—46. 199. 256 note. 306 note. 355 note. 362 note. Southampton, Earl of, made Privy

Councillor, 267.

Southwark Trained Bands, 349. 359. 369.

Spencer, Lord, 36 note.

Spenser, Edmund, Bishop Hacket's esteem for, 91 note.

Stamford, Earl of, 36 note.

Stapleton, Sir Philip (Boroughbridge), appointed a manager in Conference with the Lords, 121. Nominated on Committee of Safety, 280. Moves refolution on Irish Affairs, 281. His farcastic allusion to Lunsford, 322 note. See also 126. 309. 316.

Strafford, Thomas Wentworth, Earl of, 2. 4. 13. 19. 39. 41. 51. 51 note. 52. 54. 55. 76 note. 77. 134. 135 note. 136 note. 137. 162. 251. 256. 355 note. 357.

Strafford, young Earl of, Generosity of House of Commons to,

227 note.

Strode, William (Beeralston, one of the Five Accused), incredulous as to Herbert and Littleton's affertion, 128 and note. 313. Clarendon's uncivil allusion to him, 149 note, His declaration as to real object of Impeachment. 165. Dragged out of the House by his friend, 179. 198-200. On his identity with the Strode of James's Parliament, 198 note. Contempt of the Royalists for him, 199 note. Epithets bestowed upon him by D'Ewes, 220. Gets the worst in an altercation with D'Ewes, 222, 223. See also 177, 178. 182 note. 198. 270. 271 note. 311 note. 320. 348. 371. See Five Members.

Suffolk, Earl of, 36 note. Sunday in London, 9th Jan. (1641-2), described 338, 339. Swift's reminder, to a high-flying fecretary, 382 note.

Tory and Whig, 62.

Tower; name bestowed by Courtiers on the, 33. Qualifications required in its Governor, ibid. Steps taken by Commons for its fecurity, 334. Clarendon's admission, 334 note. Skippon invested with its command, 325. Pym's later reference to this subject, 325 note. Suspicions communicated by Alderman Pennington, 340, 341. Governing Lieutenants and Officers. See Balfour. Biron. Lunsford. Newport. Coniers. Skippon.

Temple, Inner and Middle, Replies of, to Commons' Message, 176, 177. See Inns of Court.

Trained Bands of London, 254. 323. 336. See City. Southwark.

VALENTINE. Mr. 27 note.

Vane, Sir Henry the elder (Wilton), fuperseded, 27 note, 30 note. 50. His Treasurership of the Household given to Lord Savile. Windebank's fellow feeling towards him, 50 note\*. Welcomed back by Pym, 52. Takes up extreme position in debate,

242, 243.

Vane, Sir Henry, the younger (Hull), dismissed from Office, 30 note. 51. Believed to be for "root and branch", 47 note. Candidates for his post, 51 note. His position in the Opinion of the Commons, 52. Their displeasure at his dismissal, 53. Conference and committee on which he was named, 173. 316. Exception to Harry Killegrew's Speech, 244. His addition to Guildhall Resolution, 315, 316.

319. Baseness of his former friends, 344. See 173. 316.

Vaughan, Mr. John (Cardigan Town), Supported by D'Ewes,

Venn, Captain John (London), duty imposed by Commons on,

124. 155. 157. 174.

Verney, Sir Ralph (Aylefbury):
Notes of proceedings of Long
Parliament (Camden Society
Book) by, quoted or referred
to, 20 note\*. 37 note. 84. 180.
183. 184. 185. 193. 289. 290.
292. 343. 347. 347 note. His
Statement of what took place
5th January, 290 note. His notes
of Guildhall Sitting on the 6th
Jan, 300. His mistakes, 351
note.

WALKER, John. See Richardson, Simon.

Waller's parallel between Pym and Strafford, 19.

Walfingham, Sir Thomas, Kt. (Rochester) named on Committee of Safety, 280.

War. See Civil War.

Warburton, Bishop, on Lunsford's

appointment, 36.

Warrants, Royal, Debates and Refolutions on, 303-308. 313 —315. 330-332. 343. See Charles. Commons.

Warwick, Earl of, 36 note. Scurrilous Couplet on. 258 note.

rilous Couplet on, 358 note.
Warwick, Sir Philip (Radnor Town); Scandal against Lady Carlisse, 135, 136 and notes. His opinion as to Hampden's death, 168 note. Suggests that Commons are guilty of Treason, 350. Anecdote told by him, 382 note.

"Water Rats", 348. 359.

Wentworth, Sir Peter (Tamworth), 241. Horror of Culpeper at his "folly", 242.

Westminster Tumults; William

Lilly on, 64 note. Their real cause, 65 and note\*. Prologue to the Civil war, 66. Object aimed at, 66, 67. Soldiers' attack on Prentices, 68, 69. Cause of King's acceptance of Volunteer Guard, 76. Slingsby's Version of these Tumults, 77, 78. Action taken by Commons to prevent their recurrence, 85. Course adopted by Bishops, 89, 90.

Wharton, Lord (Beverley), 36

note. 38.

Wheeler, Mr. (Westbury), Watch duty imposed upon, 110. Named on Committee of Safety, 280.

Whig and Tory, 62.

Whitelock, Bulftrode (Marlow), on Queen's influence in King's Counfels, 129, 130. His View of Lady Carlifle's Warning, 145 note. Named on Committee of Safety, 280. His questionable affertion, 383. See 354, 354 note. 382.

Wich, Sir Peter, breaks open the

Arms Chest, 217 note.

Wilde, Serjeant (Worcestershire), fits as Chairman of Committee, 309, 310. Wrong issue suggested by him, 314. Set right by D'Ewes, 314, 315. See 330.

Williams, John, Archbishop of York, roughly handled by the Prentices, 71 and note †. Slingsby's account of his treatment, 77. His part in the affray next day, 78. A fighting Archbishop, 79. Bramston's, Hyde's, and Hacket's Accounts compared, 89 note. Real Author of Bishops' Protestation, 91, 92. Proceedings had on the matter at his Lodgings, 94. Bishops surprised by him into concurrence, 95. Dubbed Achitophel, 100. How his Intrigue was bassed of the head Laud in prison together, 102. Caricatures

Willoughby.

upon him: Laud's enjoyment of fame, 102, 103, 103 note.
Apprentices provoked by him, 185 note.

Willoughby de Parham, Lord, 37 note.

Windebank, Sir Francis, Clarendon on flight of, 32, 33 notes. His fecret understanding with the Queen and grief at loss of Office, 49, 50 notes. His fellow feeling for a cast Courtier, 50 note \*. Desires to return to England, 67, 68 notes. Concerning his connection with the Roman Catholics, 224, 225 notes.

Wiseman, Sir Richard, slain in the Westminster Tumults, 64. Further references to the occurrence, 70. 78. 80 note. 185 note.

Wiseman, Thomas (Correspondent of Admiral Pennington), chaYoung Man.

racter and position of, 7. On Palmer's committal to the Tower, 8. On close of Remonstrance Debate, and state of Houses, 8, 9. On the King's reception in the City, 22. On Changes of offices, 29, 30. notes. On King's Second Visit to the City, 264—267. 268. His despairing View of affairs, 287. 295.

Wray, Sir C. (Great Grimfby), Wray, Sir John (Lincolnshire), both named on Committee of Safety, 280.

Wright, Edward, Alderman, Subftance of Pemberton's Examination before, 79 note.

Wright, Thomas, Political Ballads (Percy Society Book) edited by, 358 note.

Young Man, Question raised by D'Ewes's use of the term, 198 and note. 279 note.

I HAVE to thank Mr. HENRY CAMPKIN for the great care and skill with which this Index has been compiled.

J. F.

THE END.

### ERRATA.

PAGE.

91. 5 from bottom (note); for "B. Simmons" read "S. Simmons."

126. Last line for "Archetil" read "Anchetil."

147. 4th marginal note, for "1828" read "1628."

280. Line 12, for "Cockerworth" read "Cockermouth."

370. Last line but one (note), for "post 364" read "post 374."

371. Line 8 from bottom (note), for "title" read "letter."

382. Last line but one (note), for "worship" read "lordship."

389. (Index) under "Authorities cited": MS. after Dering insert D'Ewes. PRINTED, after Lilly insert Ludlow.

403. (Index) under "Herbert, Sir Edward," for "348. 371," read "378. 381," and dele 379.

## BY THE SAME AUTHOR.

### PREPARING FOR PUBLICATION,

(A New Edition, uniform with this Volume)

# THE GRAND REMONSTRANCE, AND OTHER HISTORICAL ESSAYS.

-----

Also, a New Edition, in one volume post 8vo,

# DE FOE, STEELE, CHURCHILL, FOOTE.

BIOGRAPHICAL ESSAYS.

# LATELY PUBLISHED.

ı.

HISTORICAL WORKS. By HENRY HALLAM.

XIth Edition. 10 Vols. Post 8vo. Containing, I. Constitutional History of England. 3 vols. 18s.—II. History of Europe During the Middle Ages. 3 vols. 18s.—III.

LITERARY HISTORY OF EUROPE. 4 vols. 24s.

T 7

A HISTORY OF ENGLAND, From the Peace of Utrecht to the Peace of Verfailles, 1713—1783. By LORD MAHON. 5th Edition. 7 vols. Poft 8vo. 35s.

III.

HISTORICAL AND CRITICAL ESSAYS.

By LORD MAHON. Post 8vo. 6s.

IV.

THE STUDENT'S HISTORY OF ENGLAND FROM THE INVASION OF JULIUS CÆSAR. Based on HUME'S HISTORY, and continued to the present time. 10th Thousand. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

v.

THE STUDENT'S HISTORY OF GREECE.

From the Earliest Times to the Roman Conquest. With the History of Literature and Art. By Dr. WM. SMITH. 20th Thousand. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

VI.

THE STUDENT'S HISTORY OF ROME.

From the Earliest Times to the Establishment of the Empire, with the History of Literature and Art. By DEAN LIDDELL. 16th Thousand. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

VII.

THE STUDENT'S HISTORY OF THE DE-CLINE AND FALL OF ROME: Abridged from GIBBON'S HISTORY. 6th Thoufand. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

WIII.

HISTORICAL MEMOIRS OF CANTERBURY.

By the Rev. A. P. STANLEY, M.A. 3rd Edition. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

IX.

THE ENGLISH BATTLES AND SIEGES OF THE PENINSULAR WAR. By SIR W. NAPIER. 3rd Edition. Portrait. Post 8vo. 105, 6d.

x.

LIVES OF THE LORD CHANCELLORS,

AND KEEPERS OF THE GREAT SEAL OF ENGLAND, from the
Earlieft Times to the death of Lord Eldon. By LORD CAMPBELL, LL.D. 4th Edition. 10 vols. Poft 8vo. 60s.

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.

## IN PREPARATION, 800,

# THE LIFE, JOURNALS, AND LETTERS

OF

# JONATHAN SWIFT.

By JOHN FORSTER.

INTRODUCTORY TO A NEW EDITION OF HIS WORKS.

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.





# DATE DUE

GAYLORD		PRINTEDINU S.A
		-

uc southern regional Library Facility

A 000 818 918 5

